

WHY $B(\ell^2)$ IS NOT $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ AND RELATED TOPICS

SEMINARIUM DYSKRETNEJ ANALIZY HARMONICZNEJ

Piotr M. Sołtan

(based on joint work with **Jacek Krajczok**)

Department of Mathematical Methods in Physics
Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw

October 12, 2023

- 1 COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS
- 2 THE STRUCTURE OF $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$
- 3 WHAT IF $L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \cong B(\ell^2)$?
- 4 OTHER INJECTIVE FACTORS
- 5 MORE INVARIANTS
- 6 EXAMPLES
- 7 COMMENTS AND A CONJECTURE
- 8 MORE EXAMPLES
- 9 I.C.C.-TYPE CONDITIONS
- 10 EXAMPLE

THE BASICS

DEFINITION

A **compact quantum group** \mathbb{G} is described by

- a von Neumann algebra $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$,
- a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\Delta: L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$

(continuous in the σ -weak topology) such that

- $(\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$,
- there exists a faithful state \mathbf{h} on $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ such that

$$\forall x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \quad (\mathbf{h} \otimes \text{id})\Delta(x) = \mathbf{h}(x)\mathbb{1} = (\text{id} \otimes \mathbf{h})\Delta(x). \quad (\heartsuit)$$

- The condition (\heartsuit) determines \mathbf{h} uniquely. We call this state the **Haar measure** of \mathbb{G} .

DEFINITION

Let \mathbb{G} be a compact quantum group. A **finite-dimensional unitary representation** of \mathbb{G} is a unitary $U \in B(H) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ (with H a finite-dimensional Hilbert space) such that

$$(\text{id} \otimes \Delta)(U) = U_{12}U_{13},$$

where

- $U_{12} = U \otimes \mathbb{1} \in B(H) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$,
- $U_{13} = (\text{id} \otimes \text{flip})(U_{12}) \in B(H) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$.
- We say that a representation $U \in B(H) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ is **irreducible** if $(T \otimes \mathbb{1})U = U(T \otimes \mathbb{1})$ implies $T = \lambda \mathbb{1}_H$.
- Representations $U \in B(H) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ and $V \in B(K) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ are **equivalent** if there is a unitary $S \in B(H, K)$ such that $(S \otimes \mathbb{1})U = V(S \otimes \mathbb{1})$.

MATRIX ELEMENTS OF IRREPS

- Let $U \in B(H) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ be a representation. Then any $\omega \in B(H)^*$ defines $(\omega \otimes \text{id})(U) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ which is called a **matrix element** or a **coefficient** of U .
- Typically we take $\omega(\cdot) = \langle \xi | \cdot | \eta \rangle$ for some vectors $\xi, \eta \in H$.
- Choosing an orthonormal basis $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$ of H yields $U_{i,j} = (\omega_{i,j} \otimes \text{id})(U)$ where $\omega_{i,j} = \langle \xi_i | \cdot | \xi_j \rangle$.
- From now on we denote by $\text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ the set of equivalence classes of irreps of \mathbb{G} . For each $\alpha \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ we fix $U^\alpha \in \alpha$. Then any orthonormal basis $\xi_1^\alpha, \dots, \xi_{n_\alpha}^\alpha$ of the carrier Hilbert space H^α of U^α defines the matrix elements $U_{i,j}^\alpha$.

THEOREM

$\text{span}\{U_{i,j}^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G}), i, j \in \{1, \dots, n_\alpha\}\}$ is σ -weakly dense in $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$.

THE ρ -OPERATORS

- For each $\alpha \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ let $V^\alpha = (\mathbf{j} \otimes \text{id})(U^{\alpha*}) \in B(H^{\alpha*}) \otimes L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ ($\mathbf{j}: B(H^\alpha) \rightarrow B(H^{\alpha*})$ maps T to the operator $\langle \psi | \mapsto \langle T^* \psi |$).
- Next we let $\rho_\alpha = \text{const} \cdot \mathbf{j}((\text{id} \otimes \mathbf{h})(V^{\alpha*} V^\alpha))$ with the constant chosen so that $\text{Tr}(\rho_\alpha) = \text{Tr}(\rho_\alpha^{-1})$.
- Note that ρ_α is positive.
- From now on for each $\alpha \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ we fix an orthonormal basis of H^α in which ρ_α is diagonal:

$$\rho_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_{\alpha,1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \rho_{\alpha,n_\alpha} \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\rho_{\alpha,1} \geq \dots \geq \rho_{\alpha,n_\alpha}$.

