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#### Abstract

We study invariant properties of 5-dimensional para-CR structures whose Levi form is degenerate in precisely one direction and which are 2 -nondegenerate. We realize that two, out of three, primary (basic) para-CR invariants of such structures are the classical differential invariants known to Monge (1810) and to Wünschmann (1905): $$
\begin{aligned} & M(G):=40 G_{p p p}^{3}-45 G_{p p} G_{p p p} G_{p p p p}+9 G_{p p}^{2} G_{p p p p p}, \\ & W(H):=9 D^{2} H_{r}-27 D H_{p}-18 H_{r} D H_{r}+18 H_{p} H_{r}+4 H_{r}^{3}+54 H_{z} . \end{aligned}
$$


The vanishing $M(G) \equiv 0$ provides a local necessary and sufficient condition for the graph of a function in the ( $p, G$ )-plane to be contained in a conic, while the vanishing $W(H) \equiv 0$ gives an if-and-only-if condition for a $3^{\text {rd }}$ order ODE to define a natural Lorentzian geometry on the space of its solutions.

Mainly, we give a geometric interpretation of the third basic invariant of our class of para-CR structures, the simplest one, of lowest order, and of mixed nature $N(G, H):=2 G_{p p p}+G_{p p} H_{r r}$. We establish that the vanishing $N(G, H) \equiv 0$ gives an if-and-only-if condition for the two 3-dimensional quotients of the paraCR manifold by its two canonical integrable rank-2 distributions, to be equipped with contact projective geometries.

A curious transformation between the Wünschmann invariant and the Monge invariant, first noted by us in a recent publication [15], is also discussed, and its mysteries are further revealed.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 58A15 (primary); 53A55, 32V05, $53 \mathrm{C} 10,58 \mathrm{~A} 30,34 \mathrm{~A} 26,34 \mathrm{C} 14,53-08$ (secondary).

## 1. Introduction

Motivated by recent advances on Levi degenerate 5-dimensional CR manifolds [2, $7,8,10,11,16,19-23]$, we classified in [15] all homogeneous Levi degenerate 5-
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dimensional para-CR manifolds, extending Fels-Kaup's spectacular CR classification [3]. Para-CR structures can be defined either as (smooth) submanifolds of solutions (see [9, 12, 13] for background), or as completely integrable (smooth) PDE systems, a computationally more accessible point of view.

Working over $\mathbb{R}$ (or $\mathbb{C}$ ), a Levi degenerate 5D para-CR structure which is 2nondegenerate with respect to variables [13, Section 14] can be represented as a PDE system on the plane of the form

$$
z_{x x x}=H\left(x, y, z, z_{x}, z_{x x}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad z_{y}=G\left(x, y, z, z_{x}, z_{x x}\right)
$$

for a function $z=z(x, y)$ of two variables, with the compatibility $\Delta H=D^{3} G$, where

$$
D:=\partial_{x}+p \partial_{z}+r \partial_{p}+H \partial_{r}, \quad \Delta:=\partial_{y}+G \partial_{z}+D G \partial_{p}+D^{2} G \partial_{r}
$$

$$
\text { (abbreviate } \left.p:=z_{x}, r:=z_{x x}\right)
$$

A general solution $z=z(x, y, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ exists [12], depending on 3 real parameters $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}$. The Levi form [13, Section 8] is of rank 1 iff $G_{r} \equiv 0$ [ib. Proposition 22.1]. The para-CR structure is also 2-nondegenerate with respect to parameters [ib. Proposition 25.2] iff $G_{p p} \neq 0$. Once the link with CR geometry through Levi form and 2-nondegeneracy has been understood, one can study "from scratch" PDE systems of this kind $z_{x x x}=H, z_{y}=G$, and re-discover $H_{r}$ and $G_{p p}$ as relative invariants.

Conducting non-straightforward Cartan-type computations in [15], we found the 3 primary relative differential invariants ( $c f$. Theorem 5.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I^{1} \sim 9 D^{2} H_{r}-27 D H_{p}-18 H_{r} D H_{r}+18 H_{p} H_{r}+4 H_{r}^{3}+54 H_{z}, \\
& I^{2} \sim 40 G_{p p p}^{3}-45 G_{p p} G_{p p p} G_{p p p p}+9 G_{p p}^{2} G_{p p p p p}, \\
& I^{3} \sim 2 G_{p p p}+G_{p p} H_{r r},
\end{aligned}
$$

whose identical vanishing $0 \equiv I^{1} \equiv I^{2} \equiv I^{3}$ characterizes para-CR equivalence to the flat model $z_{x x x}=0, z_{y}=\frac{1}{4} z_{x}^{2}$. The associated submanifold of solutions is the tube over the light cone $(x-\bar{x})^{2}+(y-\bar{y})(z-\bar{z})=0$, see Section 2, which has Lie symmetry algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{s o}(3,2, \mathbf{R}) \cong \mathfrak{s p}(4, \mathbf{R})$.

Strikingly, the flat model of such 5D para-CR structures is the same as the flat model for the geometry of $3^{\text {rd }}$ order ODEs considered modulo contact transformation of variables [1,5,6,24], which is also given as an EDS satisfied by the Maurer-Cartan forms on $\operatorname{Sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$. A decade ago, the first author raised

Question 1.1. What are the links between 2-nondegenerate 5D para-CR structures and $3^{\text {rd }}$ order ODEs?

Thus, consider a smooth 5D para-CR structure $z_{y}=G\left(x, y, z, z_{x}\right), z_{x x x}=$ $H\left(x, y, z, z_{x}, z_{x x}\right)$, with $G_{r} \equiv 0 \neq G_{p p}$ and $\Delta H=D^{3} G$. There are at least formal links with $3^{\text {rd }}$ order ODEs. Firstly, there is incorporated a family of third order ODEs $z_{x x x}=H\left(x, y, z, z_{x}, z_{x x}\right)$, parametrized by $y$. Secondly, the paraCR invariant $I^{1}$ is the contact Wünschmann invariant appearing in the theory of $3^{\text {rd }}$
order ODEs. Pushing forward our use of Cartan's method in [15], we will exhibit in Section 5 deeper structural links.

Theorem 1.2. If the para-CR invariant $I^{3}$ vanishes:

$$
2 G_{p p p}+G_{p p} H_{r r} \equiv 0
$$

then associated to such a para-CR structure, there are two contact equivalence classes of $3^{\text {rd }}$ order ODEs, both having respective Chern invariant zero:

$$
\mathbf{Z}(H) \equiv 0
$$

The other basic contact invariant of these (contact invariant) classes of ODEs, namely the Wünschmann invariant $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}$, is proportional:
(a) To the Wünschmann para-CR invariant, $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}} \sim 9 D^{2} H_{r}-27 D H_{p}-18 H_{r} D H_{r}+$ $18 H_{p} H_{r}+4 H_{r}^{3}+54 H_{z}$, in the case of the first class of ODEs;
(b) To the Monge para-CR invariant, $\mathbf{A}_{1} \sim 40 G_{p p p}^{3}-45 G_{p p} G_{p p p} G_{p p p p}+$ $9 G_{p p}^{2} G_{\text {ppppp }}$, in the case of the second class of ODEs.

Chern in 1940 [1] observed that $\mathbf{Z}(H)=H_{r r r r}$ is a contact relative invariant of any ODE $z^{\prime \prime \prime}=H\left(x, z, z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right)$. The geometric meaning of $\mathbf{Z}(H) \equiv 0$ relies on a rather recent notion of a contact projective structure [4,5], see Definition 4.3 below. As a corollary, applying results of $[5,6]$, we obtain

Theorem 1.3. Under the same assumption $I^{3} \equiv 0$, the para-CR structure defines two natural contact projective geometries on certain two 3-dimensional quotient spaces of the 5D para-CR manifold.

Concept explanations being required to make the paper self contained, we start by briefly collecting:
(a) Basic facts about 5-dimensional para-CR structures ([9]; we follow exposition and notation from [15]);
(b) Rudiments of the theory of contact geometry of $3^{\text {rd }}$ order ODEs ([1]; we follow $[5,6]$ );
(c) Facts from the theory of contact projective structures ([4]; we follow [6]).

Then we will prove the above two theorems.

## 2. Degenerate 5-dimensional para-CR-structures

Recall from $[9,12]$ that a para-CR structure is a geometric structure which a hypersurface $M^{2 n-1} \subset\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ acquires from the ambient product space $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$. More specifically one considers a local hypersurface

$$
M_{2 n-1}=\left\{\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \ni(x, \bar{x}) \mid \Phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, \bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{n}\right)=0\right\}
$$

with $d_{x} \Phi \neq 0 \neq d_{\bar{x}} \Phi$, modulo (local) diffeomorphisms $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ preserving the splitting of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}=\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$, i.e., $\varphi(x, \bar{x})=(\psi(x), \bar{\psi}(x))$, where $\psi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\bar{\psi}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ are (local) diffeomorphisms.

The lowest dimension where these structures are interesting is $n=2$. If nondegenerate, such para-CR structures are in 1-1 correspondence with $2^{\text {nd }}$ order ODEs considered modulo point transformations of variables [12,18]. In this article we will deal with the next dimension, $n=3$, and will study 5-dimensional para-CR structures.

A 5-dimensional para-CR structure, i.e., a hypersurface $M^{5} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}$ considered modulo split transformations of the product $\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}$, can be defined in terms of a graph of a function $z$ of five variables, $z=z(x, y, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$, where $(x, y, z, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ are coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{6}=\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}$. This in turn, can be considered as a general solution to a completely integrable system of two PDEs on the plane $(x, y)$ for a function $z=z(x, y)$, in which $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ denote constants of integration and parametrize the solution space of the corresponding system of PDEs.

Example 2.1 (Model). Take $(x-\bar{x})^{2}+(y-\bar{y})(z-\bar{z})=0$, and solve it for $z$ obtaining: $z=-\frac{(x-\bar{x})^{2}}{y-\bar{y}}+\bar{z}$. Now think about $(x, y)$ as independent variables, and $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ as parameters. Obviously $z_{x x x}=0$. Also, because $z_{y}=\frac{(x-\bar{x})^{2}}{(y-\bar{y})^{2}}$ and $z_{x}=\frac{-2(x-\bar{x})}{(y-\bar{y})}$, we have $z_{y}=\frac{1}{4} z_{x}^{2}$. So, a para-CR structure defined by the cone $(x-\bar{x})^{2}+(y-\bar{y})(z-\bar{z})=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}$ defines a system of PDEs on the plane

$$
z_{x x x}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad z_{y}=\frac{1}{4} z_{x}^{2} \quad \text { for } \quad z=z(x, y) .
$$

Conversely, given this system of PDEs, $z_{x x x}=0$ solves as $z=\alpha(y) x^{2}+\beta(y) x+$ $\gamma(y)$, and $z_{y}=\frac{1}{4} z_{x}^{2}$ gives sucessively: $\alpha^{\prime}=\alpha^{2}$, hence $\alpha=\frac{-1}{y-\bar{y}}, \beta^{\prime}=\frac{-\beta}{y-\bar{y}}$, hence $\beta=\frac{2 \bar{x}}{y-\bar{y}}, \gamma^{\prime}=\frac{\bar{x}^{2}}{(y-\bar{y})^{2}}$, hence $\gamma=\frac{-\bar{x}^{2}}{y-\bar{y}}+\bar{z}$. This finally gives $z=$ $\frac{-\bar{x}^{2}}{y-\bar{y}}+\bar{z}+\frac{2 x \bar{x}}{y-\bar{y}}-\frac{x^{2}}{y-\bar{y}}$, i.e., the cone

$$
(x-\bar{x})^{2}+(y-\bar{y})(z-\bar{z})=0
$$

In general, we consider the following system of two PDEs on the plane

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{x x x}=H\left(x, y, z, z_{x}, z_{x x}\right) \text { and } z_{y}=G\left(x, y, z, z_{x}, z_{x x}\right) \text { for } z=z(x, y) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2 ([12]). The general solution of (2.1) depends on 3 parameters $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$, and has the form $z=z(x, y ; \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\triangle H=D^{3} G \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, abbreviating $p=z_{x}, r=z_{x x}$,

$$
D=\partial_{x}+p \partial_{z}+r \partial_{p}+H \partial_{r}, \quad \Delta=\partial_{y}+G \partial_{z}+D G \partial_{p}+D^{2} G \partial_{r}
$$

General solutions of systems (2.1) give examples of 5-dimensional para-CR structures. We prefer the PDE point of view, and we will stick to this in the following. In particular, in this point of view, para-CR transformations for hypersurfaces in ( $x, y, z, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}$ ), are the point transformations of variables of (2.1).

Thus, we can either describe our para-CR geometry as a geometry of hypersurfaces in the ( $x, y, z, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}$ ) space (modulo appropriate diffeomorphisms), or as a geometry of PDEs (2.1) considered modulo point transformation of variables.

It is clear from the hypersurfaces picture, that a 5 -dimensional para-CR manifold $M^{5}$ is equipped with two integrable distributions $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$. These are tangent to the foliations of $M^{5}$ obtained by intersecting it with either the 3-planes $\{x=$ const, $y=$ const, $z=$ const $\}$, or the 3 -planes $\{\bar{x}=$ const, $\bar{y}=$ const, $\bar{z}=$ const $\}$.

In the PDE picture, these two distributions are the respective annihilators of the following system of 1 -forms:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\omega^{1}=\mathrm{d} z-p \mathrm{~d} x-G \mathrm{~d} y \\
\omega^{2}=\mathrm{d} p-r \mathrm{~d} x-D G \mathrm{~d} y \\
\omega^{3}=\mathrm{d} r-H \mathrm{~d} x-D^{2} G \mathrm{~d} y
\end{array}\right)^{\perp} \text { and }  \tag{2.3}\\
& D_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\omega^{1}=\mathrm{d} z-p \mathrm{~d} x-G \mathrm{~d} y \\
\omega^{4}=\mathrm{d} x \\
\omega^{5}=\mathrm{d} y
\end{array}\right)^{\perp}
\end{align*}
$$

Actually, the condition that $D_{1}$ is integrable is precisely the integrability condition (2.2) guaranteeing that the PDE system (2.1) has a 3-parameter family of solutions [12]. Note that the rank 4 distribution $D=D_{1}+D_{2}$ is also well defined.

This enables for a definition of a 5-dimensional para-CR structure, locally, "à la Élie Cartan".

