Ground state hyperfine splitting in ^{6,7}Li atoms and the nuclear structure

Mariusz Puchalski^{1,2} and Krzysztof Pachucki¹

¹Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Hoża 69, 00-681 Warsaw, Poland ²Faculty of Chemistry, Adam Mickiewicz University, Umultowska 89b, 61-614 Poznań, Poland

Relativistic and QED corrections were calculated for hyperfine splitting of the $2S_{1/2}$ ground state in 6,7 Li atoms with a numerically exact account for electronic correlations. The resulting theoretical predictions achieved such a precision level that, by comparison with experimental values, they enable determination of the nuclear properties. In particular, the obtained results show that the 7 Li nucleus, having a charge radius smaller than 6 Li, has about a 40% larger Zemach radius. Together with known differences in the electric quadrupole and magnetic dipole moments, this calls for a deeper understanding of the Li nuclear structure.

PACS numbers: 31.30.J-, 31.15.ac, 21.10.Ky

INTRODUCTION

Hyperfine splitting (hfs) of atomic energy levels results from the interaction between the magnetic moment of the atomic nucleus and that of the electrons. It has been measured very accurately for many elements, including light ones: H [1], D [2], ³He [3], Li, and Be⁺ [4]. Since the hyperfine interaction is singular at small distances, it strongly depends on the nucleus. For example, the nuclear structure contribution in H is -33 ppm, in D it is 138 ppm and in ${}^{3}\text{He}^{+}$ it is -212 ppm [5], while experimental precision is orders of magnitude larger. This means that theoretical predictions for hydrogenic systems can only be as accurate as the uncertainty in the nuclear structure contribution. The situation is different for many electron systems where the limiting factor is the electron correlation, which is difficult to accurately account for using relativistic formalism based on the multi-electron Dirac Hamiltonian [6, 7].

In this work we overcame this problem by using the NRQED (nonrelativistic quantum electrodynamics) approach, where relativistic and QED effects are treated perturbatively. We were able to account accurately for electron correlations by using explicitly correlated basis sets. We derived an exact formula for $O(\alpha^2)$ corrections, and higher orders were treated approximately with the help of hydrogenic results. This enabled us to achieve a few ppm accuracy and clearly identify the nuclear structure contribution. Surprisingly, the obtained results show significantly different magnetic moment distributions in $^6\mathrm{Li}$ and $^7\mathrm{Li}$. This calls for a deeper understanding of the Li nuclear structure, or signals the existance of some unknown spin-dependent short-range force between charged hadrons and the lepton.

EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN

To calculate the hyperfine splitting in the Li atom we use the NRQED approach, which consistently accounts for relativistic and QED effects. In this approach all corrections are treated perturbatively in powers of the fine structure constant and are expressed in terms of an effective Hamiltonian. For example,

hyperfine splitting in the ${\cal S}$ state is given by the Fermi contact interaction

$$H_{\rm hfs}^A = \frac{2 g_N Z \alpha}{3 m M} \sum_a \vec{I} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_a \pi \delta^3(r_a). \tag{1}$$

The relation of $g_{\rm N}$ with the magnetic moment μ of the nucleus of charge Z is

$$g_{\rm N} = \frac{M}{Z m_{\rm D}} \frac{\mu}{\mu_{\rm N}} \frac{1}{I}, \qquad (2)$$

where $\mu_{\rm N}$ is the nuclear magneton and I is the nuclear spin. Numerical values of the nuclear $g-{\rm factor}$ for Li are presented in Table I. In general, the leading relativistic correction $H_{\rm hfs}^{(4)}$ of order $m\,\alpha^4$, which depends on nuclear spin I is

$$H_{\rm hfs}^{(4)} = \frac{g}{2} H_{\rm hfs}^A + H_{\rm hfs}^B + H_{\rm hfs}^C,$$
 (3)

$$H_{\rm hfs}^B = \varepsilon \frac{Z \alpha}{m^3} \sum \vec{I} \cdot \frac{\vec{r}_a \times \vec{p}_a}{r_a^3},$$
 (4)

$$H_{\rm hfs}^{C} = -\varepsilon \frac{Z \alpha}{2 m^3} \sum_{a} \frac{I^i \sigma_a^j}{r_a^3} \left(\delta^{ij} - 3 \frac{r_a^i r_a^j}{r_a^2} \right), \quad (5)$$