- We have $\mathbf{h}(U_{k,l}^\alpha * U_{i,j}^\beta) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\delta_{ki} \rho_{\alpha,j}^{-1} \delta_{l,j}}{\text{Tr}(\rho_\alpha)}$, so $\{U_{i,j}^\alpha\}$ are linearly independent.

THE MODULAR GROUP AND THE SCALING GROUP

THEOREM

There exist two σ -weakly continuous one-parameter groups $\sigma^{\mathbf{h}}$ and $\tau^{\mathbb{G}}$ of automorphisms of $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ such that

$$\tau_t^{\mathbb{G}}(U_{i,j}^\alpha) = \rho_{\alpha,i}^{it} U_{i,j}^\alpha \rho_{\alpha,j}^{-it}$$

$$\sigma_t^{\mathbf{h}}(U_{i,j}^\alpha) = \rho_{\alpha,i}^{it} U_{i,j}^\alpha \rho_{\alpha,j}^{it}$$

for all $\alpha \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$, $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n_\alpha\}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

- Clearly the two groups commute.

WHAT IF?

Suppose that there is a compact quantum group \mathbb{G} such that $L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \cong B(H)$, where H is a Hilbert space such that $\dim H > 1$.

- If H were finite-dimensional then $B(H)$ would be simple, but a finite dimensional $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ admits a character, so this is impossible.
- The case $\dim H > \aleph_0$ is ruled out by the fact that there are no faithful normal states on $B(H)$ for non-separable H .
- Thus we are left with $H \cong \ell^2$.
- We will show that this leads to a contradiction.

Step 1.

- Suppose \mathbb{G} is a compact quantum group with $L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \cong B(H)$.
- The state \mathbf{h} cannot be a trace because there are no traces on $B(H)$.
- It is known that in this case (\mathbf{h} not a trace) there exists $\alpha \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G})$ with

$$(\rho_{\alpha,1}, \dots, \rho_{\alpha,n_\alpha}) \neq (1, \dots, 1).$$

- Let us assume that the set $\{\rho_{\alpha,1}, \dots, \rho_{\alpha,n_\alpha}\}$ is invariant under taking inverses.

If this doesn't hold we can show that the compact quantum group $\mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G}$ has $\beta \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G})$ such that ρ_β is non-trivial and $\{\rho_{\beta,1}, \dots, \rho_{\beta,n_\beta}\} = \{\rho_{\beta,1}^{-1}, \dots, \rho_{\beta,n_\beta}^{-1}\}$. Still $L^\infty(\mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G}) = L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \cong B(H) \overline{\otimes} B(H) \cong B(H)$.

Step 2.

- Let $\pi: L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow B(\mathbb{H})$ be the assumed isomorphism.
- The state \mathbf{h} must be of the form

$$\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = \text{Tr}(A\pi(\mathbf{x})), \quad \mathbf{x} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$$

for some positive trace-class operator A on \mathbb{H} with eigenvalues $q_1 > q_2 > \cdots > 0$.

- For each n let $\mathbb{H}(A = q_n)$ be the corresponding eigenspace, so that

$$\mathbb{H} = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{H}(A = q_n).$$

Moreover, we have $\dim \mathbb{H}(A = q_n) < +\infty$ for all n .

- We have

$$\pi(\sigma_t^{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{x})) = A^{it}\pi(\mathbf{x})A^{-it}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G}), t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Step 3.

- There is a strictly positive self-adjoint operator B on \mathbb{H} such that

$$\pi(\tau_t^{\mathbb{G}}(x)) = B^{it}\pi(x)B^{-it}, \quad x \in L^\infty(\mathbb{G}), t \in \mathbb{R}$$

(this is a consequence of Stone's theorem).

- The fact that the groups $\{\sigma_t^{\mathbf{h}}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and $\{\tau_t^{\mathbb{G}}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ commute implies that A and B strongly commute.
- Hence for any n the operator B restricts to a positive operator on the finite-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}(A = q_n)$.
- Let $\mu_{n,1} > \cdots > \mu_{n,p_n}$ be the complete list of eigenvalues of this restriction.
- We have

$$\mathbb{H} = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{p=1}^{P_n} \mathbb{H}(A = q_n) \cap \mathbb{H}(B = \mu_{n,p}).$$

Step 4.

