# Primordial black holes and induced gravitational waves

**Theodoros Papanikolaou** 

Theoretical Physics Seminar Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Poland, 12/12/2024



# Introduction

1. Collapse of enhanced cosmological perturbations

- 1. Collapse of enhanced cosmological perturbations
- Ultra slow-roll (USR) inflation [P. Novikov et al. 1994, J.G. Bellido et al. - 1996]

- 1. Collapse of enhanced cosmological perturbations
- Ultra slow-roll (USR) inflation [P. Novikov et al. 1994, J.G. Bellido et al. - 1996]
- Early matter dominated eras [A. Polnarev et al. 1982, B. Carr et al. -1994, T. Harada et al. - 2016]

- 1. Collapse of enhanced cosmological perturbations
- Ultra slow-roll (USR) inflation [P. Novikov et al. 1994, J.G. Bellido et al. - 1996]
- Early matter dominated eras [A. Polnarev et al. 1982, B. Carr et al. -1994, T. Harada et al. - 2016]
- 2. Collapse of cosmic loops [S. Hawking 1989, A. Polnarev & R. Zembowicz 1991, A. Jenkins & M. Sakellariadou 2020]

- 1. Collapse of enhanced cosmological perturbations
- Ultra slow-roll (USR) inflation [P. Novikov et al. 1994, J.G. Bellido et al. -1996]
- Early matter dominated eras [A. Polnarev et al. 1982, B. Carr et al. -1994, T. Harada et al. - 2016]
- Collapse of cosmic loops [S. Hawking 1989, A. Polnarev & R. Zembowicz 1991, A. Jenkins & M. Sakellariadou 2020]
- Collapse of domain walls [M. Khlopov et al. 1998, V. Dokuchaev 2005, J. Carriage et al. - 2016]

- 1. Collapse of enhanced cosmological perturbations
- Ultra slow-roll (USR) inflation [P. Novikov et al. 1994, J.G. Bellido et al. -1996]
- Early matter dominated eras [A. Polnarev et al. 1982, B. Carr et al. 1994, T. Harada et al. - 2016]
- Collapse of cosmic loops [S. Hawking 1989, A. Polnarev & R. Zembowicz
   1991, A. Jenkins & M. Sakellariadou 2020]
- 3. Collapse of domain walls [M. Khlopov et al. 1998, V. Dokuchaev 2005, J. Carriage et al. 2016]
- 4. Collapse through bubble collisions during a phase transition [M. Crawford and D. Schramm 1982, S. Hawking et al. 1982, H Kodoma et al. 1982, I. Moss 1994]

#### PBHs from collapse of primordial inhomogeneities

• Primordial Black Holes (PBHs) form in the early universe, out of the **collapse of enhanced** energy density perturbations upon horizon reentry of the typical size of the collapsing overdensity region. This happens when  $\delta > \delta_c(w \equiv p/\rho)$  [Carr - 1975].

#### PBHs from collapse of primordial inhomogeneities

• Primordial Black Holes (PBHs) form in the early universe, before star formation, out of the **collapse of enhanced energy density perturbations** upon horizon reentry of the typical size of the collapsing overdensity region. This happens when  $\delta > \delta_c(w \equiv p/\rho)$  [Carr - 1975].



# Why we study PBHs?

 $m_{\rm PBH} = \gamma M_{\rm H} \propto H^{-1}$  where  $\gamma \sim {\rm O}(1)$ 

## Why we study PBHs?

$$m_{\rm PBH} = \gamma M_{\rm H} \propto H^{-1}$$
 where  $\gamma \sim O(1)$ 



See for reviews in [Carr et al. - 2020, Sasaki et al - 2018, Clesse et al. - 2017]

$$t_{\rm evap} = 2 \times 10^{67} \text{years} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^3$$
, with  $M_{\odot} \simeq 2 \times 10^{33} g$ .

$$t_{\rm evap} = 2 \times 10^{67} \text{years} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^3$$
, with  $M_{\odot} \simeq 2 \times 10^{33} g$ .

• For the PBHs with masses  $m_{\rm PBH} < 10^{15}$ g, which have evaporated by now, the PBH constraints are obtained by accounting for the effects of the products of PBH Hawking evaporation on:

$$t_{\rm evap} = 2 \times 10^{67} \text{years} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^3$$
, with  $M_{\odot} \simeq 2 \times 10^{33} g$ .

• For the PBHs with masses  $m_{\rm PBH} < 10^{15}$ g, which have evaporated by now, the PBH constraints are obtained by accounting for the effects of the products of PBH Hawking evaporation on:

a) the abundances of the light elements produced during **BBN**,

$$t_{\rm evap} = 2 \times 10^{67} \text{years} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^3$$
, with  $M_{\odot} \simeq 2 \times 10^{33} g$ .

• For the PBHs with masses  $m_{\rm PBH} < 10^{15}$ g, which have evaporated by now, the PBH constraints are obtained by accounting for the effects of the products of PBH Hawking evaporation on:

a) the abundances of the light elements produced during **BBN**,

b) the spectral shape of **CMB** radiation

$$t_{\rm evap} = 2 \times 10^{67} \text{years} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^3$$
, with  $M_{\odot} \simeq 2 \times 10^{33} g$ .

- For the PBHs with masses  $m_{\rm PBH} < 10^{15}$ g, which have evaporated by now, the PBH constraints are obtained by accounting for the effects of the products of PBH Hawking evaporation on:
  - a) the abundances of the light elements produced during **BBN**,
  - b) the spectral shape of **CMB** radiation
  - c) the galactic and extragalactic cosmic ray background.

$$t_{\rm evap} = 2 \times 10^{67} \text{years} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^3$$
, with  $M_{\odot} \simeq 2 \times 10^{33} g$ .

- For the PBHs with masses  $m_{\rm PBH} < 10^{15}$ g, which have evaporated by now, the PBH constraints are obtained by accounting for the effects of the products of PBH Hawking evaporation on:
  - a) the abundances of the light elements produced during **BBN**,
  - b) the spectral shape of **CMB** radiation
  - c) the galactic and extragalactic **cosmic ray background**.
- For PBHs with masses  $m_{\rm PBH} > 10^{15}$ g, which have not completed yet their evaporation, we have constraints due to

$$t_{\rm evap} = 2 \times 10^{67} \text{years} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^3$$
, with  $M_{\odot} \simeq 2 \times 10^{33} g$ .

- For the PBHs with masses  $m_{\rm PBH} < 10^{15}$ g, which have evaporated by now, the PBH constraints are obtained by accounting for the effects of the products of PBH Hawking evaporation on:
  - a) the abundances of the light elements produced during **BBN**,
  - b) the spectral shape of **CMB** radiation
  - c) the galactic and extragalactic **cosmic ray background**.
- For PBHs with masses m<sub>PBH</sub> > 10<sup>15</sup>g, which have not completed yet their evaporation, we have constraints due to
  a) gravitational lensing effects,

$$t_{\rm evap} = 2 \times 10^{67} \text{years} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^3$$
, with  $M_{\odot} \simeq 2 \times 10^{33} g$ .

- For the PBHs with masses  $m_{\rm PBH} < 10^{15}$ g, which have evaporated by now, the PBH constraints are obtained by accounting for the effects of the products of PBH Hawking evaporation on:
  - a) the abundances of the light elements produced during **BBN**,
  - b) the spectral shape of **CMB** radiation
  - c) the galactic and extragalactic **cosmic ray background**.
- For PBHs with masses m<sub>PBH</sub> > 10<sup>15</sup>g, which have not completed yet their evaporation, we have constraints due to
  a) gravitational lensing effects,
  - b) dynamical effects of a PBH onto an astrophysical system,

$$t_{\rm evap} = 2 \times 10^{67} \text{years} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^3$$
, with  $M_{\odot} \simeq 2 \times 10^{33} g$ .

- For the PBHs with masses  $m_{\rm PBH} < 10^{15}$ g, which have evaporated by now, the PBH constraints are obtained by accounting for the effects of the products of PBH Hawking evaporation on:
  - a) the abundances of the light elements produced during **BBN**,
  - b) the spectral shape of **CMB** radiation
  - c) the galactic and extragalactic **cosmic ray background**.
- For PBHs with masses  $m_{\rm PBH} > 10^{15} {\rm g}$ , which have not completed yet their evaporation, we have constraints due to
  - a) gravitational lensing effects,
  - b) dynamical effects of a PBH onto an astrophysical system,
  - c) PBH accretion effects,

$$t_{\rm evap} = 2 \times 10^{67} \text{years} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^3$$
, with  $M_{\odot} \simeq 2 \times 10^{33} g$ .