Definition 2.3. A 5 -dimensional para-CR structure is a structure consisting of an equivalence class $[\omega]$ of coframes $\omega=\left(\omega^{1}, \omega^{2}, \omega^{3}, \omega^{4}, \omega^{5}\right)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{5}$ parameterized by ( $x, y, z, p, r$ ), with an equivalence relation $\sim$ given by

$$
\bar{\omega} \sim \omega \quad \Longleftrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{l}
\bar{\omega}^{1} \\
\bar{\omega}^{2} \\
\bar{\omega}^{3} \\
\bar{\omega}^{4} \\
\bar{\omega}^{5}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
f_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
f_{2} & \rho \mathrm{e}^{\phi} & f_{4} & 0 & 0 \\
f_{5} & f_{6} & f_{7} & 0 & 0 \\
\overline{f_{2}} & 0 & 0 & \rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi} & \bar{f}_{4} \\
\bar{f}_{5} & 0 & 0 & \bar{f}_{6} & \bar{f}_{7}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\omega^{1} \\
\omega^{2} \\
\omega^{3} \\
\omega^{4} \\
\omega^{5}
\end{array}\right),
$$

with $\omega^{1}=\mathrm{d} z-p \mathrm{~d} x-G \mathrm{~d} y, \omega^{2}=\mathrm{d} p-r \mathrm{~d} x-D G \mathrm{~d} y, \omega^{3}=\mathrm{d} r-H \mathrm{~d} x-D^{2} G \mathrm{~d} y$, $\omega^{4}=\mathrm{d} x, \omega^{5}=\mathrm{d} y$, being in the class $[\omega]$.

The integrabilities of the two distributions $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$, as defined in (2.3), implies that

$$
\left(\mathrm{d} \omega^{1}-L_{11} \omega^{2} \wedge \omega^{4}-L_{12} \omega^{2} \wedge \omega^{5}-L_{21} \omega^{3} \wedge \omega^{4}-L_{22} \omega^{3} \wedge \omega^{5}\right) \wedge \omega^{1} \equiv 0
$$

with a certain $2 \times 2$ matrix $L$ of functions $L_{A B}, A, B=1,2$, on $M^{5}$ defined by this condition.

The matrix $L$, called the Levi form, is not well defined by the equivalence class of $\omega$, but its signature is. Hence $\operatorname{det}(L)=0$, or $\operatorname{det}(L) \neq 0$, is a para-CR invariant condition at each point. If $\operatorname{det}(L) \neq 0$, the corresponding para-CR structure is nondegenerate, and it defines one of the parabolic geometries in dimension 5 (flat model - a flying soucer in the attacking mode).

In this paper we consider para-CR structures with

$$
L \neq 0 \quad \text { but such that } \quad \operatorname{det}(L) \equiv 0
$$

These are 5-dimensional para-CR structures with Levi form $L$ degenerate in 1 direction.

In terms of our PDEs, this degeneracy means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{r} \equiv 0, \quad \text { that is } \quad G=G\left(x, y, z, z_{x}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also do not want that our para-CR structure is locally para-CR-equivalent to a product of a 3-dimensional para-CR manifold $M^{3}$ and a product $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. This results in our further assumption that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{p p} \neq 0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Basic invariants for degenerate para-CR structures

Summarizing, we study systems of PDEs on the plane:

$$
z_{x x x}=H(x, y, z, p, r) \quad \text { and } \quad z_{y}=G(x, y, z, p) \quad \text { for } \quad z(x, y),
$$

such that

$$
\triangle H=D^{3} G \quad \text { and } \quad G_{p p} \neq 0 \text {, }
$$

with $D=\partial_{x}+p \partial_{z}+r \partial_{p}+H \partial_{r}, \Delta=\partial_{y}+G \partial_{z}+D G \partial_{p}+D^{2} G \partial_{r}$, and $p=z_{x}, r=z_{x x}$, considered modulo point transformations of variables. This is equivalent to study coframes $\omega^{1}=\mathrm{d} z-p \mathrm{~d} x-G \mathrm{~d} y, \omega^{2}=\mathrm{d} p-r \mathrm{~d} x-D G \mathrm{~d} y$, $\omega^{3}=\mathrm{d} r-H \mathrm{~d} x-D^{2} G \mathrm{~d} y, \omega^{4}=\mathrm{d} x, \omega^{5}=\mathrm{d} y$, with $D^{3} G=\triangle H, G_{p p} \neq 0$, and $G_{r} \equiv 0$, given modulo

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\omega^{1}  \tag{3.1}\\
\omega^{2} \\
\omega^{3} \\
\omega^{4} \\
\omega^{5}
\end{array}\right) \longmapsto\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
f_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
f_{2} & \rho \mathrm{e}^{\phi} & f_{4} & 0 & 0 \\
f_{5} & f_{6} & f_{7} & 0 & 0 \\
\overline{f_{2}} & 0 & 0 & \rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi} & \bar{f}_{4} \\
\bar{f}_{5} & 0 & 0 & \bar{f}_{6} & \bar{f}_{7}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\omega^{1} \\
\omega^{2} \\
\omega^{3} \\
\omega^{4} \\
\omega^{5}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

In reference [15], studying such structures, we established among other things, the following

Theorem 3.1. It is always possible to invariantly force the lifted coframe $\theta^{1}=$ $f_{1} \omega^{1}, \theta^{2}=f_{2} \omega^{1}+\rho \mathrm{e}^{\phi} \underline{\omega}^{2}+f_{4} \underline{\omega}^{3}, \theta^{3}=f_{5} \omega^{1}+f_{6} \omega^{2}+f_{7} \omega^{3}, \theta^{4}=\bar{f}_{2} \omega^{1}+$ $\rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi} \omega^{4}+\bar{f}_{4} \omega^{5}, \theta^{5}=\bar{f}_{5} \omega^{1}+\bar{f}_{6} \omega^{2}+\bar{f}_{7} \omega^{3}$ to satisfy the following EDS:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d} \theta^{1}=\Omega_{1} \wedge \theta^{1}+\theta^{2} \wedge \theta^{4}, \\
& \mathrm{~d} \theta^{2}=\theta^{2} \wedge\left(\Omega_{2}-\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{1}\right)-\theta^{1} \wedge \Omega_{3}+\theta^{3} \wedge \theta^{4} \\
& \mathrm{~d} \theta^{3}=2 \theta^{3} \wedge \Omega_{2}-\theta^{2} \wedge \Omega_{3}+Q \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{3}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\phi}}{3 \rho}\right)^{3} \mathbf{A} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{4}+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{3 \rho} \mathbf{C} \theta^{2} \wedge \theta^{3}, \\
& \mathrm{~d} \theta^{4}=-\theta^{2} \wedge \theta^{5}-\theta^{4} \wedge\left(\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{1}+\Omega_{2}\right)-\theta^{1} \wedge \Omega_{4}, \\
& \mathrm{~d} \theta^{5}=-2 \theta^{5} \wedge \Omega_{2}+\theta^{4} \wedge \Omega_{2}+\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\phi}}{3 \rho}\right)^{3} \mathbf{B} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2}+Q \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{5}+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\phi}}{3 \rho} \tilde{\mathbf{C}} \theta^{4} \wedge \theta^{5},
\end{aligned}
$$

in which three primary relative differential invariants are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{A}=9 D^{2} H_{r}-27 D H_{p}-18 H_{r} D H_{r}+18 H_{p} H_{r}+4 H_{r}^{3}+54 H_{z} \\
& \mathbf{B}=\left(\frac{1}{2 G_{p p}^{3}}\right)\left[40 G_{p p p}^{3}-45 G_{p p} G_{p p p} G_{p p p p}+9 G_{p p}^{2} G_{p p p p p}\right] \\
& \mathbf{C}=\left(\frac{1}{G_{p p}}\right)\left[2 G_{p p p}+G_{p p} H_{r r}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, the vanishing or not of each of $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$ is an invariant property of the corresponding para-CR structure. Lastly, $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$ vanishes identically when $\mathbf{C} \equiv 0$.

## Remarks 3.2.

- Flat model: $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{C}=0$, and this is locally equivalent to $z_{x x x}=0$, $z_{y}=\frac{1}{4} z_{x}^{2}$, i.e., to the para-CR structure from our Example 2.1 in the beginning, cf. [15];
- Symmetries: A vector field $X$ on $M^{5} \ni(x, y, z, p, r)$ is a symmetry of the para-CR structure as defined in (2.1)-(2.3) if and only if

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\mathcal{L}_{X} \omega^{1}\right) \wedge \omega^{1}=0, & \\
\left(\mathcal{L}_{X} \omega^{2}\right) \wedge \omega^{1} \wedge \omega^{2} \wedge \omega^{3}=0, & \left(\mathcal{L}_{X} \omega^{4}\right) \wedge \omega^{1} \wedge \omega^{4} \wedge \omega^{5}=0, \\
\left(\mathcal{L}_{X} \omega^{3}\right) \wedge \omega^{1} \wedge \omega^{2} \wedge \omega^{3}=0, & \left(\mathcal{L}_{X} \omega^{5}\right) \wedge \omega^{1} \wedge \omega^{4} \wedge \omega^{5}=0
\end{array}
$$

Any Lie bracket of two symmetries is a symmetry, which brings the notion of a symmetry algebra of a para-CR-structure: the Lie algebra over the reals of all symmetries;

- For our flat model with $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{C}=0$, the symmetry algebra is $\mathfrak{s p}(4, \mathbb{R}) \simeq$ $\mathfrak{s o}(2,3)$.


## 4. Geometry of Wünschmann and Monge Invariants

The explicit expressions for the relative invariants $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ of the considered para-CR structures redirect us to the theory of $3^{\text {rd }}$ order ODEs considered mod-
ulo contact transformations of variables and to differential geometry of conics on the plane. We therefore make the following interlude in our main theme now.

## 4.1. $3^{\text {rd }}$ order ODEs considered modulo contact transformation of variables

We formulate a theorem [5,6] about the main structure which is associated with third-order ODEs modulo contact transformations of variables, namely about an $\mathfrak{s p}(4, \mathbb{R})$-valued Cartan connection on the bundle $P^{10} \rightarrow \mathrm{~J}^{2}$. This structure will serve as a starting point for analyzing further geometries of ODEs.

Theorem 4.1. To every third order $O D E z^{\prime \prime \prime}=H\left(x, z, z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right)$, there is associated a (principal) fibre bundle $H_{6} \rightarrow P^{10} \rightarrow \mathrm{~J}^{2}$, over the space of second jets, where $\operatorname{dim} P^{10}=10$ and $H_{6}$ is an appropriate six-dimensional subgroup of $\operatorname{SP}(4, \mathbb{R})$, with the group parameters $u_{i}, i=1,2 \ldots, 6$, and a unique coframe of 1 -forms $\left(\theta^{1}, \theta^{2}, \theta^{3}, \theta^{4}, \theta^{5}, \Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}, \Omega_{3}, \Omega_{4}, \Omega_{5}\right)$ on $P^{10}$, which satisfies the following EDS:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} \theta^{1}= & \Omega_{1 \wedge} \theta^{1}+\theta^{4} \wedge \theta^{2}, \\
\mathrm{~d} \theta^{2}= & \Omega_{2} \wedge \theta^{1}+\Omega_{3 \wedge} \theta^{2}+\theta^{4} \wedge \theta^{3}, \\
\mathrm{~d} \theta^{3}= & \Omega_{2} \wedge \theta^{2}+\left(2 \Omega_{3}-\Omega_{1}\right) \wedge \theta^{3}+\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2}} \theta^{2} \wedge \theta^{1}+\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}} \theta^{4} \wedge \theta^{1}, \\
\mathrm{~d} \theta^{4}= & \Omega_{4} \wedge \theta^{1}+\left(\Omega_{1}-\Omega_{3}\right) \wedge \theta^{4}+\theta^{5} \wedge \theta^{2}, \\
\mathrm{~d} \theta^{5}= & \Omega_{4} \wedge \theta^{4}+\left(\Omega_{1}-2 \Omega_{3}\right) \wedge \theta^{5}+\left(\mathbf{A}_{7}+\mathbf{Z}_{3}\right) \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2}+\mathbf{Z}_{4} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{3} \\
& -\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{5}} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{4}+\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{1}} \theta^{2} \wedge \theta^{3}, \\
\mathrm{~d} \Omega_{1}= & \Omega_{5} \wedge \theta^{1}+\Omega_{4} \wedge \theta^{2}-\Omega_{2} \wedge \theta^{4},  \tag{4.1}\\
\mathrm{~d} \Omega_{2}= & \left(\Omega_{3}-\Omega_{1}\right) \wedge \Omega_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{5 \wedge} \theta^{2}+\Omega_{4} \wedge \theta^{3}+\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{3}} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2}+\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{4}} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{4}, \\
\mathrm{~d} \Omega_{3}= & {[2 p t] \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{5 \wedge} \theta^{1}+\Omega_{4} \wedge \theta^{2}+\theta^{5} \wedge \theta^{3}+\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{5}} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2}+\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2}} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{4}, } \\
\mathrm{~d} \Omega_{4}= & \theta^{5} \wedge \Omega_{2}+\Omega_{4 \wedge} \Omega_{3}+\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{5 \wedge} \theta^{4}+\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{6}}+\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{2}}\right) \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2}+2 \mathbf{Z}_{3} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{3} \\
& -\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{3}} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{4}+\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{4}} \theta^{2} \wedge \theta^{3} \\
\mathrm{~d} \Omega_{5}= & \Omega_{5} \wedge \Omega_{1}+2 \Omega_{4} \wedge \Omega_{2}+\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2}+2 \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{2}} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{3} \\
& +\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{8}} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{4}+2 \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{3}} \theta^{2} \wedge \theta^{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{8}}, \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}$ are functions on $P^{10}$.
The $8+4+1$ functions $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}$ are contact relative invariants of the underlying $O D E$ and the full set of contact invariants can be constructed by consecutive differentiations of $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}$ with respect to the frame $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}, X_{6}, X_{7}, X_{8}, X_{9}, X_{10}\right)$ dual to $\left(\theta^{1}, \theta^{2}, \theta^{3}, \theta^{4}, \Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}, \Omega_{3}\right.$, $\Omega_{4}, \Omega_{5}, \Omega_{6}$.

The coframe ( $\theta^{1}, \theta^{2}, \theta^{3}, \theta^{4}, \Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}, \Omega_{3}, \Omega_{4}, \Omega_{5}, \Omega_{6}$ ) defines the $\mathfrak{s p}(4, \mathbb{R})$ valued Cartan normal connection $\widehat{\omega}$ on $P^{10}$ by

$$
\widehat{\omega}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{1} & \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \Omega_{5}  \tag{4.2}\\
\theta^{4} & \Omega_{3}-\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{1} & -\theta^{5} & -\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{4} \\
\theta^{2} & \theta^{3} & \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{1}-\Omega_{3} & -\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{2} \\
2 \theta^{1} & \theta^{2} & -\theta^{4} & -\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The EDS (4.1) gives explicit formulas for the curvature $\widehat{K}=\mathrm{d} \widehat{\omega}+\widehat{\omega} \wedge \widehat{\omega}$ of this Cartan normal connection, with the invariant functions $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{f f}}, \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}$, , being the apropriate entries in the coframe components matrices $\widehat{K}_{i j}$ of $\widehat{K}=\frac{1}{2} \widehat{K}_{i j} \theta^{i} \wedge \theta^{j}$.