- Claim: $\pi(U_{k,1}^\alpha)$ maps $H(A = q_n)$ into $H(A = \rho_{\alpha,k}\rho_{\alpha,1}q_n)$.
- Indeed: take $\xi \in H(A = q_n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A^{it}\pi(U_{k,1}^\alpha)\xi &= A^{it}\pi(U_{k,1}^\alpha)A^{-it}A^{it}\xi = \pi(\sigma_t^{\mathbf{h}}(U_{k,1}^\alpha))q_n^{it}\xi \\ &= \pi(\rho_{\alpha,k}^{it}U_{k,1}^\alpha\rho_{\alpha,1}^{it})q_n^{it}\xi = (\rho_{\alpha,k}\rho_{\alpha,1}q_n)^{it}\pi(U_{k,1}^\alpha)\xi. \end{aligned}$$

- Claim: $\pi(U_{k,1}^\alpha)$ maps $H(B = \mu_{n,p})$ into $H(B = \rho_{\alpha,k}\rho_{\alpha,1}^{-1}\mu_{n,p})$.
- Indeed: take $\eta \in H(B = \mu_{n,p})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} B^{it}\pi(U_{k,1}^\alpha)\eta &= B^{it}\pi(U_{k,1}^\alpha)B^{-it}B^{it}\eta = \pi(\tau_t^{\mathbb{H}}(U_{k,1}^\alpha))\mu_{n,p}^{it}\eta \\ &= \pi(\rho_{\alpha,k}^{it}U_{k,1}^\alpha\rho_{\alpha,1}^{-it})\mu_{n,p}^{it}\eta = (\rho_{\alpha,k}\rho_{\alpha,1}^{-1}\mu_{n,p})^{it}\pi(U_{k,1}^\alpha)\eta. \end{aligned}$$

- Let ζ be a non-zero element of $H(A = q_1) \cap H(B = \mu_{1,p_1})$.
We will show that $\pi(U_{k,1}^\alpha)\zeta = 0$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n_\alpha\}$.

Step 4. (continued)

- By the previous claims we have

$$\pi(U_{k,1}^\alpha)\zeta \in H(A = \rho_{\alpha,k}\rho_{\alpha,1}q_1) \cap H(B = \rho_{\alpha,k}\rho_{\alpha,1}^{-1}\mu_{1,P_1}).$$

- If $\rho_{\alpha,k} = \rho_{\alpha,1}$ then $\rho_{\alpha,k}\rho_{\alpha,1}q_1 = \rho_{\alpha,1}^2q_1 > q_1 = \|A\|$, so $H(A = \rho_{\alpha,k}\rho_{\alpha,1}q_1) = \{0\}$ and consequently $\pi(U_{k,1}^\alpha)\zeta = 0$.
- If $\rho_{\alpha,k} < \rho_{\alpha,1}$ then first of all

$$\rho_{\alpha,k}\rho_{\alpha,1}q_1 \geq (\min_i \{\rho_{\alpha,i}\})\rho_{\alpha,1}q_1 = \rho_{\alpha,1}^{-1}\rho_{\alpha,1}q_1 = q_1$$

(invariance of $\{\rho_{\alpha,1}, \dots, \rho_{\alpha,n_\alpha}\}$ under taking inverses!). Thus

$$H(A = \rho_{\alpha,k}\rho_{\alpha,1}q_1) = H(A = q_1) \quad \text{or} \quad H(A = \rho_{\alpha,k}\rho_{\alpha,1}q_1) = \{0\}.$$

Clearly, if $H(A = \rho_{\alpha,k}\rho_{\alpha,1}q_1) = \{0\}$ then $\pi(U_{k,1}^\alpha)\zeta = 0$.

Step 4. (continued further)

- We have $\pi(U_{k,1}^\alpha)\zeta \in H(A = \rho_{\alpha,k}\rho_{\alpha,1}q_1) \cap H(B = \rho_{\alpha,k}\rho_{\alpha,1}^{-1}\mu_{1,P_1})$ and $H(A = \rho_{\alpha,k}\rho_{\alpha,1}q_1) = H(A = q_1)$ or $H(A = \rho_{\alpha,k}\rho_{\alpha,1}q_1) = \{0\}$.
- What happens if $H(A = \rho_{\alpha,k}\rho_{\alpha,1}q_1) = H(A = q_1)$?
- In this case $\rho_{\alpha,k}$ must be $\rho_{\alpha,1}^{-1}$, so

$$\rho_{\alpha,k}\rho_{\alpha,1}^{-1}\mu_{1,P_1} = \rho_{\alpha,1}^{-2}\mu_{1,P_1} < \mu_{1,P_1} = \min \text{Sp}(B|_{H(A=q_1)}).$$

Consequently $H(B = \rho_{\alpha,k}\rho_{\alpha,1}^{-1}\mu_{1,P_1}) = \{0\}$ and

$$\pi(U_{k,1}^\alpha)\zeta \in H(A = q_1) \cap H(B = \rho_{\alpha,k}\rho_{\alpha,1}^{-1}\mu_{1,P_1}) = \{0\}.$$

In particular $\pi(U_{k,1}^\alpha)\zeta = 0$.