where $\varepsilon=g_{\rm N}\,m^2/(2\,M)$, and $M,\,m$ are masses of the nucleus and the electron, respectively. $H_{\rm hfs}^B$ and $H_{\rm hfs}^C$ in principle involve the electron g-factor, which is set here to g=2. This is because their expectation values vanish in any S state and they contribute only in the second order of perturbation theory (see below). Higher order relativistic and QED corrections to hyperfine splitting are also expressed in terms of an effective Hamiltonian, so the expansion in α takes the form

$$E_{\rm hfs} = \langle H_{\rm hfs}^{(4)} \rangle + \langle H_{\rm hfs}^{(5)} \rangle + \langle H_{\rm hfs}^{(6)} \rangle$$

$$+ 2 \langle H^{(4)} \frac{1}{(E - H)'} H_{\rm hfs}^{(4)} \rangle + \langle H_{\rm rad}^{(6)} \rangle + \langle H_{\rm hfs}^{(7)} \rangle,$$
(6)

where the prime denotes exclusion of the reference state from the resolvent. $H^{(4)}$ is a Breit Hamiltonian in the non-recoil limit:

$$H^{(4)} = H^{A} + H^{B} + H^{C},$$

$$H^{A} = \sum_{a} \left[-\frac{p_{a}^{4}}{8 m^{3}} + \frac{Z \alpha \pi}{2 m^{2}} \delta^{3}(r_{a}) \right] + \sum_{a,b;a>b}$$

$$\left[\frac{\pi \alpha}{m^{2}} \delta^{3}(r_{ab}) - \frac{\alpha}{2 m^{2}} p_{a}^{i} \left(\frac{\delta^{ij}}{r_{ab}} + \frac{r_{ab}^{i} r_{ab}^{j}}{r_{ab}^{3}} \right) p_{b}^{j} \right],$$

$$H^{B} = \sum_{a} \frac{Z \alpha}{4 m^{2}} \frac{\vec{r}_{a}}{r_{a}^{3}} \times \vec{p}_{a} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{a}$$

$$+ \sum_{a \mid b:a \neq b} \frac{\alpha}{4 m^{2}} \frac{\vec{r}_{ab}}{r_{ab}^{3}} \times (2 \vec{p}_{b} - \vec{p}_{a}) \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{a},$$
(9)

$$H^{C} = \sum_{\substack{a \ b: a > b}} \frac{\alpha}{4 \, m^{2}} \, \frac{\sigma_{a}^{i} \, \sigma_{b}^{j}}{r_{ab}^{3}} \left(\delta^{ij} - \frac{3 \, r_{ab}^{i} \, r_{ab}^{j}}{r_{ab}^{2}} \right), \tag{10}$$

and $\vec{r}_{ab}=\vec{r}_a-\vec{r}_b,$ $r_{ab}=|\vec{r}_{ab}|.$ $H_{\rm hfs}^{(5)}$ is a correction of order $m\,\alpha^5$. It is a Dirac-delta-like interaction with the coefficient obtained from the two-photon forward scattering amplitude. It has the same form as in hydrogen and depends on the nuclear structure. At the limit of a point spin 1/2 nucleus it is

$$H_{\rm hfs}^{(5)} = -H_{\rm hfs}^A \frac{3 Z \alpha}{\pi} \frac{m}{m_{\rm N}} \ln\left(\frac{m_{\rm N}}{m}\right) \equiv H_{\rm rec}^{(5)}$$
 (11)

a small nuclear recoil correction. For a finite-size nucleus $H_{\rm hfs}^{(5)}$ does not vanish at the non-recoil limit. If we use a simple and inaccurate picture of the nucleus as a rigid ball described by the electric $\rho_E(r)$ and the magnetic $\rho_M(r)$ formfactors, then $H_{\rm hfs}^{(5)}$ takes the form

$$H_{\rm hfs}^{(5)} = -H_{\rm hfs}^A \, 2 \, Z \, \alpha \, m \, r_Z \,,$$
 (12)

where

$$r_Z = \int d^3r \, d^3r' \, \rho_E(r) \, \rho_M(r') \, |\vec{r} - \vec{r}'| \,, \tag{13}$$

and the whole correction is encoded into the Zemach radius r_Z . The more accurate formula goes beyond the elastic formfactor treatment. It was first found by Low and then much later reanalysed and applied in calculations for such nuclei as D, T and ³He by Friar and Payne in Ref. [5],