Two $3^{\text {rd }}$ order ODEs $y^{\prime \prime \prime}=F\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $\bar{y}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\bar{F}\left(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{y}^{\prime}, \bar{y}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are locally contact equivalent if and only if their associated Cartan connections are locally diffeomorphic, that is, there exists a local bundle diffeomorphism $\Phi: \bar{P} \rightarrow$ $P$ such that

$$
\Phi^{*} \widehat{\omega}=\overline{\widehat{\omega}}
$$

It further follows that:

- $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2}}, \ldots, \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{8}}$ express in terms of coframe derivatives of $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}$;
- $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{2}}, \ldots, \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{4}}$ express in terms of coframe derivatives of $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{1}}$;
- $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}$ is a function of coframe derivatives of both $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{1}}$.

So only $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{1}}$ are basic (primary) invariants, namely all other (secondary) invariants are deduced by differentiation. Their remarkable explicit expressions are given by
Proposition 4.2. Letting $D=\partial_{x}+p \partial_{z}+r \partial_{p}+H \partial_{r}$, and $u_{1}$ and $u_{3}$ be the parameters along the gauge group $H_{6}$ mentioned in Theorem 4.1, one has:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{u_{3}}{3 u_{1}}\right)^{3}\left[9 D^{2} H_{r}-27 D H_{p}-18 H_{r} D H_{r}+18 H_{p} H_{r}+4 H_{r}^{3}+54 H_{z}\right] \\
& =: \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{u_{3}}{3 u_{1}}\right)^{3} \mathbf{A}, \\
\mathbf{Z}_{1} & =\frac{u_{1}^{2}}{6 u_{3}^{5}} H_{r r r r}=: \frac{u_{1}^{2}}{6 u_{3}^{5}} \mathbf{Z} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the contact relative invariant $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}$ for a contact equivalence class of ODEs $z^{\prime \prime \prime}=H\left(x, z, z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is given, modulo a nonvanishing scaling factor, by the same expression as one of our basic para-CR invariants $\mathbf{A}$ for the 5-dimensional para-CR manifolds with Levi form degenerate in one direction. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ It is not a big surprise, though, since our PDEs on the plane (2.1) include a one parameter family of ODEs $z^{\prime \prime \prime}=H\left(x, y, z, z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right)$, parametrized by the variable $y$.

The expression $\mathbf{A}=9 D^{2} H_{r}-27 D H_{p}-18 H_{r} D H_{r}+18 H_{p} H_{r}+4 H_{r}^{3}+$ $54 H_{z}$ was for the first time obtained in 1905 by Wünschmann [24], who observed that its vanishing or not is a contact invariant property of an ODE $z^{\prime \prime \prime}=$ $H\left(x, z, z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right)$. More importantly, he also established the geometric interpretation of the vanishing of $\mathbf{A}$. According to Wünschmann, if $\mathbf{A} \equiv 0$, the 3-dimensional solution space of the ODE $z^{\prime \prime \prime}=H\left(x, z, z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is naturally equipped with a conformal Lorentzian structure; moreover, there is a local one-to-one correspondence between 3-dimensional conformal Lorentzian structures and contact equivalence classes of $\mathrm{ODEs} z^{\prime \prime \prime}=H\left(x, z, z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right)$ satisfying $\mathbf{A} \equiv 0$.

The first person who observed that the vanishing or not of $\mathbf{Z}=H_{r r r}$ is a contact invariant property of the ODE $z^{\prime \prime \prime}=H\left(x, z, z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right)$ was Chern in 1940 [1]. The geometric meaning of the condition that $\mathbf{Z}$ vanishes is less known [5]. To fully apreciate it, one needs a rather recent notion of a contact projective structure [4]. Here is its definition, adapted to our case of a 3-dimensional manifold of first jets $J^{1}$ of the equation $z^{\prime \prime \prime}=H\left(x, z, z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

Definition 4.3. A contact projective structure on the first jet space $J^{1} \ni(x, z, p)$ consists of:
(i) The contact distribution $\mathcal{C}$, that is the distribution annihilated by $\omega^{1}=\mathrm{d} z-$ $p \mathrm{~d} x$;
(ii) A family of unparameterized curves in $J^{1}$, which are everywhere tangent to $\mathcal{C}$ and such that:
(a) For any given point and direction in $\mathcal{C}$, there is exactly one curve passing through that point and tangent to that direction;
(b) Curves of the family are among unparameterized geodesics for some linear connection on $J^{1}$.

In other words, the idea of this geometry in the context of ODEs is as follows ${ }^{2}$ : Consider the solutions of the ODE $z^{\prime \prime \prime}=H\left(x, z, z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right)$ as a family of curves in $J^{1}$ and ask whether these curves are among geodesics of a linear connection. The answer to this question is positive if and only if $H_{r r r r} \equiv 0$, and in this case there is a whole family of connections for which the solutions are geodesics.

This information about the Wünschmann, A, and the Chern, $\mathbf{Z}$, invariants can be nicely phrased in terms of the natural double fibration

of the space of second jets for the ODE $z^{\prime \prime \prime}=H\left(x, z, z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right)$ over (a) the solution space $S$ and (b) the space of first jets $J^{1}$. Here, $\pi_{1}$ is the natural projection from $J^{2}$

[^0]to $J^{1}, \pi_{1}\left(x, z, z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(x, z, z^{\prime}\right)$, and $\pi_{2}$ is a projection from $J^{2}$ to the space of solutions $S$ identifying points on the integral curves of the total differential vector field $D=\partial_{x}+z^{\prime} \partial_{z}+z^{\prime \prime} \partial_{z^{\prime}}+H \partial_{z^{\prime \prime}}$ on $J^{2}$. In terms of this double fibration, we have the following proposition, in which $z^{\prime}=p, z^{\prime \prime}=r$, and $D=\partial_{x}+p \partial_{z}+$ $r \partial_{p}+H \partial_{r}$.

Proposition 4.4. Two basic (primary) local contact relative invariants for $3^{\text {rd }}$ order ODEs $z^{\prime \prime \prime}=H\left(x, z, z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are the Wünschmann invariant, $\mathbf{A}=9 D^{2} H_{r}-$ $27 D H_{p}-18 H_{r} D H_{r}+18 H_{p} H_{r}+4 H_{r}^{3}+54 H_{z}$, and the Chern invariant, $\mathbf{Z}=H_{r r r}$.

The vanishing of the Wünschmann invariant, $\mathbf{A} \equiv 0$, is equivalent to having a conformal Lorentzian structure on the solution space $S$, while the vanishing of the Chern invariant, $\mathbf{Z} \equiv 0$, is equivalent to having a contact projective structure on the space of first jets $J^{1}$.

### 4.2. Conics on the plane

Consider the most general conic on the plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ parameterized by $(p, G) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Such a conic is a curve in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ given by the equation

$$
a_{1} G^{2}+2 a_{2} p G+a_{3} p^{2}+a_{4} G+a_{5} p+a_{6}=0
$$

and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{6}$ are real constants. One can think about the equation $a_{1} G^{2}+$ $2 a_{2} p G+a_{3} p^{2}+a_{4} G+a_{5} p+a_{6}=0$ as an implicit relation for a function $G=G(p)$, whose graph on the plane is a conic. It was Monge [17], who in 1810 found a differential equation satisfied by this function. To get this equation one eliminates $a_{2}, \ldots, a_{6}$ from the system of linear equations

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{k}}{\mathrm{~d} p^{k}}\left(a_{1} G^{2}+2 a_{2} p G+a_{3} p^{2}+a_{4} G+a_{5} p+a_{6}\right)=0, \text { for all } k=0,1,2,3,4,5
$$

The result is

$$
a_{1} G_{p p}\left(40 G_{p p p}^{3}-45 G_{p p} G_{p p p} G_{p p p p}+9 G_{p p}^{2} G_{p p p p p}\right)=0
$$

Excluding the nongeneric case when $a_{1} G_{p p}=0$, one obtains the Monge 5th order ODE

$$
40 G_{p p p}^{3}-45 G_{p p} G_{p p p} G_{p p p p}+9 G_{p p}^{2} G_{p p p p p}=0
$$

for a local function $G=G(p)$ to have a graph contained in a general conic.
In the context of this paper it is necessary to note, that the left-hand side of this expression $\mathbf{M}:=40 G_{p p p}^{3}-45 G_{p p} G_{p p p} G_{p p p p}+9 G_{p p}^{2} G_{p p p p p}$ is, modulo a nonvanishing factor, the same as the relative para-CR invariant $\mathbf{B}$ for 5-dimensional para-CR structures given by (2.1)-(2.2), (2.4)-(2.5). More precisely, the vanishing of $\mathbf{B}$ is equivalent to the vanishing of a 3-parameter family of Monge 5th order ODEs $\mathbf{M}=0$, with parameters $x, y, z$.

This justifies our terminology, which we adopt from now on, that the relative para-CR invariant

$$
\mathbf{B}=\frac{1}{2 G_{p p}^{3}} \mathbf{M}
$$

or its core

$$
\mathbf{M}=40 G_{p p p}^{3}-45 G_{p p} G_{p p p} G_{p p p p}+9 G_{p p}^{2} G_{p p p p p}
$$

will be called the Monge invariant.
In this way we have a nice geometric interpretation of the vanishing of the para-CR invariant $\mathbf{B}$ : it vanishes if and only if $G=G(x, y, p, z)$ defines a (general) conic on the plane $(p, G)$.

We close this section with a remark that we have yet another geometric interpretation of the vanishing of the invariant $\mathbf{B}$. This is described in our recent paper [14], and is related to the single PDE $z_{y}=G\left(x, y, z, z_{x}\right)$ for a function $z=z(x, y)$ considered modulo point transformations of variables.

## 5. 5-Dimensional Para-CR Structures as $3^{\text {rd }}$ Order ODEs

Theorem 3.1, which we invoked in Section 2 of the present paper, has its more technical, but also more refined, version which we need now. We quote it from reference [15].

Theorem 5.1. Given the 1 -forms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega^{1}=\mathrm{d} z-p \mathrm{~d} x-G \mathrm{~d} y \\
& \omega^{2}=\mathrm{d} p-r \mathrm{~d} x-D G \mathrm{~d} y \\
& \omega^{3}=\mathrm{d} r-H \mathrm{~d} x-D^{2} G \mathrm{~d} y \\
& \omega^{4}=\mathrm{d} x, \quad \omega^{5}=\mathrm{d} y
\end{aligned}
$$

representing a 5-dimensional para- CR manifold with $G_{r}=0$ and $G_{p p} \neq 0$ one can always find a para-CR equivalent set of 1-forms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\omega}^{1}=f_{1} \omega^{1}, \\
& \bar{\omega}^{2}=f_{2} \omega^{1}+\rho \mathrm{e}^{\phi} \omega^{2}+f_{4} \omega^{3}, \\
& \bar{\omega}^{3}=f_{5} \omega^{1}+f_{6} \omega^{2}+f_{7} \omega^{3}, \\
& \bar{\omega}^{4}=\bar{f}_{2} \omega^{1}+\rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi} \omega^{4}+\bar{f}_{4} \omega^{5}, \\
& \bar{\omega}^{5}=\bar{f}_{5} \omega^{1}+\bar{f}_{6} \omega^{4}+\bar{f}_{7} \omega^{5},
\end{aligned}
$$

and additional 1-forms $\varpi_{1}, \varpi_{2}, \varpi_{3}, \varpi_{4}$ with

$$
\bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{3} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{5} \wedge \varpi_{1} \wedge \varpi_{2} \wedge \varpi_{3} \wedge \varpi_{4} \neq 0
$$