Step 5.

- We have shown that there is a non-zero $\zeta \in H$ with

$$\pi(U_{k,1}^\alpha)\zeta, \quad k = 1, \dots, n_\alpha.$$

- But $U^\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} U_{1,1}^\alpha & \dots & U_{1,n_\alpha}^\alpha \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ U_{n_\alpha,1}^\alpha & \dots & U_{n_\alpha,n_\alpha}^\alpha \end{bmatrix}$ is a unitary matrix, so

$$0 \neq \zeta = \sum_{k=1}^{n_\alpha} \pi(U_{k,1}^\alpha)^* \pi(U_{k,1}^\alpha)\zeta = 0.$$

- This contradiction shows that the existence of \mathbb{G} such that $L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \cong B(H)$ is impossible.

□

REMARKS

- ① The proof can be tweaked to obtain

THEOREM (J. KRAJCZOK & P.M.S.)

There does not exist a compact quantum group \mathbb{G} such that $L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \cong N \oplus B(H)$ with N an arbitrary von Neumann algebra or the zero vector space and H of infinite dimension.

REMARKS

- ② Similar techniques yield the following

THEOREM (A. CHIRVASITU, J. KRAJCZOK & P.M.S.)

Let \mathbb{G} be a compact quantum group such that the C^ -algebra $C(\mathbb{G})$ fits into the exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \mathcal{K}(H_i) \longrightarrow C(\mathbb{G}) \longrightarrow C(X) \longrightarrow 0$$

with X a compact space. The \mathbb{G} is finite ($\dim C(\mathbb{G}) < +\infty$).

- ③ It follows that the Podleś spheres and the quantum disk do not admit a structure of a compact quantum group.

THEOREM (J. KRAJCZOK & M. WASILEWSKI)

Let $q \in]-1, 1[\setminus \{0\}$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and consider the action α^ν of \mathbb{Q} with discrete topology on $SU_q(2)$ given by

$$\alpha_r^\nu(x) = \tau_{\nu r}^{\text{SU}_q(2)}(x), \quad x \in L^\infty(\text{SU}_q(2)), \quad r \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Let $\mathbb{H}_{\nu,q}$ be the corresponding bicrossed product:

$$\mathbb{H}_{\nu,q} = \mathbb{Q} \bowtie \text{SU}_q(2).$$

Then

- ① $\mathbb{H}_{\nu,q}$ is a compact quantum group,
- ② $\mathbb{H}_{\nu,q}$ is coamenable and hence $L^\infty(\mathbb{H}_{\nu,q})$ is injective,
- ③ if $\nu \log |q| \notin \pi\mathbb{Q}$ then $L^\infty(\mathbb{H}_{\nu,q})$ is the injective factor of type II_∞ ,
- ④ the spectrum of the modular operator for the Haar measure $\mathbf{h}_{\nu,q}$ of $\mathbb{H}_{\nu,q}$ is $\{0\} \cup q^{2\mathbb{Z}}$.

- Let $((\nu_n, q_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of parameters as described above ($\nu_n \log |q_n| \notin \pi \mathbb{Q}$ for all n) and consider the compact quantum group

$$\mathbb{G} = \bigtimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{H}_{\nu_n, q_n}.$$

- In particular $L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} L^\infty(\mathbb{H}_{\nu_n, q_n})$.

EXAMPLE

If the sequence $((\nu_n, q_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is constant then $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ is the injective factor of type III_{q^2} with separable predual.

- $T(L^\infty(\mathbb{G})) = \frac{\pi}{\log |q|} \mathbb{Z}$,
- $S(L^\infty(\mathbb{G})) = \{0\} \cup |q|^{2\mathbb{Z}}$.

EXAMPLE

If there are two subsequences $(q_{n_{1,p}})_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(q_{n_{2,p}})_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\{n_{1,p} \mid p \in \mathbb{N}\} \cap \{n_{2,p} \mid p \in \mathbb{N}\} = \emptyset$$

and

$$q_{n_{1,p}} \xrightarrow{p \rightarrow \infty} r_1, \quad q_{n_{2,p}} \xrightarrow{p \rightarrow \infty} r_2$$

for some $r_1, r_2 \in]-1, 1[\setminus \{0\}$ such that $\frac{\pi}{\log|r_1|}\mathbb{Z} \cap \frac{\pi}{\log|r_2|}\mathbb{Z} = \{0\}$ then $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ is the injective factor of type III₁ with separable predual.