$$H_{\text{hfs}}^{(5)} = \frac{\pi \alpha^2}{2} \sum_{a} \delta^3(r_a) \int d^3r \, d^3r' \\ \left\langle \left\{ \rho(\vec{r}), \, \vec{\sigma}_a \cdot (\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \times \vec{j}(\vec{r}') \right\} | \vec{r} - \vec{r}' | \right\rangle \\ = -H_{\text{hfs}}^A 2 Z \alpha m \, \tilde{r}_Z \,, \tag{14}$$

where ρ and \vec{j} are the nuclear charge and current density operators, respectively, and the last equation is the definition of \tilde{r}_Z . Both formulas include the same feature: linear dependence on the average distance of the magnetic moment density from the charge density. We did not attempt to perform nuclear structure calculations to obtain $H_{\mathrm{hfs}}^{(5)},$ because they are beyond our range. Instead, we used an experimental hyperfine splitting value to obtain the nuclear structure contribution and we expressed it in terms of an effective Zemach radius \tilde{r}_Z according to Eq. (14). This gives us clues about the structure of Li nu-

The next term $H_{\rm hfs}^{(6)}$ includes nuclear spin-dependent operators that contribute at order $m \alpha^6$. This term is not well known in the literature. In hydrogenic systems it leads to the so-called Breit correction. For two-electron atoms it was presented in the work on ³He hyperfine splitting [8], while for three-electron atoms the operators were derived in Ref. [9]. We re-derived this result herein to obtain a slightly simplified but equivalent form. This was done as follows: the magnetic field coming from the nuclear magnetic moment is

$$e\,\vec{A}(\vec{r}) = \frac{e}{4\,\pi}\,\vec{\mu} \times \frac{\vec{r}}{r^3} = -Z\,\alpha\,\frac{g_N}{2\,M}\,\vec{I} \times \frac{\vec{r}}{r^3}\,. \tag{15}$$

Consider the part $\delta H_{\rm BP}$ of the Breit-Pauli Hamiltonian of the atomic system, which includes the coupling of the electron spin to the magnetic field

$$\delta H_{\rm BP} = \sum_{a} \left\{ \frac{\vec{\pi}_{a}^{2}}{2 m} - \frac{e}{2 m} \vec{\sigma}_{a} \cdot \vec{B}_{a} + \frac{Z \alpha}{4 m^{2}} \vec{\sigma}_{a} \cdot \frac{\vec{r}_{a}}{r_{a}^{3}} \times \vec{\pi}_{a} + \frac{e}{8 m^{3}} \left(\vec{\sigma}_{a} \cdot \vec{B}_{a} \, \vec{\pi}_{a}^{2} + \vec{\pi}_{a}^{2} \, \vec{\sigma}_{a} \cdot \vec{B}_{a} \right) + \sum_{b, b \neq a} \frac{\alpha}{4 m^{2} r_{ab}^{3}} \vec{\sigma}_{a} \cdot \vec{r}_{ab} \times \left(2 \, \vec{\pi}_{b} - \vec{\pi}_{a} \right) \right\}, \quad (16)$$

where $\vec{\pi} = \vec{p} - e \vec{A}$. The leading interaction $H_{\rm hfs}^{(4)}$ between the nuclear \vec{I} and electron spins $\vec{\sigma}_a$ is obtained from the nonrela-

$$H_{\rm hfs}^{(4)} = -\sum_{a} \frac{e}{m} \, \vec{p}_a \cdot \vec{A}(\vec{r}_a) - \frac{e}{2m} \, \vec{\sigma}_a \cdot \vec{B}(\vec{r}_a) \,,$$
 (17)

with the magnetic field coming from the nucleus, Eq. (15). The relativistic correction $H_{\rm hfs}^{(6)}$ is similarly obtained from

$$H_{\text{hfs}}^{(6)} = \varepsilon \sum_{a} \vec{\sigma}_{a} \cdot \vec{I} \left[\frac{(Z\alpha)^{2}}{6m^{4}} \frac{1}{r_{a}^{4}} - \frac{Z\alpha}{12m^{5}} \left\{ p_{a}^{2}, 4\pi \delta^{3}(r_{a}) \right\} \right] + \sum_{b; b \neq a} \frac{Z\alpha^{2}}{6m^{4}} \frac{\vec{r}_{ab}}{r_{ab}^{3}} \cdot \left(2\frac{\vec{r}_{b}}{r_{b}^{3}} - \frac{\vec{r}_{a}}{r_{a}^{3}} \right) \right].$$
 (18)

However, the resulting operators are singular, and in the next section we briefly describe the cancellation of these singularities with those in the second order matrix elements.