• For the PBHs with masses  $m_{\rm PBH} < 10^{15}$ g, which have evaporated by now, the PBH constraints are obtained by accounting for the effects of the products of PBH Hawking evaporation on:

a) the abundances of the light elements produced during **BBN**,

b) the spectral shape of **CMB** radiation

c) the galactic and extragalactic **cosmic ray background**.

- For PBHs with masses  $m_{\rm PBH} > 10^{15}$ g, which have not completed yet their evaporation, we have constraints due to
  - a) gravitational lensing effects,
  - b) dynamical effects of a PBH onto an astrophysical system,
  - c) **PBH accretion effects**,
  - d) effects on the large scale structure formation,

$$t_{\rm evap} = 2 \times 10^{67} \text{years} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^3$$
, with  $M_{\odot} \simeq 2 \times 10^{33} g$ .

• For the PBHs with masses  $m_{\rm PBH} < 10^{15}$ g, which have evaporated by now, the PBH constraints are obtained by accounting for the effects of the products of PBH Hawking evaporation on:

a) the abundances of the light elements produced during **BBN**,

b) the spectral shape of **CMB** radiation

c) the galactic and extragalactic **cosmic ray background**.

• For PBHs with masses  $m_{\rm PBH} > 10^{15} {\rm g}$ , which have not completed yet their evaporation, we have constraints due to

a) gravitational lensing effects,

b) dynamical effects of a PBH onto an astrophysical system,

c) PBH accretion effects,

d) effects on the large scale structure formation,

e) gravitational wave (GW) production associated to PBHs



# **Open Issues in PBH Physics**

- PBH formation process [e.g. non spherical collapse, non standard w, shape of the collapsing overdensity]
- Modelling/Computation of PBH abundances (Peak theory vs Press-Schechter formalism)
- Clustering properties of PBHs
- Merger rates of PBHs
- etc.

• 1) **Primordial induced GWs** generated through second order gravitational effects:  $\mathscr{L}_{\Phi,h}^{(3)} \ni h\Phi^2$ , [Bugaev - 2009, Kohri & Terada - 2018]. GWs PBHs

- 1) **Primordial induced GWs** generated through second order gravitational effects:  $\mathscr{L}_{\Phi,h}^{(3)} \ni h\Phi^2$ , [Bugaev 2009, Kohri & Terada 2018]. GWs PBHs
- 2) **Relic Hawking radiated gravitons** from PBH evaporation [Anantua et al. 2008, Dong et al. 2015].

- 1) **Primordial induced GWs** generated through second order gravitational effects:  $\mathscr{L}_{\Phi,h}^{(3)} \ni h\Phi^2$ , [Bugaev 2009, Kohri & Terada 2018]. GWs PBHs
- 2) **Relic Hawking radiated gravitons** from PBH evaporation [Anantua et al. 2008, Dong et al. 2015].

• 3) GWs emitted by PBH mergers [Eroshenko - 2016, Raidal et al. - 2017].

- 1) **Primordial induced GWs** generated through second order gravitational effects:  $\mathscr{L}_{\Phi,h}^{(3)} \ni h\Phi^2$ , [Bugaev 2009, Kohri & Terada 2018]. GWs PBHs
- 2) **Relic Hawking radiated gravitons** from PBH evaporation [Anantua et al. 2008, Dong et al. 2015].

• 3) GWs emitted by PBH mergers [Eroshenko - 2016, Raidal et al. - 2017].

• 4) **GWs induced** at second order **by PBH number density fluctuations** [Papanikolaou et al. - 2020], abundantly produced during a PBH-dominated era.



• 2) **Relic Hawking radiated gravitons** from PBH evaporation [Anantua et al. - 2008, Dong et al. - 2015].

• 3) GWs emitted by PBH mergers [Eroshenko - 2016, Raidal et al. - 2017].

 4) GWs induced at second order by PBH number density fluctuations [Papanikolaou et al. - 2020].

$$\mathscr{L}^{(3)}_{\Phi,h} \ni h\Phi^2, \quad \mathrm{d}s^2 = a^2(\eta) \left\{ -(1+2\Phi)\mathrm{d}\eta^2 + \left[ (1-2\Phi)\delta_{ij} + \frac{h_{ij}}{2} \right] \mathrm{d}x^i \mathrm{d}x^j \right\}$$

• The equation of motion for the Fourier modes,  $h_{\vec{k}}$ , read as:

$$\mathscr{L}^{(3)}_{\Phi,h} \ni h\Phi^2 \Rightarrow h^{s,"}_{\vec{k}} + 2\mathscr{H}h^{s,'}_{\vec{k}} + k^2h^s_{\vec{k}} = 4S^s_{\vec{k}}.$$

• The equation of motion for the Fourier modes,  $h_{\vec{k}}$ , read as:

$$\mathcal{L}^{(3)}_{\Phi,h} \ni h\Phi^2 \Rightarrow h^{s,''}_{\vec{k}} + 2\mathcal{H}h^{s,'}_{\vec{k}} + k^2h^s_{\vec{k}} = 4S^s_{\vec{k}}.$$

• The source term,  $S_{\vec{k}}$  can be recast as:

$$S_{\vec{k}}^{s} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}\vec{q}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e_{ij}^{s}(\vec{k})q_{i}q_{j} \left[ 2\Phi_{\vec{q}}\Phi_{\vec{k}-\vec{q}} + \frac{4}{3(1+w)} (\mathscr{H}^{-1}\Phi_{\vec{q}}' + \Phi_{\vec{q}})(\mathscr{H}^{-1}\Phi_{\vec{k}-\vec{q}}' + \Phi_{\vec{k}-\vec{q}}) \right].$$
#### **Scalar Induced Gravitational Waves**

• The equation of motion for the Fourier modes,  $h_{\vec{k}}$ , read as:

$$\mathscr{L}^{(3)}_{\Phi,h} \ni h\Phi^2 \Rightarrow h^{s,''}_{\vec{k}} + 2\mathscr{H}h^{s,'}_{\vec{k}} + k^2h^s_{\vec{k}} = 4S^s_{\vec{k}}.$$

• The source term,  $S_{\vec{k}}$  can be recast as:

#### **Scalar Induced Gravitational Waves**

• The equation of motion for the Fourier modes,  $h_{\vec{k}}$ , read as:

$$\mathscr{L}^{(3)}_{\Phi,h} \ni h\Phi^2 \Rightarrow h^{s,''}_{\vec{k}} + 2\mathscr{H}h^{s,'}_{\vec{k}} + k^2h^s_{\vec{k}} = 4S^s_{\vec{k}}.$$

• The source term,  $S_{\vec{k}}$  can be recast as:

# Focusing on the ultra-light PBHs

# Focusing on the ultra-light PBHs $\int \Omega_{\rm PBH} = \rho_{\rm PBH} / \rho_{\rm tot} \propto a^{-3} / a^{-4} \propto a$

**PBHs** with  $m_{\rm PBH} < 10^9 {\rm g}$  (They evaporate before BBN)

**PBHs** with  $m_{\rm PBH} < 10^9 {\rm g}$  (They evaporate before BBN)

 These ultralight PBHs can drive the reheating process through their evaporation [Zagorac et al. - 2019, Martin et al. - 2019, Inomata et al. - 2020] during which all the SM particles can be produced.

**PBHs** with  $m_{\text{PBH}} < 10^9 \text{g}$  (They evaporate before **BBN**)

- These ultralight PBHs can drive the reheating process through their evaporation [Zagorac et al. - 2019, Martin et al. - 2019, Inomata et al. - 2020] during which all the SM particles can be produced.
- Hawking evaporation of ultralight PBHs can alleviate as well the Hubble tension [Hooper et al. 2019, Nesseris et al. 2019, Lunardini et al. 2020] by injecting to the primordial plasma dark radiation degrees of freedom which can increase  $N_{\rm eff}$ .