such that the nine 1-forms $\left(\bar{\omega}^{1}, \bar{\omega}^{2}, \bar{\omega}^{3}, \bar{\omega}^{4}, \bar{\omega}^{5}, \varpi_{1}, \varpi_{2}, \varpi_{3}, \varpi_{4}\right)$ satisfy the following EDS:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} \bar{\omega}^{1}= & -\bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \varpi_{1}+\bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4}, \\
\mathrm{~d} \bar{\omega}^{2}= & -\bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \varpi_{3}+\bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge\left(\varpi_{2}-\frac{1}{2} \varpi_{1}\right)+\bar{\omega}^{3} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4}, \\
\mathrm{~d} \bar{\omega}^{3}= & -\bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \varpi_{3}+2 \bar{\omega}^{3} \wedge \varpi_{2}+\frac{1}{8}\left(2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 4}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 52}\right) \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{3} \\
& +I^{1} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4}+I^{3} \bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{3},  \tag{5.1}\\
\mathrm{~d} \bar{\omega}^{4}= & -\bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \varpi_{4}-\bar{\omega}^{4} \wedge\left(\varpi_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \varpi_{1}\right)-\bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{5}, \\
\mathrm{~d} \bar{\omega}^{5}= & \bar{\omega}^{4} \wedge \varpi_{4}-2 \bar{\omega}^{5} \wedge \varpi_{2}+I^{2} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{2}+\frac{1}{8}\left(2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 4}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 52}\right) \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{5} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 5} \bar{\omega}^{4} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{5}, \\
\mathrm{~d} I^{1}= & I^{1}{ }_{\mid 1} \bar{\omega}^{1}+I^{1}{ }_{\mid 2} \bar{\omega}^{2}+I^{1}{ }_{\mid 3} \bar{\omega}^{3}+I^{1}{ }_{\mid 4} \bar{\omega}^{4}-\frac{3}{2} I^{1} \varpi_{1}-3 I^{1} \varpi_{2}, \\
\mathrm{~d} I^{2}= & I^{2}{ }_{\mid 1} \bar{\omega}^{1}+I^{2}{ }_{\mid 2} \bar{\omega}^{2}+I^{2}{ }_{\mid 4} \bar{\omega}^{4}+I^{2}{ }_{\mid 5} \bar{\omega}^{5}-\frac{3}{2} I^{2} \varpi_{1}+3 I^{2} \varpi_{2}, \\
\mathrm{~d} I^{3}= & I^{3}{ }_{\mid 1} \bar{\omega}^{1}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 2} \bar{\omega}^{2}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 3} \bar{\omega}^{3}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 4} \bar{\omega}^{4}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 5} \bar{\omega}^{5}-\frac{1}{2} I^{3} \varpi_{1}+I^{3} \varpi_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Integrability conditions $\left(\mathrm{d}^{2} \equiv 0\right)$ of these equations imply the existence of a 1 -form $\varpi_{5}$ such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} \varpi_{1}= & \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \varpi_{5}+\bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \varpi_{4}-\bar{\omega}^{4} \wedge \varpi_{3}, \\
\mathrm{~d} \varpi_{2}= & -\frac{1}{4} I^{3} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\varpi}_{3}-\frac{1}{8} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 5} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \varpi_{4}-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \varpi_{4}-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\omega}^{4} \wedge \varpi_{3} \\
& +\frac{1}{16}\left(I^{3}{ }_{\mid 522}+2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 42}-8 I^{2}{ }_{\mid 5}\right) \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{2}+\frac{1}{16}\left(I^{3}{ }_{\mid 523}+2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 43}\right) \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{3} \\
& +\frac{1}{16}\left(8 I^{1}{ }_{\mid 3}-I^{3}{ }_{\mid 524}-2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 44}\right) \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4}-\frac{1}{16}\left(I^{3}{ }_{\mid 525}+2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 45}\right) \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{5} \\
& +\frac{1}{8}\left(I^{3}{ }_{\mid 52}-2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 4}\right) \bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4}-\frac{1}{2} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 5} \bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{5}+I^{3} \bar{\omega}^{3} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4}-\bar{\omega}^{3} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{5}, \\
\mathrm{~d} \varpi_{3}= & \varpi_{3} \wedge\left(\frac{1}{2} \bar{\varpi}_{1}+\varpi_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{8}\left(2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 4}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 52}\right) \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \varpi_{3}+\frac{1}{4} I^{3} \bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \varpi_{3} \\
& +\frac{1}{8} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 5} \bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \varpi_{4}+\frac{1}{2} \bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \varpi_{5}+\bar{\omega}^{3} \wedge \varpi_{4}+J^{1} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{4}\left(4 I^{2}{ }_{\mid 5}+4 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 1}-2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 42}-I^{3}{ }_{\mid 522}\right) \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{3}+\left(I^{1} I^{3}-I^{1}{ }_{\mid 2}\right) \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4} \\
& +I^{1} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{5}-\frac{1}{16}\left(2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 43}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 523}\right) \bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{3} \\
& +\frac{1}{16}\left(I^{3}{ }_{\mid 524}-8 I^{1}{ }_{\mid 3}+2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 44}\right) \bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4}+\frac{1}{16}\left(2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 45}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 525}\right) \bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{5} \\
& -\frac{1}{8}\left(2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 4}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 52}\right) \bar{\omega}^{3} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4}, \\
\mathrm{~d} \varpi_{4}= & \varpi_{4} \wedge\left(\frac{1}{2} \bar{\omega}_{1}-\varpi_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{8}\left(2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 4}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 52}\right) \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \varpi_{4}-\frac{1}{4} I^{3} \bar{\omega}^{4} \wedge \varpi_{3} \\
& -\frac{1}{8} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 5} \bar{\omega}^{4} \wedge \bar{\varpi}_{4}+\frac{1}{2} \bar{\omega}^{4} \wedge \bar{\varpi}_{5}+\bar{\omega}^{5} \wedge \varpi_{3} \\
& +\frac{1}{128}\left(16\left(I^{3}{ }_{\mid 14}-I^{1} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 3}\right)+8\left(I^{3}{ }_{\mid 521}-I^{1}{ }_{\mid 3} I^{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+2 I^{3} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 44}+I^{3} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 524}\right) \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(2 I^{2}{ }_{\mid 4}+I^{2} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 5}\right) \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{2}-I^{2} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{1}{16}\left(8 I^{2}{ }_{\mid 5}-2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 42}-I^{3}{ }_{\mid 522}\right) \bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4} \\
& +\frac{1}{4}\left(I^{3}{ }_{\mid 524}-4 I^{1}{ }_{\mid 3}+2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 44}+2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 51}\right) \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{5} \\
& +\frac{1}{8}\left(2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 4}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 52}\right) \bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{5} \\
& -\frac{1}{16}\left(2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 43}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 523}\right) \bar{\omega}^{3} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4}-\frac{1}{16}\left(2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 45}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 525}\right) \bar{\omega}^{4} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{5}, \\
& \mathrm{~d} \varpi_{5}=\varpi_{5} \wedge \varpi_{1}+2 \varpi_{4} \wedge \varpi_{3}+J^{2} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \varpi_{3}+J^{3} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \varpi_{4} \\
& +\frac{1}{4}\left(2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 4}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 52}\right) \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \varpi_{5} \\
& +\frac{1}{8}\left(2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 4}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 52}\right) \bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \varpi_{4}-\frac{1}{8}\left(2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 4}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 52}\right) \bar{\omega}^{4} \wedge \varpi_{4} \\
& +J^{4} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{2}+J^{5} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{3} \\
& +J^{6} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4}+J^{7} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{5}-I^{2} \bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{3}+J^{8} \bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4} \\
& +\frac{1}{4}\left(I^{3}{ }_{\mid 524}-4 I^{1}{ }_{\mid 3}+2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 44}+2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 51}\right) \bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{5} \\
& +\frac{1}{4}\left(4 I^{2}{ }_{\mid 5}+4 I_{\mid 1}^{3}-2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 42}-I^{3}{ }_{\mid 522}\right) \bar{\omega}^{3} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4}-I^{1} \bar{\omega}^{4} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{5} \text {, } \\
& \mathrm{d} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 2}=\frac{1}{16}\left(16\left(I^{3}{ }_{\mid 12}-I^{2} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 5}\right)+I^{3}\left(8 I^{2}{ }_{\mid 5}-2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 42}-I^{3}{ }_{\mid 522}\right)\right) \bar{\omega}^{1} \\
& +I^{3}{ }_{\mid 22} \bar{\omega}^{2}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 23} \bar{\omega}^{3}+\frac{1}{8}\left(8\left(I^{3}{ }_{\mid 42}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 1}\right)+I^{3}\left(I^{3}{ }_{\mid 52}-2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 4}\right)\right) \bar{\omega}^{4} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(2\left(I^{3}{ }_{\mid 52}-I^{3}{ }_{\mid 4}\right)-I^{3} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 5}\right) \bar{\omega}^{5} \\
& -I^{3}{ }_{\mid 2} \varpi_{1}+2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 2} \varpi_{1}-I^{3}{ }_{\mid 3} \varpi_{3}-I^{3} \varpi_{4} \text {, } \\
& \mathrm{d} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 3}=\frac{1}{16}\left(16 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 13}-2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 3}\left(2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 4}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 52}\right)-I^{3}\left(I^{3}{ }_{\mid 523}+2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 43}\right)\right) \bar{\omega}^{1} \\
& +\left(I^{3}{ }_{\mid 23}-I^{3} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 3}\right) \bar{\omega}^{2} \\
& +I^{3}{ }_{\mid 33} \bar{\omega}^{3}+\frac{1}{2}\left(I^{3}{ }_{\mid 523}+2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 43}-2\left(I^{3}{ }_{\mid 2}+\left(I^{3}\right)^{2}\right)\right) \bar{\omega}^{4}+3 I^{3} \bar{\omega}^{5} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 3} \varpi_{1}+3 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 3} \varpi_{2}, \\
& \mathrm{~d} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 5}=I^{3}{ }_{\mid 51} \bar{\omega}^{1}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 52} \bar{\omega}^{2}+4 I^{3} \bar{\omega}^{3}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 54} \bar{\omega}^{4}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 55} \bar{\omega}^{5} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 5} \varpi_{1}-I^{3}{ }_{\mid 5} \varpi_{2}, \\
& \mathrm{~d} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 52}=I^{3}{ }_{\mid 521} \bar{\omega}^{1}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 522} \bar{\omega}^{2}+4\left(\left(I^{3}\right)^{2}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 2}\right) \bar{\omega}^{3}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 524} \bar{\omega}^{4} \\
& +\left(2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 45}+\left(I^{3}{ }_{\mid 5}\right)^{2}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 525}-2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 54}\right) \bar{\omega}^{5}-I^{3}{ }_{\mid 52} \varpi_{1}-4 I^{3} \varpi_{3} \text {, } \\
& \mathrm{d} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 55}=\frac{1}{16}\left(16 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 515}-I^{3}{ }_{\mid 5}\left(2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 45}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 525}\right)-2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 55}\left(I^{3}{ }_{\mid 4}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 52}\right)\right) \bar{\omega}^{1} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(4 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 45}+\left(I^{3}{ }_{\mid 5}\right)^{2}+2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 525}-2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 54}\right) \bar{\omega}^{2}+3 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 5} \bar{\omega}^{3} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 545}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 5} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 55}\right) \bar{\omega}^{4} \\
& +I^{3}{ }_{\mid 555} \bar{\omega}^{5}-\frac{1}{2} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 55} \varpi_{1}-3 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 55} \varpi_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, the coefficients $I^{1}, I^{2}, I^{3}$ are the respective incarnations of the basic paraCR relative invariants $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ from Theorem 3.1. Each of them is a nonzero
multiple of the respective $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$, as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I^{1} \sim 9 D^{2} H_{r}-27 D H_{p}-18 H_{r} D H_{r}+18 H_{p} H_{r}+4 H_{r}^{3}+54 H_{z} \\
& I^{2} \sim 40 G_{p p p}^{3}-45 G_{p p} G_{p p p} G_{p p p p}+9 G_{p p}^{2} G_{p p p p p}, \\
& I^{3} \sim 2 G_{p p p}+G_{p p} H_{r r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The other functions, such as, e.g., $I^{3}{ }_{\mid 5}$, are coframe derivatives of the basic invariants $I^{1}, I^{2}$ and $I^{3}$, with the convention that, for a function $f$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} f= & f_{\mid 1} \omega^{1}+f_{\mid 2} \omega^{2}+f_{\mid 3} \omega_{3}+f_{\mid 4} \omega^{4}+f_{\mid 5} \omega^{5}+(\ldots) \varpi_{1} \\
& +(\ldots) \varpi_{2}+(\ldots) \varpi_{3}+(\ldots) \varpi_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

The dotted coeffcients in this expression follow from $\mathrm{d}^{2}=0$ applied to the above EDS and to $f$. The coefficients $J^{1}, J^{2}, \ldots, J^{8}$ are not important here.

In this section we have an a priori "crazy idea" of relating the EDS of Theorem 5.1 to the EDS (4.1) from Theorem 4.1 describing $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ order ODEs. There are several reasons indicating that this idea is not so weird as it looks at first glance.

- As we already noticed, in our 5-dimensional para-CR structure theory, there is a family of third order ODEs $z_{x x x}=H\left(x, y, z, z_{x}, z_{x x}\right)$ incorporated;
- One of our para-CR invariants $\mathbf{A}$ is the contact (therefore also point) Wünschmann invariant appearing in the theory of $3^{\text {rd }}$ order ODEs;
- The flat model of our 5-dimensional para-CR structures is described in terms of the Maurer-Cartan forms on the Lie group $S p(4, \mathbb{R})$, which is the same as the description of the flat model for the geometry of third order ODEs considered modulo contact transformation of variables, which is also given as an EDS satisfied by the Maurer-Cartan forms on $\operatorname{Sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$.

This motivates our "crazy question", which actually, due to the discrete symmetry $D_{1} \longleftrightarrow D_{2}$ between the two integrable para-CR distributions $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$, consists of two questions:
Q1. Can we bring the EDS of Theorem 5.1, by only using para-CR transformations of forms $\left(\bar{\omega}^{1}, \bar{\omega}^{2}, \bar{\omega}^{3}, \bar{\omega}^{4}, \bar{\omega}^{5}\right)$, to the EDS (4.1) describing contact equivalence classes of $3^{\text {rd }}$ order ODEs? More specifically, can we force the system of 1-forms

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta^{1}=f_{1} \bar{\omega}^{1} \\
& \theta^{2}=f_{2} \bar{\omega}^{1}+\rho \mathrm{e}^{\phi} \bar{\omega}^{2}+f_{4} \bar{\omega}^{3} \\
& \theta^{3}=f_{5} \bar{\omega}^{1}+f_{6} \bar{\omega}^{2}+f_{7} \bar{\omega}^{3}  \tag{5.2}\\
& \theta^{4}=\bar{f}_{2} \bar{\omega}^{1}+\rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi} \bar{\omega}^{4}+\bar{f}_{4} \bar{\omega}^{5} \\
& \theta^{5}=\bar{f}_{5} \bar{\omega}^{1}+\bar{f}_{6} \bar{\omega}^{4}+\bar{f}_{7} \bar{\omega}^{5}
\end{align*}
$$

to satisfy the $\operatorname{EDS}(4.1)$, by an appropriate choice of the fiber parameters $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \rho\right.$, $\left.\phi, f_{4}, f_{5}, f_{6}, f_{7}, \bar{f}_{2}, \bar{f}_{4}, \bar{f}_{5}, \bar{f}_{6}, f_{7}\right) ?$

Q2. The same question as Q1, but now with the flip $\left(\bar{\omega}^{2}, \bar{\omega}^{3}\right) \longleftrightarrow\left(\bar{\omega}^{4}, \bar{\omega}^{5}\right)$, namely: can we force the system of 1-forms

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta^{1}=f_{1} \bar{\omega}^{1} \\
& \theta^{2}=f_{2} \bar{\omega}^{1}+\rho \mathrm{e}^{\phi} \bar{\omega}^{4}+f_{4} \bar{\omega}^{5} \\
& \theta^{3}=f_{5} \bar{\omega}^{1}+f_{6} \bar{\omega}^{4}+f_{7} \bar{\omega}^{5}  \tag{5.3}\\
& \theta^{4}=\bar{f}_{2} \bar{\omega}^{1}+\rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi} \bar{\omega}^{2}+\bar{f}_{4} \bar{\omega}^{3} \\
& \theta^{5}=\bar{f}_{5} \bar{\omega}^{1}+\bar{f}_{6} \bar{\omega}^{2}+\bar{f}_{7} \bar{\omega}^{3}
\end{align*}
$$

to satisfy the EDS (4.1), by an appropriate choice of the fiber parameters $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \rho\right.$, $\left.\phi, f_{4}, f_{5}, f_{6}, f_{7}, \bar{f}_{2}, \bar{f}_{4}, f_{5}, \bar{f}_{6}, f_{7}\right)$ ?

The next theorem gives the if-and-only-if answer for these questions, as well as the obstructions to achive the goals specified in questions $\mathbf{Q 1}$ and $\mathbf{Q 2}$, in terms of the para-CR invariants.