 $H_{\mathrm{rad}}^{(6)}$ in Eq. (6) is a QED radiative correction [10, 11]

$$H_{\rm rad}^{(6)} = H_{\rm hfs}^A \alpha \left(Z \alpha \right) \left(\ln 2 - \frac{5}{2} \right), \tag{19}$$

which is similar to that in hydrogen. The last term $E_{\rm hfs}^{(7)}$ of order $m\,\alpha^7$ is calculated approximately using the hydrogenic

value for the one-loop correction from [12] and the two-loop correction from [11],

$$H_{\text{hfs}}^{(7)} = H_{\text{hfs}}^{A} \left[\frac{\alpha}{\pi} (Z \alpha)^{2} \left(-\frac{8}{3} \ln^{2}(Z \alpha) + a_{21} \ln(Z \alpha) + a_{20} \right) + \frac{\alpha^{2}}{\pi} (Z \alpha) b_{10} \right], (20)$$

where $a_{21}(2S) = -1.1675$, $a_{20}(2S) = 11.3522$ and $b_{10} = 0.771652$.

We will express the hyperfine splitting in terms of the hyperfine constant A, defined as

$$E_{\rm hfs} = \vec{I} \cdot \vec{J} A \,, \tag{21}$$

where \vec{J} is the total electronic angular momentum, which, for the ground state of Li, is equal to 1/2. If we use the notation $H_{\rm hfs} = \vec{I} \cdot \vec{H}_{\rm hfs}$, then

$$A = \frac{1}{J(J+1)} \langle \vec{J} \cdot \vec{H}_{\rm hfs} \rangle. \tag{22}$$

The expansion of A in α takes the form

$$A = \varepsilon \left[\frac{g}{2} \alpha^4 A^{(4)} + \sum_{n=5}^{\infty} \alpha^n A^{(n)} \right], \tag{23}$$

All the results of numerical calculations are given here in terms of dimensionless coefficients $A^{(n)}$.

NUMERICAL RESULTS

The matrix elements of all the operators are calculated with the nonrelativistic wave function Ψ expressed in terms of antisymmetrised functions ϕ_i ,

$$\Psi = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i} \mathcal{A}[\phi_{i}(\vec{r}_{1}, \vec{r}_{2}, \vec{r}_{3}) (|\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle)], (24)$$

where λ_i are real coefficients and \mathcal{A} denotes antisymmetrisation. In this work we used for ϕ the explicitly correlated

Hylleraas [13], Slater [14] and Gaussian [15] basis functions for various types of matrix elements. For convenience we will use in this section atomic units, so all $A^{(i)}$ are dimensionless.

The leading $A^{(4)}$ coefficient using Eq. (1)

$$A^{(4)} = \frac{1}{J(J+1)} \frac{4\pi Z}{3} \langle \vec{J} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_a \, \delta^3(r_a) \rangle, \qquad (25)$$

is calculated by using the expansion in the ratio of the reduced electron mass μ to the nuclear mass M

$$A^{(4)} = A^{(4,0)} - \frac{\mu}{M} A^{(4,1)}. \tag{26}$$

The next to leading correction $A_{\text{rec}}^{(5)}$ and all others are obtained in the leading order in the mass ratio, so that

$$A_{\rm rec}^{(5)} = -A^{(4)} \frac{3Z}{\pi} \frac{m}{m_{\rm N}} \ln\left(\frac{m_{\rm N}}{m}\right).$$
 (27)

TABLE I: Numerical values for the leading orders of hfs in the Li atom, the results from [13] are multiplied by 2.