**PBHs** with  $m_{\text{PBH}} < 10^9 \text{g}$  (They evaporate before **BBN**)

- These ultralight PBHs can drive the reheating process through their evaporation [Zagorac et al. - 2019, Martin et al. - 2019, Inomata et al. - 2020] during which all the SM particles can be produced.
- Hawking evaporation of ultralight PBHs can alleviate as well the Hubble tension [Hooper et al. 2019, Nesseris et al. 2019, Lunardini et al. 2020] by injecting to the primordial plasma dark radiation degrees of freedom which can increase  $N_{\rm eff}$ .
- Evaporation of light PBHs can also **produce naturally the baryon asymmetry** through CP violating out-of-equilibrium decays of Hawking evaporation products [J. D. Barrow et al. 1991, T. C. Gehrman et al. 2022, N. Bhaumik et al. 2022].

**PBHs** with  $m_{\text{PBH}} < 10^9 \text{g}$  (They evaporate before **BBN**)

- These ultralight PBHs can drive the reheating process through their evaporation [Zagorac et al. - 2019, Martin et al. - 2019, Inomata et al. - 2020] during which all the SM particles can be produced.
- Hawking evaporation of ultralight PBHs can alleviate as well the Hubble tension [Hooper et al. 2019, Nesseris et al. 2019, Lunardini et al. 2020] by injecting to the primordial plasma dark radiation degrees of freedom which can increase  $N_{\rm eff}$ .
- Evaporation of light PBHs can also produce naturally the baryon asymmetry through CP violating out-of-equilibrium decays of Hawking evaporation products [J. D. Barrow et al. - 1991, T. C. Gehrman et al. - 2022, N. Bhaumik et al. - 2022].
- GWs induced by PBH number density fluctuations can interpret in a very good agreement the recently released PTA GW data [Lewicki et al. 2023, Basilakos et al. 2023]

# Gravitational waves from PBH number density fluctuations

[T. Papanikolaou, V. Vennin, D. Langlois, JCAP 03 (2021) 053]





Poisson Statistics [Desjacques & Riotto - 2018, Ali-Haimoud - 2018]

Same mass [Dizgah, Franciolini & Riotto - 2019]

Poisson Statistics [Desjacques & Riotto - 2018, Ali-Haimoud - 2018] Same mass [Dizgah, Franciolini & Riotto - 2019]  $P_{\delta_{\text{PBH}}}(k) \equiv \langle |\delta_k^{\text{PBH}}|^2 \rangle = \frac{4\pi}{3} \left(\frac{\bar{r}}{a}\right)^3 = \frac{4\pi}{3k_{\text{UV}}^3}, \text{ where } k < k_{\text{UV}} = \frac{a}{\bar{r}}$ 

Poisson Statistics [Desjacques & Riotto - 2018, Ali-Haimoud - 2018] Same mass [Dizgah, Franciolini & Riotto - 2019]  $P_{\delta_{\text{PBH}}}(k) \equiv \langle |\delta_k^{\text{PBH}}|^2 \rangle = \frac{4\pi}{3} \left(\frac{\bar{r}}{a}\right)^3 = \frac{4\pi}{3k_{\text{UV}}^3}, \text{ where } k < k_{\text{UV}} = \frac{a}{\bar{r}}$  $S = \frac{\delta \rho_{\rm PBH}}{\rho_{\rm PBH}} - \frac{3}{4} \frac{\delta \rho_{\rm r}}{\rho_{\rm r}}$ 

 $\delta \rho_{\rm PBH,f} + \delta \rho_{\rm r,f} = 0$ 

Poisson Statistics [Desjacques & Riotto - 2018, Ali-Haimoud - 2018] Same mass [Dizgah, Franciolini & Riotto - 2019]  $P_{\delta_{\text{PBH}}}(k) \equiv \langle |\delta_k^{\text{PBH}}|^2 \rangle = \frac{4\pi}{3} \left(\frac{\bar{r}}{a}\right)^3 = \frac{4\pi}{3k_{\text{UV}}^3}, \text{ where } k < k_{\text{UV}} = \frac{a}{\bar{r}}$ 



This **isocurvature perturbation**,  $\delta_{\text{PBH}}$  generated during the RD era **will convert** during the PBHD era **to a curvature perturbation**  $\zeta_{\text{PBH}}$ , associated to a PBH gravitational potential  $\Phi$ .



This isocurvature perturbation,  $\delta_{\text{PBH}}$  generated during the RD era will convert during the PBHD era to a curvature perturbation  $\zeta_{\text{PBH}}$ , associated to a PBH gravitational potential  $\Phi$ .

$$\mathcal{P}_{\Phi}(k) = S_{\Phi}^2(k) \frac{2}{3\pi} \left(\frac{k}{k_{\rm UV}}\right)^3 \left(5 + \frac{4}{9} \frac{k^2}{k_{\rm d}^2}\right)^{-2}, \text{ with } S_{\Phi}(k) \equiv \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{k}{k_{\rm evap}}\right)^{-1/3}$$

# **GW Detectability**



#### **GW Detectability**



 Peaked GW signal at around k<sub>UV</sub> due to the suddenness of the transition to the IRD era for PBH monochromatic mass distributions [Domenech et al. - 2020].

# **GW** Detectability



- Peaked GW signal at around  $k_{\rm UV}$  due to the suddenness of the transition to the IRD era lacksquarefor PBH monochromatic mass distributions [Domenech et al. - 2020].
- During the transition,  $\Phi'$  goes very quickly from  $\Phi' = 0$  (since in a MD era  $\Phi$  = constant ) to lacksquare $\Phi' \neq 0$ . This entails a resonantly enhanced production of GWs sourced mainly by the  $\mathscr{H}^{-2}\Phi^{\prime 2}$  term in  $S_{\vec{k}}$ .

#### Gravitational waves from PBH number density fluctuations: The effect of an extended PBH mass distribution

[T. Papanikolaou, JCAP 10 (2022) 089]

$$\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}(k) = A_{\zeta} \left( \frac{k}{k_0} \right)^{n_{\rm s}(k)-1},$$
  
with  $n_{\rm s}(k) = n_{\rm s,0} + \frac{\alpha_{\rm s}}{2!} \ln\left(\frac{k}{k_0}\right)$ 

$$\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}(k) = A_{\zeta} \left( \frac{k}{k_0} \right)^{n_{\rm s}(k)-1},$$
  
with  $n_{\rm s}(k) = n_{\rm s,0} + \frac{\alpha_{\rm s}}{2!} \ln\left(\frac{k}{k_0}\right)$ 

$$\beta(M) \equiv \frac{1}{\rho_{\text{tot}}} \frac{d\rho_{\text{PBH}}}{d\ln M} \text{ within peak theory}$$
$$\Omega_{\text{PBH}}(t) = \int_{M_{\text{min}}}^{M_{\text{max}}} \bar{\beta}(M, t) \left\{ 1 - \frac{t - t_{\text{ini}}}{\Delta t_{\text{evap}}(M_{\text{f}})} \right\}^{1/3} d\ln M$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}(k) = A_{\zeta} \left( \frac{k}{k_0} \right)^{n_{\rm s}(k)-1},$$
  
with  $n_{\rm s}(k) = n_{\rm s,0} + \frac{\alpha_{\rm s}}{2!} \ln\left(\frac{k}{k_0}\right)$ 

$$\beta(M) \equiv \frac{1}{\rho_{\text{tot}}} \frac{d\rho_{\text{PBH}}}{d\ln M} \text{ within peak theory}$$
$$\Omega_{\text{PBH}}(t) = \int_{M_{\text{min}}}^{M_{\text{max}}} \bar{\beta}(M, t) \left\{ 1 - \frac{t - t_{\text{ini}}}{\Delta t_{\text{evap}}(M_{\text{f}})} \right\}^{1/3} d\ln M$$



$$\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}(k) = A_{\zeta} \left( k/k_0 \right)^{n_{\zeta}(k)-1},$$
  
with  $n_{\zeta}(k) = n_{\varsigma,0} + \frac{\alpha_{\varsigma}}{2!} \ln\left(\frac{k}{k_0}\right)$ 
$$\mathcal{P}_{BHI}(\iota) = \int_{M_{min}}^{M_{max}} \bar{\beta}(M, \iota) \left\{ 1 - \frac{\iota - \iota_{ini}}{\Delta \iota_{evap}(M_f)} \right\}^{1/3} d\ln M$$

**Gradual Transition** 

# Evolving the PBH gravitational potential

• Our physical system is comprised by **matter in form of PBHs** which "decays" to **radiation** through the process of PBH evaporation.