- $T(L^\infty(\mathbb{G})) = \{0\}$,
- $S(L^\infty(\mathbb{G})) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

THEOREM (J. KRAJCZOK & P.M.S.)

There exist a family $\{\mathbb{G}_s\}_{s \in]0,1[}$ of compact quantum groups such that the von Neumann algebras $\{L^\infty(\mathbb{G}_s)\}_{s \in]0,1[}$ are pairwise non-isomorphic factors of type III₀.

- $T(L^\infty(\mathbb{G}_s)) \supset \mathbb{Q}$,
- defining

$$t_s = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{|p^{1-s}|}{p!}, \quad s \in]0, 1[$$

we have

$$\left(t_{s'} \in T(L^\infty(\mathbb{G}_s)) \right) \iff \left(s' > s \right).$$

- For each $\lambda \in]0, 1]$ there exists uncountably many pairwise non-isomorphic compact quantum groups with $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ the injective factor of type III_λ .
- These compact quantum groups are constructed as bicrossed products $\Gamma \bowtie \times_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{H}_{\nu_n, q_n}$ with Γ a subgroup of \mathbb{R} (taken with discrete topology) acting by the scaling automorphisms.
- We distinguish between them using the following invariants:
 - $T^\tau(\mathbb{G}) = \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \tau_t^{\mathbb{G}} = \text{id}\},$
 - $T_{\text{Inn}}^\tau(\mathbb{G}) = \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \tau_t^{\mathbb{G}} \in \text{Inn}(L^\infty(\mathbb{G}))\},$
 - $T_{\overline{\text{Inn}}}^\tau(\mathbb{G}) = \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \tau_t^{\mathbb{G}} \in \overline{\text{Inn}}(L^\infty(\mathbb{G}))\}.$

FULL LIST OF INVARIANTS

DEFINITION

Let \mathbb{G} be a locally compact quantum group. We define

$$T^\tau(\mathbb{G}) = \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \tau_t^\mathbb{G} = \text{id}\},$$

$$T_{\text{Inn}}^\tau(\mathbb{G}) = \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \tau_t^\mathbb{G} \in \text{Inn}(L^\infty(\mathbb{G}))\},$$

$$T_{\overline{\text{Inn}}}^\tau(\mathbb{G}) = \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \tau_t^\mathbb{G} \in \overline{\text{Inn}}(L^\infty(\mathbb{G}))\},$$

$$T^\sigma(\mathbb{G}) = \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \sigma_t^\varphi = \text{id}\},$$

$$T_{\text{Inn}}^\sigma(\mathbb{G}) = \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \sigma_t^\varphi \in \text{Inn}(L^\infty(\mathbb{G}))\},$$

$$T_{\overline{\text{Inn}}}^\sigma(\mathbb{G}) = \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \sigma_t^\varphi \in \overline{\text{Inn}}(L^\infty(\mathbb{G}))\},$$

$$\text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}) = \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \delta^{it} = \mathbf{1}\},$$

where δ is the modular element of \mathbb{G} .

- The sets $T_{\bullet}^{\circ}(\mathbb{G})$ are subgroups of \mathbb{R} and are isomorphism invariants of the quantum group \mathbb{G} .
- $T^{\tau}(\mathbb{G}) = T^{\tau}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$.
- $T^{\bullet}(\mathbb{G})$, $T_{\text{Inn}}^{\bullet}(\mathbb{G})$, and $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{G})$ are closed.
- We would obtain the same groups $T^{\sigma}(\mathbb{G})$, $T_{\text{Inn}}^{\sigma}(\mathbb{G})$, and $T_{\text{Inn}}^{\sigma}(\mathbb{G})$ if we chose the right Haar measure instead of the left one.
- $T_{\text{Inn}}^{\sigma}(\mathbb{G})$ is equal to the Connes' invariant $T(L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G}))$. Consequently, $T_{\text{Inn}}^{\sigma}(\mathbb{G})$ depends only on the von Neumann algebra $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})$. It is also the case for $T_{\text{Inn}}^{\sigma}(\mathbb{G})$.