	⁷ Li	⁶ Li	
g _N [16]	5.039 274 8(26)	1.635 884 1(12)	
$\mu/M \times 10^5$	7.8202027452(50)	9.121675279(24)	
$A^{(4,0)}$	5.81193788(5)		
Ref. [13]	5.811937888(74)		
$A^{(4,1)}$	16.738 971(4)		
Ref. [17]	16.8(1.8)		
$A^{(4)}$	5.81062886(5)	5.81041101(5)	
$A_{\rm rec}^{(5)}$	-0.008207110	-0.009416884	

The most difficult part of the calculation is $A^{(6)}$, which is expressed in terms of the following matrix elements:

$$A^{(6)} = A_{AN}^{(6)} + A_B^{(6)} + A_C^{(6)} + A_R^{(6)}, (28)$$

where

$$A_{AN}^{(6)} = \frac{2}{J(J+1)} \left\langle \frac{4\pi Z}{3} \sum_{a} \vec{J} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{a} \, \delta^{3}(r_{a}) \, \frac{1}{(E-H)'} H^{A} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{J(J+1)} \left\langle \sum_{a} \vec{J} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{a} \left[\frac{Z^{2}}{6} \, \frac{1}{r_{a}^{4}} - \frac{2Z}{3} \, p_{a}^{2} \pi \, \delta^{3}(r_{a}) + \sum_{b: b \neq a} \frac{Z}{6} \, \frac{\vec{r}_{ab}}{r_{ab}^{3}} \cdot \left(2 \, \frac{\vec{r}_{b}}{r_{b}^{3}} - \frac{\vec{r}_{a}}{r_{a}^{3}} \right) \right] \right\rangle, \tag{29}$$

$$A_B^{(6)} = \frac{2}{J(J+1)} \left\langle Z \sum_a \vec{J} \cdot \frac{\vec{r}_a \times \vec{p}_a}{r_a^3} \frac{1}{(E-H)'} H^B \right\rangle, \tag{30}$$

$$A_C^{(6)} = \frac{2}{J(J+1)} \left\langle -\frac{Z}{2} \sum_a \frac{J^i \sigma_a^j}{r_a^3} \left(\delta^{ij} - 3 \frac{r_a^i r_a^j}{r_a^2} \right) \frac{1}{(E-H)'} H^C \right\rangle, \tag{31}$$

and

$$A_R^{(6)} = A^{(4)} \left(\ln 2 - \frac{5}{2} \right). \tag{32}$$

 $\mathcal{A}_{AN}^{(6)}$ consists of two terms, which are separately divergent at small r_a . We obtained a finite expression by transforming operators in the second order matrix element by

$$H^{A} \equiv H'^{A} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a} \left\{ \frac{Z}{r_{a}}, E - H \right\},$$
 (33)

$$4\pi \,\delta^3(r_a) \equiv 4\pi \,[\delta^3(r_a)]' - \left\{\frac{2}{r_a}, E - H\right\}. \quad (34)$$

All singular terms are moved to the first order matrix elements, which, when combined, form a well defined and finite expression. The calculation of $A^{(6)}$ is the main result of this work. It agrees well with the former calculations in Refs. [6, 17] (see Table II) but is much more accurate. The higher order term $A^{(7)}$ is obtained directly from Eq. (20) and Eq. (22). Numerical results for all the expansion coefficients are presented in Table II. Final results are combined together in

TABLE II: Numerical values for relativistic and QED corrections (dimensionless) to the hyperfine splitting, results from [6] in terms of $G_{\rm M1}$ are multiplied by 27

Contribution	Value
$A_{AN}^{(6)}$	102.134(5)
$A_B^{(6)}$	0.02050(3)
$A_C^{(6)}$	0.08889(4)
$A_{B}^{(6)}$ $A_{C}^{(6)}$ $A_{C}^{(6)}$	-31.50395
$A^{(6)}$	70.739(5)
Ref. [6]	72.4
Ref. [17]	62.(8)
$A^{(7)}$	-381.(48)

Table III. The uncertainty of final theoretical predictions for a point nucleus are estimated as 25% of the a_{20} coefficient in Eq. (20), which is calculated approximately using the hydrogenic result. The achieved accuracy is sufficient to obtain precise values of the nuclear structure effect. This is expressed in terms of \tilde{r}_Z , the effective Zemach radius, the value of which should not be very different from the charge radius r_E . While our results are in agreement with those of Yerokhin [6] for the point nucleus, the nuclear structure contribution compares strangely to the nuclear calculations performed in Ref. [6]. Namely, they agree well for $^7\mathrm{Li}$ and strongly disagree for $^6\mathrm{Li}$, for which we do not have conclusive explanation.

CONCLUSIONS

Until now, only H, D and ³He nuclei have been studied to a high degree of accuracy, due to the development in hfs theory of one-electron systems [11]. Here we extend the

TABLE III: Contributions in MHz to the hyperfine splitting constant A in 6,7 Li, used constants are $g=2.002\,319\,304\,361\,53(53)$, $\alpha^{-1}=137.035\,999\,074(44)$, the last but one row is a Zemach radius inferred from comparison of experiment [4] with theoretical value for the point nucleus.