#### **Evolving the PBH gravitational potential**

• Our physical system is comprised by **matter in form of PBHs** which "decays" to **radiation** through the process of PBH evaporation.

$$\begin{split} \delta_{PBH}^{\prime} &= -\theta_{PBH} + 3\Phi^{\prime} - a\Gamma\Phi \\ \theta_{PBH}^{\prime} &= -\mathcal{H}\theta_{PBH} + k^{2}\Phi \\ \delta_{r}^{\prime} &= -\frac{4}{3}(\theta_{r} - 3\Phi^{\prime}) + a\Gamma\frac{\rho_{PBH}}{\rho_{r}}(\delta_{PBH} - \delta_{r} + \Phi) \\ \theta_{r}^{\prime} &= \frac{k^{2}}{4}\delta_{r} + k^{2}\Phi - a\Gamma\frac{3\rho_{PBH}}{4\rho_{r}}\left(\frac{4}{3}\theta_{r} - \theta_{PBH}\right) \\ \theta_{r}^{\prime} &= -\frac{k^{2}\Phi + 3\mathcal{H}^{2}\Phi + \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{H}^{2}\left(\frac{\rho_{PBH}}{\rho_{tot}}\delta_{PBH} + \frac{\rho_{r}}{\rho_{tot}}\delta_{r}\right)}{3\mathcal{H}} \end{split}$$

$$\langle \Gamma \rangle(t) = \frac{\int_{t_{\text{evap,max}}}^{t_{\text{evap,max}}} \beta(t_{\text{evap}}) \Gamma_M(t_{\text{evap}}, t) d\ln t_{\text{evap}}}{\int_{t_{\text{evap,max}}}^{t_{\text{evap,max}}} \beta(t_{\text{evap}}) d\ln t_{\text{evap}}}, \text{ with } \Gamma_M(t_{\text{evap}}, t) \equiv -\frac{1}{M} \frac{dM}{dt} = \frac{1}{3(t_{\text{evap}} - t)}$$

Adiabatic initial conditions :  $\delta_{\text{PBH,ini}} = -2\Phi_{\text{ini}}, \quad \delta_{\text{r,ini}} = \frac{4}{3}\delta_{\text{PBH,ini}}, \quad \theta_{\text{PBH,ini}} = \theta_{\text{r,ini}} = 0, \quad \Phi_{\text{ini}} = 1$ 

#### The gravitational potential $\Phi$



# The GW spectrum



#### Gravitational waves from PBH number density fluctuations: The effect of primordial non-Gaussianities

[T. Papanikolaou, X.C He. X.H. Ma, Y.F. Cai, E.N. Saridakis, M. Sasaki, Phys. Lett. B 857 (2024) 138997]

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathcal{R}(\boldsymbol{k_1})\mathcal{R}(\boldsymbol{k_2}) \rangle &\equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{k_1} + \boldsymbol{k_2}) P_{\mathcal{R}}(\boldsymbol{k}) \\ \langle \mathcal{R}(\boldsymbol{k_1})\mathcal{R}(\boldsymbol{k_2})\mathcal{R}(\boldsymbol{k_3}) \rangle &\equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{k_1} + \boldsymbol{k_2} + \boldsymbol{k_3}) \\ &\times \frac{6}{5} f_{\mathrm{NL}} \left[ P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_1) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_2) + 2 \text{ perms} \right] \\ \langle \mathcal{R}(\boldsymbol{k_1})\mathcal{R}(\boldsymbol{k_2})\mathcal{R}(\boldsymbol{k_3})\mathcal{R}(\boldsymbol{k_4}) \rangle &\equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{k_1} + \boldsymbol{k_2} + \boldsymbol{k_3} + \boldsymbol{k_4}) \\ &\times \left\{ \frac{54}{25} g_{\mathrm{NL}} \left[ P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_1) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_2) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_3) + 3 \text{ perms} \right] \right. \\ &+ \tau_{\mathrm{NL}} \left[ P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_1) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_2) P_{\mathcal{R}}(|\boldsymbol{k_1} + \boldsymbol{k_3}|) + 11 \text{ perms} \right] \right\} \end{split}$$

$$\langle \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_{1})\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_{2}) \rangle \equiv (2\pi)^{3} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_{1} + \mathbf{k}_{2}) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k) \langle \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_{1})\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_{2})\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_{3}) \rangle \equiv (2\pi)^{3} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_{1} + \mathbf{k}_{2} + \mathbf{k}_{3}) \times \frac{6}{5} f_{\mathrm{NL}} \left[ P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_{1}) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_{2}) + 2 \text{ perms} \right] \langle \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_{1})\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_{2})\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_{3})\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_{4}) \rangle \equiv (2\pi)^{3} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_{1} + \mathbf{k}_{2} + \mathbf{k}_{3} + \mathbf{k}_{4}) \times \left\{ \frac{54}{25} g_{\mathrm{NL}} \left[ P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_{1}) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_{2}) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_{3}) + 3 \text{ perms} \right] + \tau_{\mathrm{NL}} \left[ P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_{1}) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_{2}) P_{\mathcal{R}}(|\mathbf{k}_{1} + \mathbf{k}_{3}|) + 11 \text{ perms} \right] \right\} [Path integral formalism for n-point correlation functions (galaxy halo bias)] [Path (\mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}) \equiv \langle \delta_{\mathrm{PBH}}(\mathbf{x}_{1}) \delta_{\mathrm{PBH}}(\mathbf{x}_{2}) \rangle = \int \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{PBH}}(k) e^{\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}_{2})} \mathrm{d} \ln k$$
   
  $\mathbf{k} R \ll 1$    
  $\mathbf{k} R \ll 1$ 

#### The non-Gaussian PBH matter power spectrum

 $M_{\rm PBH} = 2 \times 10^5 \text{g}, \,\Omega_{\rm PBH,f} = 5 \times 10^{-8}, \,\bar{\tau}_{\rm NL} = 5 \times 10^{-4}$ 

#### The non-Gaussian PBH matter power spectrum



Scale Hierarchy :  $10^5 \text{Mpc}^{-1} < k_{\text{evap}} < k_{\text{d}} < k_{\text{c}} < k_{\text{UV}} \ll k_{\text{f}} \sim 1/R$
## **Non-Gaussian Induced GWs**



# **Constraining non-Gausianities**



# Testing alternative gravity theories with PBHs and induced GWs:

#### The case of non-singular bouncing cosmology

[T. Papanikolaou, S. Banerjee, Y.F. Cai, S. Capozziello, E.N. Saridakis, JCAP 06 (2024) 066]

**Motivation** 

#### **Motivation**

• It is free of the initial singularity problem.

#### **Motivation**

- It is free of the initial singularity problem.
- It can address the horizon and flatness problems of Hot Bing Bang cosmology.

#### **Motivation**

- It is free of the initial singularity problem.
- It can address the horizon and flatness problems of Hot Bing Bang cosmology.
- With matter contracting phases, one can easily give rise to scaleinvariant curvature power spectra, observed on the CMB scales.

#### **Motivation**

- It is free of the initial singularity problem.
- It can address the horizon and flatness problems of Hot Bing Bang cosmology.
- With matter contracting phases, one can easily give rise to scale-invariant curvature power spectra, observed on the CMB scales.

#### <u>"Caveats"</u>

• Effective violation of the null energy condition for a short period of time,  $T_{\mu\nu}k^{\mu}k^{\nu} < 0 \Rightarrow \rho + 3p < 0$ .

#### Non-singular matter bouncing cosmology: The background evolution

#### **Background dynamics**

A. Matter contracting phase



$$a(t) = a_{+} \left( \frac{t - \tilde{t}_{+}}{t_{+} - \tilde{t}_{+}} \right)^{1/2}, \text{ with } t_{+} - \tilde{t}_{+} = \frac{1}{2H_{+}}$$

$$v_k'' + \left(c_s^2 k^2 - \frac{z''}{z}\right) v_k = 0$$
, with  $v_k \equiv z \mathcal{R}_k$  and  $z \equiv \frac{a\sqrt{\rho + p}}{c_s H M_{\text{Pl}}}$ 

[Mukhanov-Sasaki (MS) equation]

$$v_k'' + \left(c_s^2 k^2 - \frac{z''}{z}\right) v_k = 0$$
, with  $v_k \equiv z \mathscr{R}_k$  and  $z \equiv \frac{a\sqrt{\rho + p}}{c_s H M_{\text{Pl}}}$ .