PROPOSITION

For any locally compact quantum group \mathbb{G} we have

$$\begin{aligned} T^\sigma(\mathbb{G}) &= T^\tau(\mathbb{G}) \cap \text{Mod}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}), \\ T_{\text{Inn}}^\sigma(\mathbb{G}) \cap \text{Mod}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) &= T_{\text{Inn}}^\tau(\mathbb{G}) \cap \text{Mod}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}), \\ T_{\text{Inn}}^\sigma(\mathbb{G}) \cap \text{Mod}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) &= T_{\text{Inn}}^\tau(\mathbb{G}) \cap \text{Mod}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}), \\ \text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}) \cap \text{Mod}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) &\subset \frac{1}{2} T^\tau(\mathbb{G}). \end{aligned}$$

- The first equality above together with $T^\tau(\mathbb{G}) = T^\tau(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ reduces the list to 11 (invariants $T^\sigma(\mathbb{G})$, $T^\sigma(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ and $T^\tau(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ are determined by the remaining ones).
- If \mathbb{G} is compact then $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}) = T_{\text{Inn}}^\tau(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = T_{\text{Inn}}^\sigma(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = T_{\text{Inn}}^\tau(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = T_{\text{Inn}}^\sigma(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = \mathbb{R}$.
- If additionally $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ is semifinite then $T_{\text{Inn}}^\sigma(\mathbb{G}) = T_{\text{Inn}}^\sigma(\mathbb{G}) = \mathbb{R}$.

EXAMPLE: THE QUANTUM $E(2)$ GROUP

With $\mathbb{G} = E_q(2)$ for some $q \in]0, 1[$ we have

$$T^\tau(\mathbb{G}) = T_{\text{Inn}}^\tau(\mathbb{G}) = T_{\text{Inn}}^\tau(\mathbb{G}) = T^\sigma(\mathbb{G}) = T^\tau(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = T^\sigma(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = \text{Mod}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = \frac{\pi}{\log q} \mathbb{Z},$$

$$T_{\text{Inn}}^\sigma(\mathbb{G}) = T_{\text{Inn}}^\sigma(\mathbb{G}) = T_{\text{Inn}}^\tau(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = T_{\text{Inn}}^\tau(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = T_{\text{Inn}}^\sigma(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = T_{\text{Inn}}^\sigma(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = \text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}) = \mathbb{R}.$$

EXAMPLE: QUANTUM “ $az + b$ ” GROUPS

Let \mathbb{G} be the quantum “ $az + b$ ” group for the deformation parameter q in one of the three cases:

- ① $q = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}}$ with $N = 6, 8, \dots$,
- ② $q \in]0, 1[$,
- ③ $q = e^{1/\rho}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \rho < 0$, $\operatorname{Im} \rho = \frac{N}{2\pi}$ with $N = \pm 2, \pm 4, \dots$

Then

$$T_{\operatorname{Inn}}^{\tau}(\mathbb{G}) = T_{\operatorname{Inn}}^{\tau}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = T_{\operatorname{Inn}}^{\sigma}(\mathbb{G}) = T_{\operatorname{Inn}}^{\sigma}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = \mathbb{R},$$

$$T^{\tau}(\mathbb{G}) = T^{\tau}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = T^{\sigma}(\mathbb{G}) = T^{\sigma}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = \operatorname{Mod}(\mathbb{G}) = \operatorname{Mod}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{in cases ① and ③} \\ \frac{\pi}{\log q} \mathbb{Z} & \text{in case ②} \end{cases}.$$

EXAMPLE: U_F^+

Let \mathbb{G} be the quantum group U_F^+ . Then $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ is a full factor so

$\text{Inn}(L^\infty(\mathbb{G})) = \overline{\text{Inn}}(L^\infty(\mathbb{G}))$ (Vaes).

- \mathbb{G} is compact, so $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{G}) = T_{\text{Inn}}^\tau(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = T_{\text{Inn}}^\tau(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = T_{\text{Inn}}^\sigma(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = T_{\text{Inn}}^\sigma(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = \mathbb{R}$.
- If \mathbb{G} is not of Kac type ($F^*F = \mathbb{1}$) then

$$T_{\text{Inn}}^\tau(\mathbb{G}) = T_{\text{Inn}}^\tau(\mathbb{G}) = T^\tau(\mathbb{G}) = \bigcap_{\Lambda \in \text{Sp}(F^*F \otimes (F^*F)^{-1}) \setminus \{1\}} \frac{2\pi}{\log(\Lambda)} \mathbb{Z},$$

while $\text{Mod}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) = \bigcap_{\Lambda \in \text{Sp}(F^*F) \setminus \{\lambda^{-1}\}} \frac{2\pi}{\log \lambda + \log(\Lambda)} \mathbb{Z}$, where $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{\text{Tr}((F^*F)^{-1})}{\text{Tr}(F^*F)}}$.