	⁷ Li	⁶ Li
$\varepsilon \times 10^{-9}$	24.348 067(13)	9.219580(7)
$\varepsilon \alpha^4 g/2 A^{(4)}$	401.65408(21)	152.08369(11)
$\varepsilon \alpha^5 A_{ m rec}^{(5)}$	-0.00414	-0.00180
$\varepsilon \alpha^6 A^{(6)}$	0.26008(2)	0.09848(1)
$\varepsilon \alpha^7 A^{(7)}$	-0.0102(13)	-0.0039(5)
$A_{\rm the}$ (point nucleus)	401.8998(13)	152.1765(5)
Ref. [6]	401.903(11)	152.1778(42)
$A_{\rm exp}$	401.7520433(5)	152.136839(2)
$(A_{\rm exp} - A_{\rm the})/A_{\rm exp}$	-368(3) ppm	-261(3) ppm
Ref. [6] (nucl. calc.)	-369(23) ppm	-368(60) ppm
$ ilde{r}_Z$	3.25(3) fm	2.30(3) fm
r_E	2.390(30) fm	2.540(28) fm

high-accuracy theoretical predictions to three-electron atoms (ions). Namely, we have calculated hyperfine splitting in $^{6,7}{\rm Li}$ with an accuracy of a few ppm, which allows the determination of nuclear structure effects, expressed in terms of the effective Zemach radius \tilde{r}_Z . The obtained result for $\tilde{r}_Z(^7{\rm Li})$ is about 40% larger than $\tilde{r}_Z(^6{\rm Li})$, in spite of the fact that the charge radius is smaller in $^7{\rm Li}$, see Table III. This indicates significant differences in the magnetic distribution of $^7{\rm Li}$ and $^6{\rm Li}$ nuclei, which shall be confirmed by the nuclear theory. This may also indicate that the standard treatment of finite nuclear size effects in the evaluation of the hyperfine splitting through elastic formfactors fail in some cases.

In summary we have shown that through purely atomic calculations and experiments one can gain valuable information on the structure of the atomic nucleus, in particular the Zemach radius. Similar calculations can be performed for ¹¹Be where one expects a significant neutron halo [18].

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the support from NCN grants 2012/04/A/ST2/00105 and 2011/01/B/ST4/00733.

- [1] H. Hellwig et al., IEEE Trans. IM 19, 200 (1970).
- [2] D.J. Wineland, N.F. Ramsey, Phys. Rev. 5, 821 (1972).
- [3] S. D. Rosner and F. M. Pipkin, Phys. Rev. A 1, 571 (1970).
- [4] A. Beckmann, K. D. Böklen, and D. Elke, Z. Phys. 270, 173 (1974).
- [5] J. L. Friar, G. L. Payne, Phys.Rev. C 72 014002 (2005).
- [6] V. A. Yerokhin, Phys. Rev. A 78, 012513 (2008).
- [7] A. Derevianko, S.G. Porsev, and K. Beloy, Phys. Rev. A 78, 010503(R) (2008).
- [8] K. Pachucki, J. Phys. B 34, 3357 (2001).

- [9] K. Pachucki, Phys. Rev. A 66, 062501 (2002).
- [10] Peter J. Mohr, Barry N. Taylor, and David B. Newell, Rev. Mod. Phys. 84, 1527 (2012).
- [11] M.I. Eides, H. Grotch, and V.A. Shelyuto, Phys. Rep. 342, 63 (2001).
- [12] B. J. Wundt and U. D. Jentschura, Phys. Rev. A 83, 052501 (2011).
- [13] L.M. Wang, Z.-C. Yan, H.X. Qiao, and G.W.F. Drake, Phys.
- Rev. A 85, 052513 (2012).
- [14] M. Puchalski and K. Pachucki, Phys. Rev. A 81, 052505 (2010).
- [15] J. Mitroy et al, Rev. Mod. Phys. 85, 693 (2013).
- [16] N. J. Stone, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 90, 75 (2005).
- [17] Z.-C. Yan, D. K. McKenzie, and G. W. F. Drake, Phys. Rev. A 54, 1322 (1996).
- [18] A. Takamine, et. al., Eur. Phys. J. A 42, 369 (2009).