[Mukhanov-Sasaki (MS) equation]

•  $c_s$  is the curvature perturbation sound speed depending on the details of the underlying gravity theory. For GR,  $c_s = 1$ .

$$v_k'' + \left(c_s^2 k^2 - \frac{z''}{z}\right) v_k = 0$$
, with  $v_k \equiv z \mathscr{R}_k$  and  $z \equiv \frac{a\sqrt{\rho + p}}{c_s H M_{\text{Pl}}}$ .

[Mukhanov-Sasaki (MS) equation]

- $c_s$  is the curvature perturbation sound speed depending on the details of the underlying gravity theory. For GR,  $c_s = 1$ .
- Considering Bunch Davies vacuum as our initial conditions on sub-horizon scales, i.e.  $v_k = e^{-ik\eta}/\sqrt{2k}$  for  $k \gg aH$ , one can solve analytically the MS equation.

$$v_k'' + \left(c_s^2 k^2 - \frac{z''}{z}\right) v_k = 0$$
, with  $v_k \equiv z \mathscr{R}_k$  and  $z \equiv \frac{a\sqrt{\rho + p}}{c_s H M_{\text{Pl}}}$ 

[Mukhanov-Sasaki (MS) equation]

- $c_s$  is the curvature perturbation sound speed depending on the details of the underlying gravity theory. For GR,  $c_s = 1$ .
- Considering Bunch Davies vacuum as our initial conditions on sub-horizon scales, i.e.  $v_k = e^{-ik\eta}/\sqrt{2k}$  for  $k \gg aH$ , one can solve analytically the MS equation.
- Remarkably, one finds an analytic approximation for the curvature power spectrum  $\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{R}}(k)$  reading as

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) \equiv \frac{k^3 |\mathcal{R}_k|^2}{2\pi^2} \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{a_-^3 H_-^2}{48\pi^2 c_{\rm s} M_{\rm Pl}^2 a^3} \text{ for } c_{\rm s} k \ll |aH| \\ \frac{a_-^3 H_-^2}{12\pi^2 c_{\rm s} M_{\rm Pl}^2 a^3} \left(\frac{c_{\rm s} k}{aH}\right)^2 \text{ for } c_{\rm s} k \gg |aH| . \end{cases}$$

$$v_k'' + \left(c_s^2 k^2 - \frac{z''}{z}\right) v_k = 0$$
, with  $v_k \equiv z \mathscr{R}_k$  and  $z \equiv \frac{a\sqrt{\rho + p}}{c_s H M_{\text{Pl}}}$ .

[Mukhanov-Sasaki (MS) equation]

- $c_s$  is the curvature perturbation sound speed depending on the details of the underlying gravity theory. For GR,  $c_s = 1$ .
- Considering Bunch Davies vacuum as our initial conditions on sub-horizon scales, i.e.  $v_k = e^{-ik\eta}/\sqrt{2k}$  for  $k \gg aH$ , we solve analytically the MS equation.
- One then can find an analytic approximation for the curvature power spectrum  $\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{R}}(k)$  during the contracting phase reading as

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) \equiv \frac{k^3 |h_k|^2}{2\pi^2} \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{a_-^3 H_-^2}{48\pi^2 c_{\rm s} M_{\rm Pl}^2 a^3} \text{ for } c_{\rm s} k \ll |aH| \\ \frac{a_-^3 H_-^2}{12\pi^2 c_{\rm s} M_{\rm Pl}^2 a^3} \left(\frac{c_{\rm s} k}{aH}\right)^2 \text{ for } c_{\rm s} k \gg |aH| . \end{cases}$$

•  $\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{R}}(k)$  increases on super-horizon scales during the contracting phase!

$$v_k'' + \left(c_s^2 k^2 - \frac{z''}{z}\right) v_k = 0$$
, with  $v_k \equiv z \mathscr{R}_k$  and  $z \equiv \frac{a\sqrt{\rho + p}}{c_s H M_{\text{Pl}}}$ .

• Considering in the following to a short duration bouncing phase and requiring continuity of  $v_k$  and  $v'_k$  one can derive  $\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{R}}(k)$  during both the bouncing and the HBB eras.

$$v_k'' + \left(c_s^2 k^2 - \frac{z''}{z}\right) v_k = 0$$
, with  $v_k \equiv z \mathscr{R}_k$  and  $z \equiv \frac{a\sqrt{\rho + p}}{c_s H M_{\text{Pl}}}$ .

- Considering in the following to a short duration bouncing phase and requiring continuity of  $v_k$  and  $v'_k$  one can derive  $\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{R}}(k)$  during both the bouncing and the HBB eras.
- Since  $\mathscr{R}$  is conserved on super-horizon scales during the HBB era one can show that at horizon-crossing time, i.e. k = aH (PBH formation time) that

$$v_k'' + \left(c_s^2 k^2 - \frac{z''}{z}\right) v_k = 0$$
, with  $v_k \equiv z \mathscr{R}_k$  and  $z \equiv \frac{a\sqrt{\rho + p}}{c_s H M_{\text{Pl}}}$ .

- Considering in the following to a short duration bouncing phase and requiring continuity of  $v_k$  and  $v'_k$  one can derive  $\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{R}}(k)$  during both the bouncing and the HBB eras.
- Since  $\mathscr{R}$  is conserved on super-horizon scales during the HBB era one can show that at horizon-crossing time, i.e. k = aH (PBH formation time) that

$$v_k'' + \left(c_s^2 k^2 - \frac{z''}{z}\right) v_k = 0$$
, with  $v_k \equiv z \mathscr{R}_k$  and  $z \equiv \frac{a\sqrt{\rho + p}}{c_s H M_{\text{Pl}}}$ .

- Considering in the following to a short duration bouncing phase and requiring continuity of  $v_k$  and  $v'_k$  one can derive  $\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{R}}(k)$  during both the bouncing and the HBB eras.
- Since  $\mathscr{R}$  is conserved on super-horizon scales during the HBB era one can show that at horizon-crossing time, i.e. k = aH (PBH formation time) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{R} \ll aH \\
\mathscr{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) \simeq \underbrace{0.7\Upsilon^8 \cos^2 A^2}_{C_{s,m}^3 H_-^4 H_+^2 \pi^2 (H_+^2 + 2\Upsilon)^4} - \frac{1.4B^2 \sqrt{c_{s,m}} \Upsilon^{17/2} \cos A \sin A\sqrt{k}}{c_{s,m}^3 H_-^4 H_+^2 \pi^2 (H_+^2 + 2\Upsilon)^4} \\
& + \frac{\Upsilon^5 \left[ 0.7c_{s,m} H_-^3 \sin A^2 + 0.9B^2 \Upsilon \cos A \left( -\frac{2\Upsilon^2 \cos A}{H_+ (H_+^2 + 2\Upsilon)} + \sqrt{\Upsilon} \sin A \right) \right] k}{4c_{s,m}^3 H_-^5 H_+^2 \pi^2 \left( 1 + \frac{H_+^2}{2\Upsilon} \right)^2 (H_+^2 + 2\Upsilon)^2} \\
& \text{with } A = (H_- + H_+) / \sqrt{\Upsilon} \text{ and } B = \sqrt{H_-/\Upsilon} .
\end{aligned}$$

$$v_k'' + \left(c_s^2 k^2 - \frac{z''}{z}\right) v_k = 0$$
, with  $v_k \equiv z \mathscr{R}_k$  and  $z \equiv \frac{a\sqrt{\rho + p}}{c_s H M_{\text{Pl}}}$ .

- Considering in the following to a short duration bouncing phase and requiring continuity of  $v_k$  and  $v'_k$  one can derive  $\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{R}}(k)$  during both the bouncing and the HBB eras.
- Since  $\mathscr{R}$  is conserved on super-horizon scales during the HBB era one can show that at horizon-crossing time, i.e. k = aH (PBH formation time) that

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) \simeq \frac{0.7\Upsilon^8 \cos^2 A^2}{c_{s,m}^3 H_-^4 H_+^2 \pi^2 (H_+^2 + 2\Upsilon)^4} - \frac{1.4B^2 \sqrt{c_{s,m}} \Upsilon^{17/2} \cos A \sin A\sqrt{k}}{c_{s,m}^3 H_-^4 H_+^2 \pi^2 (H_+^2 + 2\Upsilon)^4}$$

$$k \gg aH + \frac{\Upsilon^5 \left[ 0.7c_{s,m} H_-^3 \sin A^2 + 0.9B^2 \Upsilon \cos A \left( -\frac{2\Upsilon^2 \cos A}{H_+ (H_+^2 + 2\Upsilon)} + \sqrt{\Upsilon} \sin A \right) \right] k}{4c_{s,m}^3 H_-^5 H_+^2 \pi^2 \left( 1 + \frac{H_+^2}{2\Upsilon} \right)^2 (H_+^2 + 2\Upsilon)^2} + \mathcal{O}(k^{3/2}),$$
with  $A = (H_- + H_+)/\sqrt{\Upsilon}$  and  $B = \sqrt{H_-/\Upsilon}$ .