## Theorem 5.2.

- Question Q1 above has a positive answer if and only if $I^{3}{ }_{\mid 3} \equiv 0$. The paraCR structures related to $I^{3}{ }_{\mid 3} \equiv 0$ can be distinguished by the $\mathfrak{s p}(4, \mathbb{R})$-valued Cartan connection (4.2) whose curvature $\hat{K}$ has the basic invariant $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{1}} \equiv 0$ and the basic invariant $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}$ proportional to the Wünschmann invariant

$$
\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}} \sim 9 D^{2} H_{r}-27 D H_{p}-18 H_{r} D H_{r}+18 H_{p} H_{r}+4 H_{r}^{3}+54 H_{z}
$$

- Question $\mathbf{Q 2}$ above has a positive answer if and only if $I^{3}{ }_{\mid 55} \equiv 0$. The paraCR structures related to $I^{3}{ }_{\mid 55} \equiv 0$ can be distinguished by the $\mathfrak{s p}(4, \mathbb{R})$-valued Cartan connection (4.2) whose curvature $\hat{K}$ has the basic invariant $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{1}} \equiv 0$ and the basic invariant $\mathbf{A}_{1}$ proportional to the Wünschmann invariant

$$
\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}} \sim 40 G_{p p p}^{3}-45 G_{p p} G_{p p p} G_{p p p p}+9 G_{p p}^{2} G_{p p p p p}
$$

- Furthermore, each condition $I^{3}{ }_{\mid 3} \equiv 0$ and $I^{3}{ }_{\mid 55} \equiv 0$, considered separately, implies that the relative fundamental para-CR invariant $I^{3} \equiv 0$. So there is only one "if and only if" condition for a positive answer to questions Q1 or Q2: any of them has a positive answer if and only if the para-CR invariant $\mathbf{C}$ vanishes:

$$
2 G_{p p p}+G_{p p} H_{r r} \equiv 0
$$

Remark 5.3. Before starting the proof, we remark that this theorem provides a way of transforming two classical invariants, the Wünschmann one and the Monge one, into each other. This can be achieved by passing from the third order ODE corresponding to the Cartan connection related to the question $\mathbf{Q 1}$, to its dual $3^{\text {rd }}$ order ODE, described by the Cartan connection related to question Q2.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. We first answer question Q1. We start with the forms ( $\theta^{1}$, $\theta^{2}, \theta^{3}, \theta^{4}, \theta^{5}$ ) as in (5.2), and we try to make normalizations on $\mathrm{d} \theta^{i}$ as in (4.1). For full generality, we will not use (4.1) with the 1 -form $\theta^{5}$ in it. We will call this 1 -form $\Omega_{0}$ for a while. As we will see in the proof, the procedure we apply now, which is an adaptation of Cartan's equivalence method, is powerfull enough to determine the relation between $\Omega_{0}$ and $\theta^{5}$.

The first normalizations coming from (4.1), namely $\mathrm{d} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2}=0$ and $\mathrm{d} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{4}=0$, give

$$
f_{4}=\bar{f}_{4}=0
$$

and then, $\mathrm{d} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{1}=-\theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2} \wedge \theta^{4}$, gives

$$
f_{1}=-\rho^{2}
$$

Now the first condition in (4.1) enables to determine

$$
\Omega_{1}=\varpi_{1}-\frac{\bar{f}_{2}}{\rho^{2}} \theta^{2}+\frac{f_{2}}{\rho^{2}} \theta^{4}+\mathrm{d} \log \left(\rho^{2}\right)-u_{1} \theta^{1}
$$

up to the term with $\theta^{1}$, which requires to introduce a new variable $u_{1}$.
We now make the normalization $\mathrm{d} \theta^{2} \wedge \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2}=-\theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2} \wedge \theta^{3} \wedge \theta^{4}$, which results in

$$
f_{7}=-\mathrm{e}^{2 \phi}
$$

After this normalization, the second equation in (4.1) solves for $\Omega_{2}$ and $\Omega_{3}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{2}= & -\frac{\bar{f}_{2}}{\rho^{2}} \theta^{3}+\frac{f_{5} \rho^{2}-f_{2}^{2}-f_{2} f_{6} \rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{\rho^{4}} \theta^{4}-\frac{f_{2}}{\rho^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2} \varpi_{1}+\varpi_{2}\right)-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\phi}}{\rho} \varpi_{3} \\
& +\frac{f_{2}}{\rho^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \log \left(\frac{\rho \mathrm{e}^{\phi}}{f_{2}}\right)+\frac{2 \rho^{2} u_{2}-f_{2} u_{1}}{2 \rho^{2}} \theta^{1}+\frac{2 \rho^{4} u_{3}-f_{2} \bar{f}_{2}}{2 \rho^{4}} \theta^{2}, \\
\Omega_{3}= & -\frac{f_{2}+f_{6} \rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{\rho^{2}} \theta^{4}+\frac{1}{2} \varpi_{1}-\varpi_{2}+\mathrm{d} \log \left(\rho \mathrm{e}^{\phi}\right) \\
& +\frac{2 \rho^{4} u_{3}-3 f_{2} \bar{f}_{2}-2 \bar{f}_{2} f_{6} \rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{2 \rho^{4}} \theta^{1}-\frac{\bar{f}_{2}+2 \rho^{2} u_{4}}{2 \rho^{2}} \theta^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}$ are new variables taking account on how indeterminate are $\Omega_{2}$ and $\Omega_{3}$.

Now $\mathrm{d} \theta^{4} \wedge \theta^{2} \wedge \theta^{4}=\Omega_{4 \wedge} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2} \wedge \theta^{4}$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{4}= & \frac{f_{2} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \phi}}{\bar{f}_{7} \rho^{2}} \theta^{5}+\frac{\bar{f}_{2}}{\rho^{2}}\left(\varpi_{2}-\frac{1}{2} \varpi_{1}\right)-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{\rho} \varpi_{4}+\frac{\bar{f}_{2}}{\rho^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \log \left(\frac{\rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{\bar{f}_{2}}\right) \\
& +\frac{3 f_{2} \bar{f}_{2}^{2}-2 \bar{f}_{2} \rho^{4}\left(u_{1}+u_{3}\right)-2 \rho^{6} u_{5}+2 \bar{f}_{2}^{2} f_{6} \rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{2 \rho^{6}} \theta^{1} \\
& +\frac{2 \bar{f}_{2} \rho^{2} u_{4}-\bar{f}_{2}^{2}-2 \rho^{4} u_{6}}{2 \rho^{4}} \theta^{2}+\frac{2 f_{2} \bar{f}_{2}-\rho^{4} u_{7}+\bar{f}_{2} f_{6} \rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{\rho^{4}} \theta^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\mathrm{d} \theta^{4} \wedge \theta^{4}=\left(\Omega_{4 \wedge} \theta^{1}+\Omega_{0 \wedge} \theta^{2}\right) \wedge \theta^{4}$, shows that the ODE 1-form $\Omega_{0}$ must be expressed in terms of $\theta^{1}, \theta^{2}, \theta^{4}, \theta^{5}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{0}= & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2 \phi}}{\bar{f}_{7}} \theta^{5}+\frac{2 \bar{f}_{7} \rho^{2}\left(\bar{f}_{2} u_{4}-\rho^{2} u_{6}\right)-3 \bar{f}_{2}^{2} \bar{f}_{7}-2 \bar{f}_{2} \bar{f}_{6} \rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}+2 \bar{f}_{5} \rho^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \phi}}{2 \bar{f}_{7} \rho^{4}} \theta^{1} \\
& +u_{8} \theta^{2}+u_{9} \theta^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

All of this is true with new undetermined variables $u_{5}, \ldots, u_{9}$. Please note that in this formula there are no terms consistsing of the differentials of the group parameters!

Now to get the fourth equation (4.1) satisfied we have to put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{7}=\frac{3 f_{2} \bar{f}_{2} \bar{f}_{7}+2 \bar{f}_{7} \rho^{4}\left(u_{1}+u_{3}\right)+2 f_{2} \bar{f}_{6} \rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{2 \bar{f}_{7} \rho^{4}} \quad \text { and } \\
& u_{9}=\frac{2 \bar{f}_{7} \rho^{2} u_{4}-3 \bar{f}_{2} \bar{f}_{7}-2 \bar{f}_{6} \rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{2 \bar{f}_{7} \rho^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

With these normalizations and definitions of $\Omega_{\mu}$ 's, the differentials $\mathrm{d} \theta^{1}, \mathrm{~d} \theta^{2}, \mathrm{~d} \theta^{4}$ are precisely as in (4.1).

The third equation in (4.1) is achieved by a unique choice of $f_{5}, f_{6}, u_{4}$ and $u_{3}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{5}=-\frac{f_{2}^{2}}{2 \rho^{2}}, \quad f_{6}=-\frac{f_{2} \mathrm{e}^{\phi}}{\rho}, \quad u_{4}=\frac{\bar{f}_{2}-I^{3} \rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{2 \rho^{2}} \\
& u_{3}=\frac{8 f_{2} I^{3} \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}-\rho\left(2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 4}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 52}+8 u_{1} \rho^{2}\right)}{16 \rho^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

With these normalizations, we achieve that $\mathrm{d} \theta^{3}$ is precisely as in the third equation in (4.1) with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{A}_{1}=-\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\phi}}{\rho}\right)^{3} I^{1} \quad \text { and } \\
& \mathbf{A}_{2}=\frac{f_{2}\left(4 f_{2} \bar{f}_{2}+\rho^{2}\left(2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 4}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 52}\right)-4 \rho^{4} u_{1}\right)+8 \rho^{6} u_{2}-4 f_{2}^{2} \rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi} I^{3}}{8 \rho^{6}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now there is a unique way to bring the differential $\mathrm{d} \Omega_{0}$ to the form of the ninth equation (4.1). For this we have:

$$
u_{8}=\frac{I^{3}{ }_{\mid 3} \mathrm{e}^{-3 \phi}}{2 \rho} \text { and } u_{6}=\frac{-4 \bar{f}_{2}^{2}+\rho^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \phi}\left(2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 43}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 523}\right)-4 f_{2} \rho \mathrm{e}^{-3 \phi} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 3}}{8 \rho^{4}}
$$

After this normalization the formula for $\mathrm{d} \Omega_{0}$ is as in (4.1). In particular, we get explicit expressions for $\mathbf{A}_{5}, \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{7}}+\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{3}}$, which are not important here, but also the formula for $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{1}}$ which is:

$$
\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-5 \phi}}{2 \rho} I_{\mid 33}^{3}
$$

The last $3^{\text {rd }}$ order ODE invariant 1-form $\Omega_{5}$ is now determined from $\mathrm{d} \Omega_{1} \wedge \theta^{2} \wedge \theta^{4}=$ $\Omega_{5 \wedge} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2} \wedge \theta^{4}$, as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{5}= & -u_{1} \Omega_{1}-\frac{\bar{f}_{2}}{\rho^{2}} \Omega_{2}+\frac{f_{2}}{\rho^{2}} \Omega_{4}+\frac{\bar{f}_{2} \mathrm{e}^{\phi}}{\rho^{3}} \varpi_{3}-\frac{f_{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{\rho^{3}} \varpi_{4}+\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} \varpi_{5}-u_{10} \theta^{1} \\
& -u_{11} \theta^{2}-u_{12} \theta^{4}-\mathrm{d} u_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

up to $\theta^{1}, \theta^{2}, \theta^{4}$ terms, which require introduction of new parameters $u_{10}, u_{11}$, $u_{12}$.

Now there is a unique way of killing all the unwanted terms in $\mathrm{d} \Omega_{\mu}, \mu=$ $0, \ldots, 5$, to achieve the full system (4.1). It turns out that now, this involves solving linear equations for all the remaining auxiliary variables $u_{2}, u_{5}, u_{10}, u_{11}, u_{12}-$ except $u_{1}$. They are determined successively, as follows: $u_{5}$ is determined by killing the unwanted terms in $\mathrm{d} \Omega_{1} \wedge \theta^{4}, u_{2}$ is determined by killing the unwanted terms in $\mathrm{d} \Omega_{1}, u_{12}$ is deteremined by killing the unwanted terms in $\mathrm{d} \Omega_{2 \wedge} \theta^{1}, u_{11}$ is determined by killing the unwanted terms in $\mathrm{d} \Omega_{2}$, and finally $u_{10}$ is deteremined by killing the unwanted terms in $\mathrm{d} \Omega_{5} \wedge \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{3}$. The explicit expressions for these auxiliary variables are not relevant here.