- If \mathbb{G} is not of Kac type then $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ is a type III_μ factor for some $\mu \in]0, 1]$ and $T_{\text{Inn}}^\sigma(\mathbb{G}) = T_{\text{Inn}}^\sigma(\mathbb{G}) = \frac{2\pi}{\log \mu} \mathbb{Z}$ (otherwise $T_{\text{Inn}}^\sigma(\mathbb{G}) = T_{\text{Inn}}^\sigma(\mathbb{G}) = \mathbb{R}$).

REMARKS

- In the course of constructing families of $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ isomorphic to factors of type III, for any subgroup Γ of \mathbb{R} we constructed second countable compact quantum group \mathbb{K} such that $T_{\text{Inn}}^\tau(\mathbb{K}) = \Gamma$.
- The equality $T^\tau(U_F^+) = T_{\text{Inn}}^\tau(U_F^+)$ says that the compact quantum group U_F^+ belongs to the class for which the following statement is true:

CONJECTURE (*)

If \mathbb{G} is a second countable compact quantum group and $T_{\text{Inn}}^\tau(\mathbb{G}) = \mathbb{R}$ then \mathbb{G} is of Kac type.

- We were able to prove that this conjecture is true for many compact quantum groups including duals of second countable type I discrete quantum groups (e.g. q -deformations of compact semisimple Lie groups).

EXAMPLE: q-DEFORMATIONS

Let G be a compact semisimple Lie group with root system Φ and let $q \in]0, 1[$.

- Since G_q is compact we again have

$$\text{Mod}(G_q) = T_{\text{Inn}}^{\tau}(\widehat{G}_q) = T_{\text{Inn}}^{\tau}(\widehat{G}_q) = T_{\text{Inn}}^{\sigma}(\widehat{G}_q) = T_{\text{Inn}}^{\sigma}(\widehat{G}_q) = \mathbb{R}.$$

- Furthermore $T_{\text{Inn}}^{\sigma}(G_q) = T_{\text{Inn}}^{\sigma}(G_q) = \mathbb{R}$ because $C(G_q)$ is a C^* -algebra of type I.
- We have $T^{\tau}(G_q) = \frac{\pi}{\log q} \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$T_{\text{Inn}}^{\tau}(G_q) = T_{\text{Inn}}^{\tau}(G_q) = \text{Mod}(\widehat{G}_q) = \frac{\pi}{\Upsilon_{\Phi} \log q} \mathbb{Z},$$

where Υ_{Φ} is a positive integer determined by Lie-theoretic data (see next two slides).

EXAMPLE: q -DEFORMATIONS (CONTINUED)

- Let $\Phi = \Phi_1 \cup \dots \cup \Phi_l$ be the decomposition of Φ into irreducible parts. Then

$$\Upsilon_\Phi = \gcd(\Upsilon_{\Phi_1}, \dots, \Upsilon_{\Phi_l}).$$

- We have

type	group	range of n	Υ_Φ	$T_{\text{Inn}}^\tau(G_q)$
A_n	$SU(n+1)$	$n \geq 1$ odd	1	$\frac{\pi}{\log q} \mathbb{Z}$
		$n \geq 1$ even	2	$\frac{\pi}{2 \log q} \mathbb{Z}$
B_n	$\text{Spin}(2n+1)$	$n \geq 2$ odd	1	$\frac{\pi}{\log q} \mathbb{Z}$
		$n \geq 2$ even	2	$\frac{\pi}{2 \log q} \mathbb{Z}$
C_n	$\text{Sp}(2n)$	$n \geq 3$	2	$\frac{\pi}{2 \log q} \mathbb{Z}$
D_n	$\text{Spin}(2n)$	$n \geq 4$ odd	2	$\frac{\pi}{2 \log q} \mathbb{Z}$
		$n \geq 4$ even	1	$\frac{\pi}{\log q} \mathbb{Z}$

EXAMPLE: q -DEFORMATIONS (CONTINUED)

- And for the exceptional cases we have
 - type E_6 : $\Upsilon_\Phi = 2$ and $T_{\text{Inn}}^\tau(G_q) = \frac{\pi}{2 \log q} \mathbb{Z}$,
 - type E_7 : $\Upsilon_\Phi = 1$ and $T_{\text{Inn}}^\tau(G_q) = \frac{\pi}{\log q} \mathbb{Z}$,
 - type E_8 : $\Upsilon_\Phi = 2$ and $T_{\text{Inn}}^\tau(G_q) = \frac{\pi}{2 \log q} \mathbb{Z}$,
 - type F_4 : $\Upsilon_\Phi = 2$ and $T_{\text{Inn}}^\tau(G_q) = \frac{\pi}{2 \log q} \mathbb{Z}$,
 - type G_2 : $\Upsilon_\Phi = 2$ and $T_{\text{Inn}}^\tau(G_q) = \frac{\pi}{2 \log q} \mathbb{Z}$.