#### The curvature power spectrum



#### The curvature power spectrum



### The PBH abundance

$$\beta(M) \equiv \frac{1}{\rho_{\text{tot}}} \frac{d\rho_{\text{PBH}}}{d \ln M} = \int_{\delta_c}^{1} \text{PDF}(\delta) d\delta \text{ within peak theory}$$
$$\Rightarrow f_{\text{PBH}} \equiv \frac{\Omega_{\text{PBH},0}}{\Omega_{\text{DM},0}} = \left(\frac{\beta(M)}{3.27 \times 10^{-8}}\right) \left(\frac{106.75}{g_{*,f}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^{-1/2}.$$

•

### The PBH abundance



## The scalar-induced GW signal



 $\Omega_{\rm GW} \propto \mathcal{P}_h(k) \propto \iint {\rm d} u {\rm d} v f^2(u,v,\eta) \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(ku,\eta) \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(kv,\eta) \propto \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}^2 \propto k^2 \propto f^2$ 

## Induced GW signal at nHz



# Testing alternative gravity theories with PBHs and induced GWs

- Scalar induced gravitational waves from primordial black hole Poisson fluctuations in f(R) gravity, T. Papanikolaou C. Tzerefos, S. Basilakos and E. N. Saridakis, JCAP 10 (2022) 013 e-Print: 2112.15059 [astro-ph.CO]
- No constraints for f(T) gravity from gravitational waves induced from primordial black hole fluctuations, T.Papanikolaou, C. Tzerefos, S. Basilakos and E. N. Saridakis, Eur. Phys. J. C 83 (2023) 1, 31 • e-Print: 2205.06094 [gr-qc]
- Constraining F(R) bouncing cosmologies with primordial black holes, S. Banerjee, T. Papanikolaou, E. N. Saridakis, Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) 12, 124012 e-Print: 2206.01150 [gr-qc]
- Scalar induced gravitational waves in modified teleparallel gravity theories, C. Tzerefos, T. Papanikolaou, S. Basilakos and E. N. Saridakis, Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023) 12, 124019 e-Print: 2303.16695 [gr-qc]
- Primordial black holes in loop quantum cosmology: the effect on the threshold, T. Papanikolaou, Class. Quant. Grav. 40 (2023) 13, 134001 e-Print: 2301.11439 [gr-qc]
- Gravitational-wave signatures of gravito-electromagnetic couplings, T. Papanikolaou, C. Tzerefos, S. Capozziello, G. Lambiase, e-Print: 2408.17259 [astro-ph.CO]

# Probing fundamental high energy physics theories with PBHs and induced GWs:

#### The case of no-scale supergravity

[S. Basilakos, D.V. Nanopoulos, **T. Papanikolaou**, E. N. Saridakis, C. Tzerefos **Phys. Lett. B 850 (2024) 138507**]

 Supergravity (SUGRA) [D. Z. Freedman et al. - 1976, S. Deser and B. Zumino - 1976] is the low-energy effective field theory (EFT) a higher dimensional Superstring theory, combining the principles of supersymmetry (SUSY) and general relativity.

- Supergravity (SUGRA) [D. Z. Freedman et al. 1976, S. Deser and B. Zumino 1976] is the low-energy effective field theory (EFT) a higher dimensional Superstring theory, combining the principles of supersymmetry (SUSY) and general relativity.
- Working within the context of early-Universe cosmology, one can in principle embed an inflationary framework within SUGRA compatible with CMB data.

- Supergravity (SUGRA) [D. Z. Freedman et al. 1976, S. Deser and B. Zumino 1976] is the low-energy effective field theory (EFT) a higher dimensional Superstring theory, combining the principles of supersymmetry (SUSY) and general relativity.
- Working within the context of **early-Universe cosmology**, one can in principle **embed an inflationary framework within SUGRA** compatible with CMB data.
- This can occur naturally within "no-scale" supergravity models, where all the relevant energy scales are functions of only  $M_{\rm Pl}$  [E. Cremmer et al. 1983, J. R. Ellis, C. Kounnas and D. V. Nanopoulos 1984]

- Supergravity (SUGRA) [D. Z. Freedman et al. 1976, S. Deser and B. Zumino 1976] is the low-energy effective field theory (EFT) a higher dimensional Superstring theory, combining the principles of supersymmetry (SUSY) and general relativity.
- Working within the context of early-Universe cosmology, one can in principle embed an inflationary framework within SUGRA compatible with CMB data.
- This can occur naturally within "no-scale" supergravity models, where all the relevant energy scales are functions of only  $M_{\rm Pl}$  [E. Cremmer et al. 1983, J. R. Ellis, C. Kounnas and D. V. Nanopoulos 1984]
- The simplest representation of SUGRA is characterized by 2 functions. The **Kahler potential** *K* and the **superpotential W**.

- Supergravity (SUGRA) [D. Z. Freedman et al. 1976, S. Deser and B. Zumino 1976] is the low-energy effective field theory (EFT) a higher dimensional Superstring theory, combining the principles of supersymmetry (SUSY) and general relativity.
- Working within the context of early-Universe cosmology, one can in principle embed an inflationary framework within SUGRA compatible with CMB data.
- This can occur naturally within "no-scale" supergravity models, where all the relevant energy scales are functions of only  $M_{\rm Pl}$  [E. Cremmer et al. 1983, J. R. Ellis, C. Kounnas and D. V. Nanopoulos 1984]
- The simplest representation of SUGRA is characterized by 2 functions. The **Kahler potential** *K* and the **superpotential W**. At the end, one can write the SUGRA action and the effective inflationary potential as

$$S = \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left( K_{i\bar{j}} \partial_\mu \Phi^i \partial^\mu \bar{\Phi}^{\bar{j}} - V \right), \text{ with } V(\Phi, \bar{\Phi}) = e^K (K^{i\bar{j}} \mathcal{D}_i W \mathcal{D}_{\bar{j}} \bar{W} - 3 |W|^2),$$
  
where  $K^{i\bar{j}}(\Phi, \bar{\Phi}) \equiv \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial \Phi^i \partial \bar{\Phi}^{\bar{j}}}, \quad \mathcal{D}_i W \equiv \partial_i W + K_i W.$ 

- Supergravity (SUGRA) [D. Z. Freedman et al. 1976, S. Deser and B. Zumino 1976] is the low-energy effective field theory (EFT) a higher dimensional Superstring theory, combining the principles of supersymmetry (SUSY) and general relativity.
- Working within the context of early-Universe cosmology, one can in principle embed an inflationary framework within SUGRA compatible with CMB data.
- This can occur naturally within "no-scale" supergravity models, where all the relevant energy scales are functions of only  $M_{\rm Pl}$  [E. Cremmer et al. 1983, J. R. Ellis, A. Lahanas, D. V. Nanopoulos & K. Tamvakis 1984]
- The simplest representation of SUGRA is characterized by 2 functions. The **Kahler potential** *K* and the **superpotential W**.

• For  $K = -3 \ln \left( T + \overline{T} - \frac{\phi \overline{\phi}}{3} \right)$  and  $\phi = \sqrt{3c} \tanh \left( \frac{\chi}{\sqrt{3}} \right)$  one gets Starobinsky inflation [J.