The final result of these normalizations is:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\theta^{1}=-\rho^{2} \bar{\omega}^{1}, \\
\theta^{2}=f_{2} \bar{\omega}^{1}+\rho \mathrm{e}^{\phi} \bar{\omega}^{2}, \\
\theta^{3}=-\frac{f_{2}^{2}}{2 \rho^{2}} \bar{\omega}^{1}-\frac{f_{2} \mathrm{e}^{\phi}}{\rho} \bar{\omega}^{2}-\mathrm{e}^{2 \phi} \bar{\omega}^{3}, \\
\theta^{4}=\bar{f}_{2} \bar{\omega}^{1}+\rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi} \bar{\omega}^{4}, \\
\theta^{5}=\bar{f}_{5} \bar{\omega}^{1}+\bar{f}_{6} \bar{\omega}^{4}+\bar{f}_{7} \omega^{5}, \\
\Omega_{0}=s_{4} \theta^{1}-\frac{2 \bar{f}_{2} \bar{f}_{7}+\rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}\left(2 \bar{f}_{6}+\bar{f}_{7} I^{3}\right)}{2 \bar{f}_{7} \rho^{2}} \theta^{4}+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2 \phi}}{\bar{f}_{7}} \theta^{5}+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-3 \phi}}{2 \rho} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 3} \theta^{2}, \\
\Omega_{1}=-u_{1} \theta^{1}-\frac{\bar{f}_{2}}{\rho^{2}} \theta^{2}+\frac{f_{2}}{\rho^{2}} \theta^{4}+\varpi_{1}+\mathrm{d} \log \left(\rho^{2}\right), \\
\Omega_{2}=\frac{8 I^{1}{ }_{\mid 3} \rho^{3} \mathrm{e}^{\phi}-3 f_{2}\left(4 f_{2} \bar{f}_{2}+\rho^{2}\left(2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 4}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 52}\right)\right)+12 f_{2}^{2} \rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi} I^{3}}{24 \rho^{6}} \theta^{1} \\
+\frac{8 f_{2} \rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi} I^{3}-8 f_{2} \bar{f}_{2}-\rho^{2}\left(2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 4}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 52}\right)-8 \rho^{4} u_{1}}{16 \rho^{4}} \theta^{2} \\
\bar{f}_{2} \\
\rho^{2} \theta^{3}-\frac{f_{2}^{2}}{2 \rho^{4}} \theta^{4}-\frac{f_{2}}{\rho^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2} \varpi_{1}+\varpi_{2}\right)-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\phi}}{\rho} \varpi_{3}+\frac{f_{2}}{\rho^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \log \left(\frac{\rho \mathrm{e}^{\phi}}{f_{2}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{3}= & \frac{8 f_{2} \rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi} I^{3}-8 f_{2} \bar{f}_{2}-\rho^{2}\left(2 I^{3}{ }_{\mid 4}+I^{3}{ }_{\mid 52}\right)-8 \rho^{4} u_{1}}{16 \rho^{4}} \theta^{1} \\
& +\frac{\rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi} I^{3}-2 \bar{f}_{2}}{2 \rho^{2}} \theta^{2}-\frac{f_{2}+f_{6} \rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{\rho^{2}} \theta^{4}+\frac{1}{2} \varpi_{1}-\varpi_{2}+\mathrm{d} \log \left(\rho \mathrm{e}^{\phi}\right), \\
\Omega_{4}= & s_{1} \theta^{1}+s_{2} \theta^{2}+s_{3} \theta^{4}+\frac{f_{2} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \phi}}{\bar{f}_{7} \rho^{2}} \theta^{5}+\frac{\bar{f}_{2}}{\rho^{2}}\left(\varpi_{2}-\frac{1}{2} \varpi_{1}\right) \\
& -\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{\rho} \varpi_{4}+\frac{\bar{f}_{2}}{\rho^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \log \left(\frac{\rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{\bar{f}_{2}}\right), \\
\Omega_{5}= & s_{5} \theta^{1}+s_{6} \theta^{2}+\frac{\bar{f}_{2}^{2}}{\rho^{4}} \theta^{3}+s_{7} \theta^{4}+\frac{f_{2}^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \phi}}{\bar{f}_{7} \rho^{4}} \theta^{5}-u_{1} \varpi_{1} \\
& +\frac{2 f_{2} \bar{f}_{2}}{\rho^{4}} \varpi_{2}+\frac{2 \bar{f}_{2} \mathrm{e}^{\phi}}{\rho^{3}} \varpi_{3}-\frac{2 f_{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{\rho^{3}} \varpi_{4}+\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} \varpi_{5}-\mathrm{d} u_{1} \\
& -\frac{2 u_{1}}{\rho} \mathrm{~d} \rho+\frac{\bar{f}_{2}}{\rho^{4}} \mathrm{~d} f_{2}-\frac{f_{2}}{\rho^{4}} \mathrm{~d} \bar{f}_{2}-\frac{2 f_{2} \bar{f}_{2}}{\rho^{4}} \mathrm{~d} \phi .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here

$$
s_{4}=\frac{-4 \bar{f}_{2}^{2} \bar{f}_{7}-4 \bar{f}_{2}\left(2 \bar{f}_{6}+\bar{f}_{7} I^{3}\right) \rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}+\left(8 \bar{f}_{5}-\bar{f}_{7}\left(2 I^{3}{ }_{143}+I^{3}{ }_{1523}\right)\right) \rho^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \phi}+4 f_{2} \bar{f}_{7} I^{3}{ }_{13} \rho \mathrm{e}^{-3 \phi}}{8 \bar{f}_{7} \rho^{4}},
$$

and the coefficients $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{5}, s_{6}, s_{7}$ although explicitely determined, are totally irrelevant for the sequel.

The above 1 -forms $\left(\theta^{1}, \ldots, \theta^{4}, \Omega_{0}, \ldots, \Omega_{5}\right)$ satisfy the $3^{\text {rd }}$ order ODE system (4.1) with

$$
\mathbf{A}_{1}=-\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\phi}}{\rho}\right)^{3} I^{1} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{Z}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-5 \phi}}{2 \rho} I_{\mid 33}^{3}
$$

Also all other coefficients $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{j}}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}$ are totally determined, as for example $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\phi}}{3 \rho^{3}} I^{1}{ }_{\mid 3}$, or $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{5}}=-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{6 \rho^{3}} I^{1}{ }_{\mid 33}$, but they are not that illuminating to quote them here.

This explicitly shows that every 5 -dimensional para-CR structure, which has Levi form degenerate in one direction and which is not locally a trivial extension of a 3-dimensional nondegenerate para-CR structure, defines an invariant EDS for a contact equivalence class of $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ ODEs for which the classical Wünschmann invariant is the para-CR invariant $\mathbf{A}$.

A problem arises if this obtained EDS is para-CR invariant. At first glance yes, but it is really not.

The reason for that is that the form $\theta^{5}$ disappeared from the description. It was replaced by the form $\Omega_{0}$. Looking at the explicit form of $\Omega_{0}$, one observes that the forms ( $\theta^{1}, \theta^{2}, \theta^{3}, \theta^{4}, \theta^{5}$ ) and ( $\theta^{1}, \theta^{2}, \theta^{3}, \theta^{4}, \Omega_{0}$ ) are not para-CR equivalent, because the 1-form $\theta^{2}$ appears in the formula relating $\Omega_{0}$ and $\theta^{5}$. But $\theta^{2}$ should
not be there! Only the "boxed" part of this formula consists of some para-CR transformation between $\theta^{5}$ and $\Omega_{0}$. The appearence of the term $\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-3 \phi} I^{3} \mid 3}{2 \rho} \theta^{2}$ in this formula breaks the para-CR equivalence.

There is only one way to restore the para-CR invariance of the obtained EDS: one has to restrict to para-CR structures for which

$$
I_{\mid 3}^{3} \equiv 0
$$

In such a case one can use the remaining para-CR transformations to achieve

$$
\Omega_{0}=\theta^{5}
$$

reducing all the auxiliary parameters from $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \rho, \phi, f_{4}, f_{5}, f_{6}, f_{7}, \bar{f}_{2}, \bar{f}_{4}, \bar{f}_{5}\right.$, $\left.\bar{f}_{6}, \bar{f}_{7}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{12}\right)$ to only five ( $\rho, \phi, f_{2}, \bar{f}_{2}, u_{1}$ ), This makes the resulting EDS really 10 -dimensional, as it should be for it to describe a curvature of a Cartan $\mathfrak{s p}(4, \mathbb{R})$-connection.

The proof of the answer to the question $\mathbf{Q 2}$ is essentially the same as above. We start with the lifted coframe (5.3), and impose the normalizations required by the system (4.1) in the same order as in the case of question Q1. We skip the details, reporting here the important differences only. The first of them is that now the normalizations result in $\mathrm{d} \theta^{3}$ as in (4.1), but with

$$
\mathbf{A}_{1}=-\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\phi}}{\rho}\right)^{3} I^{2}
$$

The next difference is that now, in the induced EDS (4.1), the coefficient $\mathbf{Z}_{1}$ is

$$
\mathbf{Z}_{1}=-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-5 \phi}}{4 \rho} I_{\mid 555}^{3}
$$

As the last important difference we mention that now the 1-form $\Omega_{0}$ appearing in the induced EDS (4.1) is related to $\theta^{5}$ via:

$$
\boxed{\Omega_{0}}=s_{4} \theta^{1}+\frac{4 \bar{f}_{2} \bar{f}_{7}-\rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}\left(4 \bar{f}_{6}-\bar{f}_{7} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 5}\right)}{4 \bar{f}_{7} \rho^{2}} \theta^{4}+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2 \phi}}{\bar{f}_{7}} \theta^{5}-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-3 \phi}}{4 \rho} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 55} \theta^{2}
$$

So now, the term $\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-3 \phi} I^{3} \mid 55}{4 \rho} \theta^{2}$ brakes the para-CR equivalence, and to answer the question $\mathbf{Q 2}$ in positive, we are forced to restrict to para-CR structures with

$$
I_{\mid 55}^{3} \equiv 0
$$

Consequently, if we assume that $I^{3}{ }_{\mid 55} \equiv 0$, we finally use the remaining para-CR transformations to achieve

$$
\Omega_{0}=\theta^{5}
$$

This again reduces all the auxiliary parameters from $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \rho, \phi, f_{4}, f_{5}, f_{6}, f_{7}\right.$, $\left.\bar{f}_{2}, \bar{f}_{4}, \bar{f}_{5}, \bar{f}_{6}, \bar{f}_{7}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{12}\right)$ to only five $\left(\rho, \phi, f_{2}, f_{2}, u_{1}\right)$, and makes the resulting EDS the curvature conditions of a Cartan $\mathfrak{s p}(4, \mathbb{R})$-connection in 10 dimensions.

As the final step of the proof, we remark that if we insert any of the conditions $I^{3}{ }_{\mid 3} \equiv 0$ or $I^{3}{ }_{\mid 55} \equiv 0$ into the EDS (5.1) then its integrability conditions ( $\mathrm{d}^{2} \equiv 0$ ) very quickly show that each of them is equivalent to

$$
I^{3} \equiv 0
$$

For this, observe that if $I^{3}{ }_{\mid 3} \equiv 0$, then the equation for $\mathrm{d} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 3}$ in Theorem 5.1 gives immediately $I^{3} \equiv 0$. Likewise, if $I^{3}{ }_{\mid 55} \equiv 0$ then the equation for $\mathrm{d} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 55}$ in Theorem 5.1 gives $I^{3}{ }_{\mid 5} \equiv 0$, and then the equation for $\mathrm{d} I^{3}{ }_{\mid 5}$ in gives eventually $I^{3} \equiv 0$.

This finishes the proof of Theorem 5.2.
Theorem 5.1, and the calculations done in its proof, have an interesting
Corollary 5.4. Consider a 5-dimensional para-CR structure given by the system of PDEs (2.1) in terms of functions $H=H(x, y, z, p, r)$ and $G=G(x, y, z, p, r)$ satisfying conditions (2.2), (2.4), (2.5). Assume for this structure that the para-CR invariant $\mathbf{C}$ vanishes:

$$
2 G_{p p p}+G_{p p} H_{r r} \equiv 0 .
$$

Then, associated to such a para-CR structure, there are two contact equivalence classes of third order ODEs. Both of these classes of ODEs have their respective Chern invariants zero:

$$
\mathbf{Z} \equiv 0
$$

The other basic contact invariant of these (contact invariant) classes of ODEs, namely the Wünschmann invariant $\mathbf{A}_{1}$ is proportional:
(a) To the Wünschmann para-CR invariant, $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}} \sim 9 D^{2} H_{r}-27 D H_{p}-18 H_{r} D H_{r}+$ $18 H_{p} H_{r}+4 H_{r}^{3}+54 H_{z}$, in the case of the first class of ODEs;
(b) To the Monge para-CR invariant, $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}} \sim 40 G_{p p p}^{3}-45 G_{p p} G_{p p p} G_{p p p p}+$ $9 G_{p p}^{2} G_{p p p p p}$, in the case of the second class of ODEs.

Proof of Corollary 5.4. In the language of Theorem 5.2, the assumption that $\mathbf{C} \equiv 0$ means that $I^{3} \equiv 0$. This, in particular means that $I^{3}{ }_{\mid 33} \equiv 0$ and that $I^{3}{ }_{\mid 555} \equiv 0$. Thus, the quantity $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{1}}$ vanishes in the EDS obatained from the normalizations of the lifted coframe (5.2) as well as of the lifted coframe (5.3).

Moreover, since $I^{3} \equiv 0$ implies also $I^{3}{ }_{\mid 3} \equiv 0$ and $I^{3}{ }_{\mid 55} \equiv 0$, we know from Theorem 5.2 that both EDSs with $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{1}} \equiv 0$ are para-CR invariant. According to Chern's theory of $3^{\text {rd }}$ order ODEs considered modulo contact transformation [1,6], both EDS's, considered separately, describe a contact equivalence class of $3^{\text {rd }}$ order ODEs on the 4-dimensional leaf space $\mathrm{J}^{2}$ of the rank 6 integrable distribution annihilating 1 -forms $\theta^{1}, \theta^{2}, \theta^{3}, \theta^{4}$. This space $\mathrm{J}^{2}$ can be locally identified
with the space of second jets of the corresponding class of $3^{\text {rd }}$ order ODEs. This class, in both EDSs, has Chern invariant equal to zero (because $\mathbf{C} \equiv 0$ implies $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{1}} \equiv 0$ in the EDSs), and as it visible from the proof of Theorem 5.2, the classical Wünschmann invariant $\mathbf{A}_{1}$ either proportional to $9 D^{2} H_{r}-27 D H_{p}-18 H_{r} D H_{r}+$ $18 H_{p} H_{r}+4 H_{r}^{3}+54 H_{z}$, or to $40 G_{p p p}^{3}-45 G_{p p} G_{p p p} G_{p p p p}+9 G_{p p}^{2} G_{p p p p p}$, depending which of the two EDSs we are considering.

This finishes the proof of Corollary 5.4. For further details about it, consult our Appendix in Section 6.

End of the proof of Theorem 1.3. Since in both of the 10 -dimensional para-CR invariant EDSs we have $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{1}} \equiv 0$, then according to the result of Godliński [5,6], the image of the projection $\pi_{1}: \mathrm{J}^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~J}^{1}$ from the second jet space $\mathrm{J}^{2}$ appearing in the proof of the above corollary, which can be identified with the 3-dimensional leaf space of the rank 7 integrable distribution annihilating 1 -forms $\theta^{1}, \theta^{2}, \theta^{4}$, acquires a natural 3-dimensional contact projective geometry. This proves our Theorem 1.3 from the introduction.

To illustrate the phenomena described in this section we consider the following example.

Example 5.5. Our starting point in this example is a para-CR structure defined in terms of PDEs

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{y}=f\left(z_{x}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad z_{x x x}=-z_{x x}^{2} \frac{f^{(3)}\left(z_{x}\right)}{f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{x}\right)}, \quad \text { for } \quad z=z(x, y), \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f=f(p)$ being a differentiable function such that $f^{\prime \prime}(p) \neq 0$. In other words we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=f(p) \quad \text { and } \quad H=-r^{2} \frac{f^{(3)}(p)}{f^{\prime \prime}(p)} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforward to check that $\Delta H=D^{3} G, G_{p p} \neq 0$ and, more importantly, that

$$
2 G_{p p p}+G_{p p} H_{r r} \equiv 0 .
$$

Therefore the Theorem 5.2 and Corolary 5.4 apply, and we should see two equivalence classes of $3^{\text {rd }}$ order ODEs associated with these para-CR structures as well as two contact projective structures on the spaces of first jets for these ODEs.