SPECIAL CASE

- Consider the compact quantum group $SU_q(3)$.
- Then $\Upsilon_\Phi = 2$, so

$$T_{\text{Inn}}^\tau(SU_q(3)) = \frac{\pi}{2 \log q} \mathbb{Z},$$

while $T^\tau(SU_q(3)) = \frac{\pi}{\log q} \mathbb{Z}$.

- This means that there are non-trivial inner scaling automorphisms.
- $SU_q(3)$ does not have non-trivial one-dimensional representations, so these scaling automorphisms are not implemented by a group-like element.

PROPOSITION

Let G be such that $\Upsilon_\Phi = 2$. Then a unitary implementing the scaling automorphism for $t = \frac{\pi}{2 \log q}$ does not belong to $C(G_q)$. In particular, the restriction of this automorphism to $C(G_q)$ is not inner.

AND NOW FOR SOMETHING COMPLETELY DIFFERENT

PROPOSITION

Let Γ be a discrete group. Then the following are equivalent:

- ① Γ is i.c.c.,
- ② $L(\Gamma)$ is a factor,
- ③ $\Delta_{\hat{\Gamma}}^{(n)}(L(\Gamma))' \cap \underbrace{L(\Gamma) \overline{\otimes} \cdots \overline{\otimes} L(\Gamma)}_{n+1} = \mathbb{C}1$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- ④ $\Delta_{\hat{\Gamma}}^{(n)}(L(\Gamma))' \cap \underbrace{L(\Gamma) \overline{\otimes} \cdots \overline{\otimes} L(\Gamma)}_{n+1} = \mathbb{C}1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

PROPOSITION

Let \mathbb{G} be a locally compact quantum group and assume that

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{G}}^{(n)}(L^\infty(\mathbb{G}))' \cap \underbrace{L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) \overline{\otimes} \cdots \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(\mathbb{G})}_{n+1} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ is a factor.

DEFINITION

Let \mathbb{F} be a discrete quantum group. We say that \mathbb{F} is n -i.c.c. if

$$\Delta_{\hat{\mathbb{F}}}^{(n)}(L^\infty(\hat{\mathbb{F}}))' \cap \underbrace{L^\infty(\hat{\mathbb{F}}) \overline{\otimes} \cdots \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(\hat{\mathbb{F}})}_{n+1} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}.$$

PROPOSITION

Let Γ be a discrete quantum group. If Γ is n -i.c.c. for some n then Γ is m -i.c.c. for all natural $m \leq n$.

THEOREM

Let \mathbb{G} be a second countable compact quantum group whose dual is 1-i.c.c. Then conjecture (*) holds for \mathbb{G} .

- Recall that $\text{Irr}(U_F^+) = \mathbb{Z}_+ \star \mathbb{Z}_+$ with the two copies of \mathbb{Z}_+ generated by the class α of the defining representation and $\beta = \bar{\alpha}$.
- For $x \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \star \mathbb{Z}_+$ put

$$D_{x,n} = \begin{cases} \|\rho_x^2 - \mathbb{1}\| \frac{\|\rho_x\|^{2(n+1)} - 1}{\|\rho_x\|^2 - 1} & \rho_x \neq \mathbb{1} \\ 0 & \rho_x = \mathbb{1} \end{cases}.$$

- Let $D_n = \max\{D_{\alpha\beta,n}, D_{\beta\alpha,n}, D_{\alpha^2\beta,n}\}$.

THEOREM

If $D_n < 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\frac{2(7-4D_n)D_n}{2(1-D_n)^2-1} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}}$ then \widehat{U}_F^+ is n -i.c.c.

THEOREM

Take $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and write $c = \max\left\{\|\lambda F^* F - \mathbb{1}\|, \|(\lambda F^* F)^{-1} - \mathbb{1}\|\right\}$, where

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{\text{Tr}((F^* F)^{-1})}{\text{Tr}(F^* F)}}. \text{ If}$$

$$\sqrt{n}(n+1)c(2+c)(1+c)^{4+6n} < \frac{1}{72}$$

then \widehat{U}_F^+ is n -i.c.c.

POST-DOC POSITION IN WARSAW

- A position for one year starting March 2024 is being announced.
- Please e-mail me if you are interested.

Thank you for your attention