R. Ellis, D. V. Nanopoulos & K. Olive - PRL 2013],

$$V(\chi) = \frac{\mu^2}{4} \left( 1 - e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\chi} \right)^2.$$

#### PBHs in no-scale SUGRA inflection-point inflation

#### PBHs in no-scale SUGRA inflection-point inflation

• Working within the simplest no-scale SUGRA model, namely the Wess-Zumino one, where  $W = \frac{\mu}{2}\phi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{3}\phi^3$ , one can **produce naturally (light and not only)** 

**PBHs** by introducing **non-perturbative deformations of** K [D.V. Nanopoulos, V. Spanos, and I. Stamou - 2020] which can be recast as

$$K = -3\ln\left(T + \bar{T} - \frac{\phi\bar{\phi}}{3} + ae^{-b(\phi + \bar{\phi})^4}(\phi + \bar{\phi})^4\right).$$

#### PBHs in no-scale SUGRA inflection-point inflation

• Working within the simplest no-scale SUGRA model, namely the Wess-Zumino one, where  $W = \frac{\mu}{2}\phi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{3}\phi^3$ , one can **produce naturally (light and not only)** 

**PBHs** by introducing **non-perturbative deformations of** K [D.V. Nanopoulos, V. Spanos, and I. Stamou - 2020] which can be recast as

$$K = -3\ln\left(T + \bar{T} - \frac{\phi\bar{\phi}}{3} + ae^{-b(\phi + \bar{\phi})^4}(\phi + \bar{\phi})^4\right).$$

• One then gets the following **inflection-point inflationary potential**:

$$V(\phi) = \frac{3e^{12b\phi^2}\phi^2(c\mu^2 - 2\sqrt{3c}\lambda\mu\phi + 3\lambda^2\phi^2)}{\left[-48a\phi^4 + e^{4b\phi^2}(-3c + \phi^2)\right]^2 \left\{e^{4b\phi^2} - 24a\phi^2[6 + 4b\phi^2(-9 + 8b\phi^2)]\right\}}$$
#### PBHs in no-scale SUGRA inflection-point inflation

• Working within the simplest no-scale SUGRA model, namely the Wess-Zumino one, where  $W = \frac{\mu}{2}\phi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{3}\phi^3$ , one can **produce naturally (light and not only)** 

**PBHs** by introducing **non-perturbative deformations of** K [D.V. Nanopoulos, V. Spanos, and I. Stamou - 2020] which can be recast as

$$K = -3\ln\left(T + \bar{T} - \frac{\phi\bar{\phi}}{3} + ae^{-b(\phi + \bar{\phi})^4}(\phi + \bar{\phi})^4\right).$$

• One then gets the following **inflection-point inflationary potential**:

$$V(\phi) = \frac{3e^{12b\phi^2}\phi^2(c\mu^2 - 2\sqrt{3c}\lambda\mu\phi + 3\lambda^2\phi^2)}{\left[-48a\phi^4 + e^{4b\phi^2}(-3c + \phi^2)\right]^2 \left\{e^{4b\phi^2} - 24a\phi^2[6 + 4b\phi^2(-9 + 8b\phi^2)]\right\}}$$

• In particular, inflection-point inflation, where  $V''(\chi_{inflection}) = V'(\chi_{inflection}) \simeq 0$ , one realises naturally an ultra slow-roll (USR) phase, during which the non-constant mode of the curvature perturbation grows exponentially leading to PBH formation.

### Ultra-light PBHs in no-scale SUGRA



$$a = -1, b = 22.35, c = 0.065, \mu = 2 \times 10^{-5}$$

$$\lambda/\mu = 0.3333449$$
 and  $\chi_0 = 1.034 M_{\rm Pl}$ 

#### Ultra-light PBHs in no-scale SUGRA



#### **Ultra-light PBHs in no-scale SUGRA**



### **Ultra-light PBH domination**



Working within peak theory, we derive the PBH mass function defined as

$$\beta(M) \equiv \frac{1}{\rho_{\text{tot}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_{\text{PBH}}}{\mathrm{d}\ln M}$$

### **Ultra-light PBH domination**



#### GWs induced by inflationary adiabatic perturbations.



#### GWs induced by inflationary adiabatic perturbations.



## A distinctive three-peaked GW signal



# Testing fundamental high energy physics theories with PBHs and induced GWs

- Primordial black holes and gravitational waves from non-canonical inflation, T. Papanikolaou, A. Lymperis, S. Lola, E.N. Saridakis, Published in: JCAP 03 (2023) 003 • e-Print: 2211.14900 [astro-ph.CO]
- Induced gravitational waves from flipped SU(5) superstring theory at nHz, S. Basilakos, D. V. Nanopoulos, T. Papanikolaou, E.N. Saridakis, C. Tzerefos, Phys. Lett. B 849 (2024) 138446, e-Print: 2309.15820 [hep-th]
- Revisiting string-inspired running-vacuum models under the lens of light primordial black holes, T. Papanikolaou, C. Tzerefos, S. Basilakos, E.N. Saridakis, N. E. Mavromatos, Phys. Rev. D 110 (2024) 2, 024055 • e-Print: 2402.19373 [gr-qc]
- Observable Signatures of No-Scale Supergravity in NANOGrav, S. Basilakos, D. V. Nanopoulos, T. Papanikolaou, E.N. Saridakis, C. Tzerefos, JMPD (2024) e-Print: 2409.02936 [gr-qc]
- Gravitational wave signatures from reheating in Cern-Simons running-vacuum cosmology, S. Basilakos, C. Tzerefos, T. Papanikolaou, S. Basilakos, N.E. Mabromatos, e-Print: 2411.14223 [gr-qc]

• PBHs are associated with a very rich phenomenology depending on their mass.

- PBHs are associated with a very rich phenomenology depending on their mass.
- Within the asteroid-mass windows one can produce up to the totality of the dark matter with PBHs.

- PBHs are associated with a very rich phenomenology depending on their mass.
- Within the asteroid-mass windows one can produce up to the totality of the dark matter with PBHs.
- Through scalar-induced GWs one can probe

- PBHs are associated with a very rich phenomenology depending on their mass.
- Within the asteroid-mass windows one can produce up to the totality of the dark matter with PBHs.
- Through scalar-induced GWs one can probe
- A. The physics of the very early Universe [small-scale  $\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{R}}(k)$ , primordial non-Gaussianities].

- PBHs are associated with a very rich phenomenology depending on their mass.
- Within the asteroid-mass windows one can produce up to the totality of the dark matter with PBHs.
- Through scalar-induced GWs one can probe
- A. The physics of the very early Universe [small-scale  $\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{R}}(k)$ , primordial non-Gaussianities].
- B. The underlying nature of gravity

- PBHs are associated with a very rich phenomenology depending on their mass.
- Within the asteroid-mass window one can produce up to the totality of the dark matter with PBHs.
- Through scalar-induced GWs one can probe
- A. The physics of the very early Universe [small-scale  $\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{R}}(k)$ , primordial non-Gaussianities].
- B. The underlying nature of gravity
- C. Fundamental High Energy Physics (HEP) theories

# Thanks for your attention!

# Appendix

### Deriving $\mathcal{P}_{\Phi}(k)$

• The uniform energy density curvature perturbations  $\zeta_{\rm PBH}$  and  $\zeta_{\rm r}$ :

$$\zeta_{\rm r} = -\Phi + \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\rm r}, \quad \zeta_{\rm PBH} = -\Phi + \frac{1}{3}\delta_{\rm PBH}$$

• The isocurvature perturbation

$$S = 3\left(\zeta_{\rm PBH} - \zeta_{\rm r}\right) = \delta_{\rm PBH} - \frac{3}{4}\delta_{\rm r}$$

• The comoving curvature perturbation  ${\mathscr R}$ 

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\Phi' / \mathcal{H} + \Phi}{1 + w} + \Phi \simeq -\zeta \text{ for } \mathbf{k} \ll \mathcal{H}$$

- When w = 0,  $\Phi = \text{constant}$  and  $\Phi' = 0$ . Thus, for  $k \ll \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{R} = -\zeta = \frac{3}{3}\Phi$ .
- For  $k \ll \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\zeta = \zeta_{\text{PBH}} = \zeta_{\text{r}} + S/3 \simeq S/3 \simeq \delta_{\text{PBH}}(t_{\text{f}})/3$ . Thus,  $\Phi = \delta_{\text{PBH}}(t_{\text{f}})/5$  for  $k \ll \mathcal{H}$ .
- For sub-horizon scales, i.e.  $k \gg \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\frac{d^2\delta_{\text{PBH}}}{ds^2} + \frac{2+3s}{2s(s+1)}\frac{d\delta_{\text{PBH}}}{ds} - \frac{3}{2s(s+1)}\delta_{\text{PBH}} = 0 \Rightarrow \delta_{\text{PBH}} = \frac{2+3s}{2+3s_f}\delta_{\text{PBH}}(t_f) \text{ with } s = a/a_d$$

• Knowing that 
$$\delta_{\text{PBH}} = -\frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{k}{aH}\right)^2 \Phi$$
, one gets that  $\Phi = -\frac{9}{4} \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_d}{k}\right)^2 \delta_{\text{PBH}}(t_f)$  for  $k \gg \mathcal{H}$ .