Before passing to show how these structure are explicitly visible here, we calculate the Wünschmann invariant $\mathbf{A}$ for the function $H$ from (5.5). We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A} & =9 D^{2} H_{r}-27 D H_{p}-18 H_{r} D H_{r}+18 H_{p} H_{r}+4 H_{r}^{3}+54 H_{z} \\
& =\frac{r^{3}}{f^{\prime \prime 3}}\left(40 f^{(3) 3}-45 f^{\prime \prime} f^{(3)} f^{(4)}+9 f^{\prime \prime 2} f^{(5)}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{r^{3}}{G_{p p}^{3}}\right)\left(40 G_{p p p}^{3}-45 G_{p p} G_{p p p} G_{p p p p}+9 G_{p p}^{2} G_{p p p p p}\right)=2 r^{3} \mathbf{B}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, for our para-CR structure, represented by the functions $G$ and $H$, the Wünschmann invariant $\mathbf{A}$ is a nonvanishing multiple of the Monge invariant $\mathbf{B}$.

This is a special case of the phenomenon mentioned in Remark 5.3: in this example we found the explicit transformation between the Wünschmann invariant for $H$ and Monge invariant of $G$. It was possible explicitly because this example is so special that, as we see in a minute, the two a'priori different contact equivalent classes of $3^{\text {rd }}$ order ODEs naturally associated to our para-CR structure, are actualy the same.

To see this we first write the coframe on $M^{5}$ encoding our para-CR structure. This is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega^{1}=\mathrm{d} z-p \mathrm{~d} x-f \mathrm{~d} y \\
& \omega^{2}=\mathrm{d} p-r \mathrm{~d} x-r f^{\prime} \mathrm{d} y \\
& \omega^{3}=\mathrm{d} r+r^{2} \frac{f^{(3)}}{f^{\prime \prime}} \mathrm{d} x-r^{2} \frac{f^{\prime \prime 2}-f^{\prime} f^{(3)}}{f^{\prime \prime}} \mathrm{d} y \\
& \omega^{4}=\mathrm{d} x, \quad \omega^{5}=\mathrm{d} y
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, it is convenient to introduce new coordinates $(X, Y, P, Q, R)$ on $M^{5}$ related to the coordinates $(x, y, z, p, r)$ via:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =-P+\frac{q f^{\prime}}{f^{\prime \prime}} \\
y & =-\frac{q}{f^{\prime \prime}} \\
z & =Y-P X+q \frac{X f^{\prime}-f}{f^{\prime \prime}} \\
p & =X \\
r & =\frac{1}{q-Q}
\end{aligned}
$$

where now, due to $p=X$, we have $f=f(X), f^{\prime}=f^{\prime}(X)$ and $f^{\prime \prime}=f^{\prime \prime}(X)$. In these new coordinates the coframe $\left(\omega^{1}, \ldots, \omega^{5}\right)$ defining our para-CR structures reads:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega^{1}=\mathrm{d} Y-P \mathrm{~d} X \\
& \omega^{2}=\frac{1}{q-Q}(\mathrm{~d} P-Q \mathrm{~d} X) \\
& \omega^{3}=\frac{1}{(q-Q)^{2}}\left(\mathrm{~d} Q-\frac{f^{(3)}}{f^{\prime \prime}} \mathrm{d} P\right), \\
& \omega^{4}=-\mathrm{d} P+\mathrm{d}\left(q \frac{f^{\prime}}{f^{\prime \prime}}\right) \\
& \omega^{5}=-\frac{1}{f^{\prime \prime}}\left(\mathrm{d} q-q \frac{f^{(3)}}{f^{\prime \prime}} \mathrm{d} X\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, a special para-CR transformation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega^{1} \rightarrow \omega^{1} \\
& \omega^{2} \rightarrow(q-Q) \omega^{2} \\
& \omega^{3} \rightarrow(q-Q)^{2}\left(\omega^{3}+\frac{f^{(3)}}{(q-Q) f^{\prime \prime}} \omega^{2}\right), \\
& \omega^{4} \rightarrow-\omega^{4}-f^{\prime} \omega^{5} \\
& \omega^{5} \rightarrow-f^{\prime \prime} \omega^{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

as in (3.1), brings this para-CR coframe to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega^{1}=\mathrm{d} Y-P \mathrm{~d} X \\
& \omega^{2}=\mathrm{d} P-Q \mathrm{~d} X \\
& \omega^{3}=\mathrm{d} Q-Q \frac{f^{(3)}}{f^{\prime \prime}} \mathrm{d} X \\
& \omega^{4}=\mathrm{d} P-q \mathrm{~d} X \\
& \omega^{5}=\mathrm{d} q-q \frac{f^{(3)}}{f^{\prime \prime}} \mathrm{d} X
\end{aligned}
$$

Note the remarkable similarity of the 1-forms $\left(\omega^{2}, \omega^{3}\right)$ to the 1 -forms $\left(\omega^{4}, \omega^{5}\right)$; they merely differ by the flip $Q \leftrightarrow q$.

Now, let us consider two foliations of $M^{5}$ by two families of hypersurfaces; $M^{5}$ is foliated by

$$
\mathrm{J}_{q_{0}}^{2}=\left\{M^{5} \in(X, Y, P, Q, q): q=q_{0}=\mathrm{const}\right\}
$$

and by

$$
\mathrm{j}^{2} Q_{0}=\left\{M^{5} \in(X, Y, P, Q, q): Q=Q_{0}=\text { const }\right\} .
$$

It follows from our calculations above that every hypersurface $\mathrm{J}^{2} q_{0}$ in the first family has a structure of the space of second jets $\mathrm{J}^{2}$ coordinatized by $(X, Y, P, Q)$ for the $3^{\text {rd }}$ order ODE

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{\prime \prime \prime}=Y^{\prime \prime} \frac{f^{(3)}(X)}{f^{\prime \prime}(X)} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a function $Y=Y(X)$, with $Y^{\prime}=P, Y^{\prime \prime}=Q$. Similarly, every hypersurface $\mathrm{j}^{2} Q_{0}$ in the second family has a structure of the space of second jets $\mathrm{J}^{2}$ coordinatized by $(X, Y, P, q)$ for the same $3^{\text {rd }}$ order ODE $Y^{\prime \prime \prime}=Y^{\prime \prime} \frac{f^{(3)}(X)}{f^{\prime \prime}(X)}$ for a function $Y=$ $Y(X)$, with $Y^{\prime}=P, Y^{\prime \prime}=q$. Note that the passage from the first family of the second jet spaces to the second family of the second jet spaces corresponds to the flip $\left(\omega^{2}, \omega^{3}\right) \leftrightarrow\left(\omega^{4}, \omega^{5}\right)$ between the original coframe forms of the considered para-CR structure (5.4).

Since in our notation from Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 4.2 the ODE (5.6) has $H=r \frac{f^{(3)}(x)}{f^{\prime \prime}(x)}$, then its Chern invariant $\mathbf{Z}=H_{r r r} \equiv 0$. Thus, according to Proposition 4.4 each of the corresponding first jet spaces $J^{1}$ and $\mathrm{j}^{1}$, which curiously are both parametrized by $(X, Y, P)$, has a natural projective contact structure.

To see this structure, we restrict to the case of $\mathrm{J}^{2}$; the case of $\mathrm{j}^{2}$ is the same, modulo the replacement $q \rightarrow Q$. Fortunately the ODE is easy to solve; its general solution is

$$
Y=c_{1} f(X)+c_{2} X+c 3
$$

This general solution defines a 3-parameter family of curves

$$
\gamma\left(t ; c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}\right)=(X(t), Y(t), P(t))=\left(t, c_{1} f(t)+c_{2} t+c_{3}, c_{1} f^{\prime}(t)+c_{2}\right)
$$

in $\mathrm{J}^{1}$. Now we fix a frame $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right)=\left(\partial_{Y}, \partial_{P}, \partial_{X}+P \partial_{Y}\right)$ in $\mathrm{J}^{1}$, and consider tangent vectors $\dot{\gamma}(t)$ to each of these curves. Straightforward differentiation gives:

$$
\dot{\gamma}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \dot{\gamma}^{i} e_{i}=c_{1} f^{\prime \prime}(t) e_{2}+e_{3} .
$$

Since the contact distribution $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathrm{J}^{1}$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{C}=\left(\omega^{1}\right)^{\perp}=\operatorname{Span}\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right)
$$

we see that our 3-parameter family of curves $\gamma\left(t ; c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}\right)$ is always tangent to $\mathcal{C}$. And now, writing the geodesic equations for the curves $\gamma(t)$ in the coframe $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right)$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \dot{\gamma}^{i}}{\mathrm{~d} t}+\sum_{j, k=1}^{3} \Gamma_{j k}^{i} \dot{\gamma}^{j} \dot{\gamma}^{k}=0
$$

one can easily see that our 3-parameter family of curves $\gamma\left(t ; c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}\right)$ satisfies these equations with a torsionless connection $\nabla$, such that $\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{j}=\sum_{k=1}^{3} \Gamma^{k}{ }_{j i} e_{k}$, in which all the coeffcients $\Gamma^{k}{ }_{i j}$ vanish, except $\Gamma^{2}{ }_{23}=\Gamma_{32}^{2}=-\frac{f^{(3)}(X)}{2 f^{\prime \prime}(X)}$.

Thus we have a 3-parameter family of curves $\gamma\left(t ; c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}\right)$ in $\mathrm{J}^{1}$, which are (a) tangent to the contact distribution $\mathcal{C}$ and (b) are geodesics with respect to the torsionless connection $\nabla$. This shows that $\mathrm{J}^{1}$ is equipped with a contact projective structure.

We thus have shown on an example, how a PDE system (2.1)-(2.2), (2.4)(2.5), with $2 G_{p p p}+G_{p p} H_{r r} \equiv 0$ defines two contact equivalence classes of $3^{\text {rd }}$ odrer ODEs and a contact projective structure on their space of first jets.

Finally, note that the quotient 3 -manifolds on which the contact projective structures associated with our para-CR structure resides are just the quotients of the $M^{5}$ by the respective integrable para-CR distributions $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ in $M^{5}$.

## 6. Appendix

It is instructive to show the result of Cartan's equivalence procedure applied to the 1 -forms (5.2) or (5.3) when we have $I^{3} \equiv 0$. We do it here for the 1 -forms (5.2).

For this, we need the system (5.1) and its integrability conditions, as in Theorem 5.1, adapted to $I^{3} \equiv 0$. This restricted to $I^{3} \equiv 0$ system reads:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{d} \bar{\omega}^{1}=-\bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \varpi_{1}+\bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4} \\
& \mathrm{~d} \bar{\omega}^{2}=-\bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \varpi_{3}+\bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge\left(\varpi_{2}-\frac{1}{2} \varpi_{1}\right)+\bar{\omega}^{3} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4} \\
& \mathrm{~d} \bar{\omega}^{3}=-\bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \varpi_{3}+2 \bar{\omega}^{3} \wedge \varpi_{2}+I^{1} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4}  \tag{6.1}\\
& \mathrm{~d} \bar{\omega}^{4}=-\bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \varpi_{4}-\bar{\omega}^{4} \wedge\left(\varpi_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \varpi_{1}\right)-\bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{5} \\
& \mathrm{~d} \bar{\omega}^{5}=\bar{\omega}^{4} \wedge \bar{\omega}_{4}-2 \bar{\omega}^{5} \wedge \varpi_{2}+I^{2} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{~d} I^{1}= I^{1}{ }_{\mid 1} \bar{\omega}^{1}+I^{1}{ }_{\mid 2} \bar{\omega}^{2}+I^{1}{ }_{\mid 3} \bar{\omega}^{3}+I^{1}{ }_{\mid 4} \bar{\omega}^{4}-\frac{3}{2} I^{1} \varpi_{1}-3 I^{1} \varpi_{2} \\
& \mathrm{~d} I^{2}= I^{2}{ }_{\mid 1} \bar{\omega}^{1}+I^{2}{ }_{\mid 2} \bar{\omega}^{2}+I^{2}{ }_{\mid 4} \bar{\omega}^{4}+I^{2}{ }_{\mid 5} \bar{\omega}^{5}-\frac{3}{2} I^{2} \varpi_{1}+3 I^{2} \varpi_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrability conditions of these equations imply an existence of a 1 -form $\varpi_{5}$ such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} \varpi_{1}= & \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \varpi_{5}+\bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \varpi_{4}-\bar{\omega}^{4} \wedge \varpi_{3}, \\
\mathrm{~d} \varpi_{2}= & \frac{1}{2} \bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \varpi_{4}-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\omega}^{4} \wedge \varpi_{3}-\frac{1}{2} I^{2}{ }_{\mid 5} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} I^{1}{ }_{\mid 3} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4}-\bar{\omega}^{3} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{5} \\
\mathrm{~d} \varpi_{3}= & \varpi_{3} \wedge\left(\frac{1}{2} \varpi_{1}+\varpi_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \varpi_{5}+\bar{\omega}^{3} \wedge \varpi_{4}+\left(I^{1}{ }_{\mid 23}+I^{2}{ }_{\mid 45}\right) \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{2} \\
& +I^{2}{ }_{\mid 5} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{3}-I^{1}{ }_{\mid 2} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4}+I^{1} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{5}-\frac{1}{2} I_{\mid 3}^{1} \bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4}, \\
\mathrm{~d} \varpi_{4}= & \varpi_{4} \wedge\left(\frac{1}{2} \varpi_{1}-\varpi_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \bar{\omega}^{4} \wedge \varpi_{5}+\bar{\omega}^{5} \wedge \varpi_{3} \\
& +I^{2}{ }_{\mid 4} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{2}-I^{2} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{3}+\frac{1}{2} I^{2}{ }_{\mid 5} \bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4}-I^{1}{ }_{\mid 3} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{5}, \\
\mathrm{~d} \varpi_{5}= & \varpi_{5} \wedge \varpi_{1}+2 \varpi_{4} \wedge \varpi_{3}-I^{2}{ }_{\mid 5} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \varpi_{3}-3 I_{\mid 3}^{1} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \varpi_{4} \\
& +\left(I^{2}{ }_{\mid 15}+2 I_{\mid 44}^{2}\right) \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{2}-4 I_{\mid 4}^{2} \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{3} \\
& +\left(I_{\mid 31}^{1}-2 I^{1}{ }_{\mid 22}-2 I_{\mid 234}^{1}-2 I_{\mid 445}^{2}\right) \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4} \\
& -2\left(I_{\mid 2}^{1}+I^{1}{ }_{\mid 34}\right) \bar{\omega}^{1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{5}-I^{2} \bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{3} \\
& +\left(I_{\mid 23}^{1}+I^{2}{ }_{\mid 45}\right) \bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4}-I_{\mid 3}^{1} \bar{\omega}^{2} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{5}+I^{2}{ }_{\mid 5} \bar{\omega}^{3} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{4}-I^{1} \bar{\omega}^{4} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

and as before, the coefficients $I^{1}$ and $I^{2}$ are, modulo a scale, the respective basic para-CR relative invariants $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ from Theorem 3.1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I^{1} \sim 9 D^{2} H_{r}-27 D H_{p}-18 H_{r} D H_{r}+18 H_{p} H_{r}+4 H_{r}^{3}+54 H_{z} \\
& I^{2} \sim 40 G_{p p p}^{3}-45 G_{p p} G_{p p p} G_{p p p p}+9 G_{p p}^{2} G_{p p p p p}
\end{aligned}
$$

There is only one way of forcing the forms (5.2), with 1-forms ( $\bar{\omega}^{1}, \bar{\omega}^{2}, \bar{\omega}^{3}, \bar{\omega}^{4}, \bar{\omega}^{5}$ ) described by the EDS (6.1)-(6.2), to satisfy the system (4.1). Such a requirement
determines all $\theta^{i}$ s and $\Omega_{\mu}$ s uniquely. Explicitly

$$
\theta^{i}=g^{i}{ }_{j} \bar{\omega}^{j}, \quad \text { for all } \quad i=1,2, \ldots, 5,
$$

with the reduced matrix $g=\left(g^{i}{ }_{j}\right)$ equal to

$$
g=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
-\rho^{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
f_{2} & \rho \mathrm{e}^{\phi} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{f_{2}^{2}}{2 \rho^{2}} & -\frac{f_{2} \mathrm{e}^{\phi}}{\rho} & -\mathrm{e}^{2 \phi} & 0 & 0 \\
\bar{f}_{2}^{2} & 0 & 0 & \rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi} & 0 \\
-\frac{\bar{f}_{2}^{2}}{2 \rho^{2}} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\bar{f}_{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{\rho} & \mathrm{e}^{-2 \phi}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the remaining forms $\Omega_{1}, \ldots, \Omega_{5}$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{1}= & -u_{1} \theta^{1}-\frac{\bar{f}_{2}}{\rho^{2}} \theta^{2}+\frac{f_{2}}{\rho^{2}} \theta^{4}+\varpi_{1}+\mathrm{d} \log \left(\rho^{2}\right), \\
\Omega_{2}= & \frac{2 I^{1}{ }_{\mid 3} \rho^{3} \mathrm{e}^{\phi}-3 f_{2}^{2} \bar{f}_{2}}{6 \rho^{6}} \theta^{1}-\frac{f_{2} \bar{f}_{2}+\rho^{4} u_{1}}{2 \rho^{4}} \theta^{2} \\
& -\frac{\bar{f}_{2}}{\rho^{2}} \theta^{3}-\frac{f_{2}^{2}}{2 \rho^{4}} \theta^{4}-\frac{f_{2}}{\rho^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2} \varpi_{1}+\varpi_{2}\right)-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\phi}}{\rho} \varpi_{3}+\frac{f_{2}}{\rho^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \log \left(\frac{\rho \mathrm{e}^{\phi}}{f_{2}}\right), \\
\Omega_{3}= & -\frac{f_{2} \bar{f}_{2}+\rho^{4} u_{1}}{2 \rho^{4}} \theta^{1}-\frac{\bar{f}_{2}}{\rho^{2}} \theta^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \varpi_{1}-\bar{\varpi}_{2}+\mathrm{d} \log \left(\rho_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{\phi}\right), \\
\Omega_{4}= & \frac{3 f_{2} \bar{f}_{2}^{2}-2 I^{2}{ }_{\mid 5} \rho^{3} \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{6 \rho^{6}} \theta^{1}+\frac{\bar{f}_{2}^{2}}{2 \rho^{4}} \theta^{2}+\frac{f_{2} \bar{f}_{2}-\rho^{4} u_{1}}{2 \rho^{4}} \theta^{4}+\frac{f_{2}}{\rho^{2}} \theta^{5} \\
& +\frac{\bar{f}_{2}}{\rho^{2}}\left(\varpi_{2}-\frac{1}{2} \varpi_{1}\right)-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{\rho} \varpi_{4}+\frac{\bar{f}_{2}}{\rho^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \log \left(\frac{\rho \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{\bar{f}_{2}}\right), \\
\Omega_{5}= & \left(\frac{1}{2} u_{1}^{2}+\frac{2 I^{1}{ }_{\mid 23}+4 I^{2}{ }_{\mid 45}}{3 \rho^{4}}-\frac{I^{2}{ }_{\mid 5} f_{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}+I^{1}{ }_{\mid 3} \bar{f}_{2} \mathrm{e}^{\phi}}{\rho^{5}}+\frac{f_{2}^{2} \bar{f}_{2}^{2}}{\rho^{8}}\right) \theta^{1} \\
& +\left(\frac{\bar{f}_{2} u_{1}}{\rho^{2}}-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\phi} I^{2}{ }_{\mid 5}}{3 \rho^{3}}+\frac{f_{2} \bar{f}_{2}^{2}}{\rho^{6}}\right) \theta^{2}+\frac{\bar{f}_{2}^{2}}{\rho^{4}} \theta^{3} \\
& +\left(\frac{f_{2}^{2} \bar{f}_{2}}{\rho^{6}}-\frac{f_{2} u_{1}}{\rho^{2}}-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\phi} I_{\mid 3}^{1}}{3 \rho^{3}}\right) \theta^{4}+\frac{f_{2}^{2}}{\rho^{4}} \theta^{5}-u_{1} \varpi_{1}+\frac{2 f_{2} \bar{f}_{2}}{\rho^{4}} \varpi_{2} \\
& +\frac{2 \bar{f}_{2} \mathrm{e}^{\phi}}{\rho^{3}} \varpi_{3}-\frac{2 f_{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{\rho^{3}} \varpi_{4}+\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} \varpi_{5}-\mathrm{d} u_{1}-\frac{2 u_{1}}{\rho} \mathrm{~d} \rho+\frac{\bar{f}_{2}}{\rho^{4}} \mathrm{~d} f_{2} \\
& -\frac{f_{2}}{\rho^{4}} \mathrm{~d} \bar{f}_{2}-\frac{2 f_{2} \bar{f}_{2}}{\rho^{4}} \mathrm{~d} \phi .
\end{aligned}
$$

The resulting EDS (4.1) for these forms reads:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d} \theta^{1}=\Omega_{1 \wedge} \theta^{1}+\theta^{4} \wedge \theta^{2}, \\
& \mathrm{~d} \theta^{2}=\Omega_{2 \wedge} \theta^{1}+\Omega_{3 \wedge} \theta^{2}+\theta^{4} \wedge \theta^{3}, \\
& \mathrm{~d} \theta^{3}=\Omega_{2 \wedge} \theta^{2}+\left(2 \Omega_{3}-\Omega_{1}\right) \wedge \theta^{3}+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\phi}}{3 \rho^{3}} I^{1}{ }_{\mid 3} \theta^{2} \wedge \theta^{1}-\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\phi}}{\rho}\right)^{3} I^{1} \theta^{4} \wedge \theta^{1}, \\
& \mathrm{~d} \theta^{4}=\Omega_{4 \wedge} \theta^{1}+\left(\Omega_{1}-\Omega_{3}\right) \wedge \theta^{4}+\theta^{5} \wedge \theta^{2}, \\
& \mathrm{~d} \theta^{5}=\Omega_{4 \wedge} \theta^{4}+\left(\Omega_{1}-2 \Omega_{3}\right) \wedge \theta^{5}-\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{\rho}\right)^{3} I^{2} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{3 \rho^{3}} I^{2}{ }_{\mid 5} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{4}, \\
& \mathrm{~d} \Omega_{1}=\Omega_{5 \wedge} \theta^{1}+\Omega_{4 \wedge} \theta^{2}-\Omega_{2 \wedge} \theta^{4}, \\
& \mathrm{~d} \Omega_{2}=\left(\Omega_{3}-\Omega_{1}\right) \wedge \Omega_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{5 \wedge} \theta^{2}+\Omega_{4} \wedge \theta^{3}+\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{3}} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2}+\mathbf{A}_{4} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{4}, \\
& \mathrm{~d} \Omega_{3}=\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{5 \wedge} \theta^{1}+\Omega_{4 \wedge} \theta^{2}+\theta^{5} \wedge \theta^{3}-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{3 \rho^{3}} I^{2}{ }_{\mid 5} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\phi}}{3 \rho^{3}} I^{1}{ }_{\mid 3} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{4}, \\
& \mathrm{~d} \Omega_{4}=\theta^{5} \wedge \Omega_{2}+\Omega_{4 \wedge} \Omega_{3}+\frac{1}{2} \Omega_{5 \wedge} \theta^{4}+\mathbf{A}_{6} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2}-\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{3}} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{4}, \\
& \mathrm{~d} \Omega_{5}=\Omega_{5 \wedge} \Omega_{1}+2 \Omega_{4} \wedge \Omega_{2}+\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2}+\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{8}} \theta^{1}{ }_{\wedge} \theta^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{3}}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\phi} \bar{f}_{2} I^{1}{ }_{\mid 3}}{3 \rho^{5}}-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\phi} f_{2} I^{2}{ }_{\mid 5}}{3 \rho^{5}}+\frac{I^{1}{ }_{\mid 23}}{3 \rho^{4}}+\frac{I^{2}{ }_{\mid 45}}{3 \rho^{4}} \\
& \mathbf{A}_{4}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\phi}}{\rho^{4}}\left(\frac{f_{2} I^{1}{ }_{\mid 3}}{3 \rho}-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{2 \phi} \bar{f}_{2} I^{1}}{\rho}-\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{e}^{\phi}\left(I^{1}{ }_{\mid 34}+3 I^{1}{ }_{\mid 2}\right)\right), \\
& \mathbf{A}_{6}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\phi}}{\rho^{4}}\left(\frac{\bar{f}_{2} I^{2}{ }_{\mid 5}}{3 \rho}-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2 \phi} f_{2} I^{2}}{\rho}+\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{e}^{-\phi}\left(I^{2}{ }_{\mid 25}+4 I_{\mid 4}^{2}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and we will not display $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{8}}$ as not important.
Since already here the symmetry $I^{1} \leftrightarrow I^{2}$, corresponding to the change $\left(\theta^{2}, \theta^{3}\right) \leftrightarrow\left(\theta^{4}, \theta^{5}\right)$, is clearly visible, we skip writing down the analogous formulas for the 1-forms (5.3).

## References

[1] S.-S. Chern, The geometry of the differential equation $y^{\prime \prime \prime}=F\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)$, Sci. Rep. Nat. Tsing Hua Univ., Ser. A 4 (1940), $97-111$.
[2] M. Fels and W. Kaup, CR manifolds of dimension 5: a Lie algebra approach, J. Reine Angew. Math. 604 (2007), 47-71.
[3] M. Fels and W. Kaup, Classification of Levi degenerate homogeneous CR-manifolds in dimension 5, Acta Math. 201 (2008), 1-82.
[4] D. Fox, Contact projective structures, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 54 (2005), 1547-1598.
[5] M. Godliński, Geometry of third-order ordinary differential equations and its applications in General Relativity, arxiv.org/abs/0810.2234/ (2008), 80 pp.
[6] M. Godliński and P. Nurowski, Geometry of third order ODEs, arxiv.org/abs/0902.4129/ (2009), 45 pp .
[7] J. Gregorovič, On equivalence problem for 2-nondegenerate CR geometries with simple models, Adv. Math. 384 (2021), 55 pp.
[8] J. Gregorovič, Fundamental invariants of 2-nondegenerate CR geometries with simple models, arxiv.org/abs/2007.03971/.
[9] C. D. Hill and P. Nurowski, Differential equations and para-CR structures, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. (9) 3 (2010), 25-91.
[10] C. Medori and A. Spiro, The equivalence problem for 5-dimensional Levi degenerate CR manifolds, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2014 (2014), 5602-5647.
[11] C. Medori and A. Spiro, Structure equations of Levi degenerate CR hypersurfaces of uniform type, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino 73 (2015), 127-150.
[12] J. Merker, Lie symmetries and CR geometry, J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) 154 (2008), 817-922.
[13] J. Merker, Equivalences of PDE systems associated to degenerate para-CR structures: foundational aspects, Partial Differ. Equ. Appl. 3 (2022), 57 pp.
[14] J. Merker and P. Nurowski, New explicit Lorentzian Einstein-Weyl structures in 3dimensions, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. 16 (2020).
[15] J. Merker and P. Nurowski, On degenerate para-CR structures: Cartan reduction and homogeneous models, arxiv.org/abs/2003.08166/ (2020), 37 pp.
[16] J. Merker and S. Pocchiola, Explicit absolute parallelism for 2-nondegenerate real hypersurfaces $M^{5} \subset \mathcal{C}^{3}$ of constant Levi rank 1, J. Geom. Anal. 30 (2020), 2689-2730. Addendum: 3233-3242.
[17] G. Monge, Sur les équations différentielles des courbes du second degré, Bull. Soc. Math. France (1810), 87-88; Corresp. sur J. Éc. polytech. Math. ii (1809-13), 51-54.
[18] P. Nurowski and G. Sparling, Three-dimensional Cauchy-Riemann structures and second order ordinary differential equations, Classical Quantum Gravity 20 (2003), 49955016.
[19] C. Porter, "The Local Equivalence Problem for 7-Dimensional, 2-Nondegenerate CR Manifolds whose Cubic Form is of Conformal Unitary Type", Thesis (Ph.D.)-Texas A\&M University, 2016, 89 pp.
[20] C. Porter, The local equivalence problem for 7-dimensional, 2-nondegenerate CR manifolds, Comm. Anal. Geom. 27 (2019), 1583-1638.
[21] C. Porter and I. Zelenko, Absolute parallelism for 2-nondegenerate CR structures via bigraded Tanaka prolongation, J. Reine Angew. Math. 777 (2021), 195-250.
[22] A. Santi, Homogeneous models for Levi degenerate CR manifolds, Kyoto J. Math. 60 (2020), 291-334.
[23] D. Sykes and I. Zelenko, On geometry of 2-nondegenerate CR structures of hypersurface type and flag structures on leaf spaces of Levi foliations, arxiv.org/abs/2010.02770/
[24] K. Wünschmann, "Uber Berührungsbedingungen bei Integralkurven von Differentialgleichungen", Inaug. Dissert., Leipzig, Teubner, 1905.
[25] I. ZELENKO, On Tanaka's prolongation procedure for filtered structures of constant type, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. 5 (2009), 21 pp.

Laboratoire de Mathématiques d'Orsay CNRS
Université Paris-Saclay 91405 Orsay Cedex, France joel.merker@universite-paris-saclay.fr

Centrum Fizyki Teoretycznej Polska Akademia Nauk
Al. Lotników 32/46
02-668 Warszawa, Poland nurowski@cft.edu.pl


[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ Here we quote from the PhD Thesis [5] of Godliński, who was the first to observe this.