#### From the curvature power spectrum to the PBH mass function

$$\mathcal{P}_{\zeta}(k)$$
Peak Theory :  $\mathcal{N}(\nu) = \frac{\mu^3}{4\pi^2} \frac{\nu^3}{\sigma^3} e^{-\nu^2/2},$ 
where  $\nu \equiv \frac{\delta}{\sigma}$ 

$$\beta_{\nu} = \frac{M_{\text{PBH}}(\nu)}{M_{\text{H}}} \mathcal{N}(\nu)\Theta(\nu - \nu_{\text{c}})$$

$$M_{\text{PBH}} = M_{\text{H}} \mathcal{K}(\delta - \delta_{\text{c}})^{\gamma}$$
[Niemeyer et al. - 1997]
$$\delta_{\text{m}} = \delta_l - \frac{3}{8} \delta_l^2$$
[DeLuca et al., Young et al - 2019]

$$\sigma^{2} = \frac{4(1+w)^{2}}{(5+3w)^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{k} (kR)^{4} \tilde{W}^{2}(k,R) \mathscr{P}_{\zeta}(k)$$
$$\mu^{2} = \frac{4(1+w)^{2}}{(5+3w)^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{k} (kR)^{4} \tilde{W}^{2}(k,R) \mathscr{P}_{\zeta}(k) \left(\frac{k}{aH}\right)^{2}$$

$$\beta(M) = \int_{\nu_{\rm c}}^{\frac{4}{3\sigma}} \mathrm{d}\nu \frac{\mathscr{K}}{4\pi^2} \left(\nu\sigma - \frac{3}{8}\nu^2\sigma^2 - \delta_{\rm c}\right)^{\gamma} \frac{\mu^3\nu^3}{\sigma^3} e^{-\nu^2/2},$$

where  $\delta_{c}$  depending on the shape of  $\mathscr{P}_{\zeta}(k)$ 

[Musco et al - 2020]

### The PBH matter power spectrum

• In this case, we have a gas of **PBHs with different masses.** We should define a PBH mean separation scale accounting for the extended PBH mass distribution function.

$$\langle M \rangle(t) \equiv \frac{\int_{M_{\min}}^{M_{\max}} M\bar{\beta} (M, t) \left\{ 1 - \frac{t - t_{\min}}{\Delta t_{evap}(M_{f})} \right\}^{1/3} d\ln M}{\int_{M_{\min}}^{M_{\max}} \bar{\beta} (M, t) d\ln M} \Rightarrow \bar{r} = \left(\frac{3\langle M \rangle}{4\pi\rho_{\text{PBH}}}\right)^{1/3}$$

$$P_{\delta_{\text{PBH}}}(k) \equiv \langle |\delta_{k}^{\text{PBH}}|^{2} \rangle = \frac{4\pi}{3k_{\text{UV}}^{3}}, \text{ where } k < k_{\text{UV}} = \frac{a}{\bar{r}}$$

$$\oint$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\Phi}(k) = S_{\Phi}^{2}(k) \frac{2}{3\pi} \left(\frac{k}{k_{\text{UV}}}\right)^{3} \left(5 + \frac{4}{9}\frac{k^{2}}{k_{d}^{2}}\right)^{-2}$$

#### The gravitational potential $\Phi$



The scales considered *a*)  $\delta_{\text{PBH},k} \propto a : \delta_{\text{PBH},k_{\text{NL}}}(\eta_{\text{r}}) = 1 \Rightarrow k_{\text{NL}} = k_{\text{UV}}^{3/7} \left(\frac{3\pi}{2}\right)^{1/7} \left(\frac{a_{\text{d}}}{a_{\text{r}}}\right)^{2/7} \left(\frac{4a_{\text{d}}^2}{9t_{\text{d}}^2}\right)^{2/7}$ 

b) Being quite conservative, we consider only modes  $k \in [k_r, k_{max}]$ .

$$k_{\max} = \min[k_{d}, k_{NL}] \Rightarrow \mathscr{P}_{\Phi}(k) = \frac{2}{75\pi} \left(\frac{k}{k_{UV}}\right)^{3}$$

### Primordial non-Gaussianities of local type

$$\xi_{\text{PBH}}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \equiv \langle \delta_{\text{PBH}}(\mathbf{x}_1) \delta_{\text{PBH}}(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle = \int \mathscr{P}_{\text{PBH}}(k) e^{\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2)} d\ln k$$
$$R \ll 1$$

Ansatz 1: 
$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k_{\rm f})e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\ln^2\left(\frac{k}{k_{\rm f}}\right)} + 2.2 \times 10^{-9} \left(\frac{k}{0.05 \,{\rm Mpc}^{-1}}\right)^{0.965-1}$$
, with  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k_{\rm f}) \simeq 10^{-2}$ 

Ansatz 1: 
$$\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{R}} = \mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{R}}(k_{\rm f})e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\ln^2\left(\frac{k}{k_{\rm f}}\right)} + 2.2 \times 10^{-9} \left(\frac{k}{0.05 \,{\rm Mpc}^{-1}}\right)^{0.965-1}$$
, with  $\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{R}}(k_{\rm f}) \simeq 10^{-2}$ 

Ansatz 2: 
$$\tau_{\text{NL}}(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) = \frac{\tau_{\text{NL}}(k_f)}{6} \left[ e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_\tau^2} \left( \ln^2 \frac{k_1}{k_f} + \ln^2 \frac{k_2}{k_f} \right)} + 5 \text{ perms} \right]$$





Scale Hierarchy :  $10^5 \text{Mpc}^{-1} < k_{\text{evap}} < k_{\text{d}} < k_{\text{c}} < k_{\text{UV}} \ll k_{\text{f}} \sim 1/R$ 

### **Hierarchy of scales**

$$\frac{k_{\rm UV}}{k_{\rm f}} = \left(\frac{\Omega_{\rm PBH,f}}{\gamma}\right)^{1/3}, \frac{k_{\rm d}}{k_{\rm f}} = \sqrt{2}\Omega_{\rm PBH,f},$$
$$\frac{k_{\rm evap}}{k_{\rm f}} = \left(\frac{3.8g_*\Omega_{\rm PBH,f}}{960\gamma}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{M_{\rm PBH}}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^{-2/3}.$$

 $\Omega_{\rm GW}(\eta_0, k_{\rm UV}) < 10^{-6} \,({\rm BBN~GW~bound}) : \Omega_{\rm PBH,f} \lesssim 10^{-6} \left(\frac{M_{\rm PBH}}{10^4 {\rm g}}\right)^{-17/24}$ 

Early PBH domination :  $\Omega_{\text{PBH,f}} > 6 \times 10^{-10} \frac{10^4 \text{g}}{M_{\text{PBH}}}$ 

PBH mass range :  $1g < M_{PBH} < 10^9 g$ 

Scale Hierarchy :  $10^{5}$ Mpc<sup>-1</sup> <  $k_{evap}$  <  $k_{d}$  <  $k_{c}$  <  $k_{UV} \ll k_{f} \sim 1/R$ 

### **Cosmological motivation for no-scale SUGRA**

No-scale SUGRA models have some very attractive features:

1. They naturally give rise to **Strarobinsky-like inflationary models,** favoured by Planck [J.R. Ellis et al. - 2013, C. Kounnas et al. - 2015].

2. The inflationary energy scale being naturally much smaller than  $M_{\rm Pl}$  [J. R. Ellis, D. V. Nanopoulos, K. A. Olive and K. Tamvakis - (1982)] consistent with a very small r < 0.004.

3. The inflaton can be viewed as a singlet field in a see-saw mechanism responsible for the **generation of neutrino-masses** [Murayama et al. - 1993], providing as well an efficient scenario for **reheating and leptogenesis** [M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida - 1986].

4. One is naturally met with a **vanishing cosmological constant** at the tree level [E. Cremmer, S. Ferrara, C. Kounnas and D. V. Nanopoulos - 1983].