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#### Abstract

We demonstrate high accuracy calculations for the $\mathrm{HeH}^{+}$molecule using newly developed analytic formulas for two-center two-electron integrals with exponential functions. The Born-Oppenheimer potential for the ground electronic ${ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}$state is obtained in the range of $0.1-60$ au with precision of about $10^{-12}$ au. As an example at the equilibrium distance between nuclei $r_{\mathrm{e}}=1.463283 \mathrm{au}$, the Born-Oppenheimer potential amounts to $-2.978708310771(1)$. Obtained results lay the ground for theoretical predictions in $\mathrm{HeH}^{+}$with spectroscopic precision.


PACS numbers: 31.15.ac, 31.50.Bc

## I. INTRODUCTION

$\mathrm{HeH}^{+}$molecule is a simple two electron molecule consisting of the alpha-particle and of the proton as nuclei. In the ground electronic ${ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}$state, both electrons are mostly centered around alpha-nucleus with the proton distance from alpha being about $r \approx 1.46 \mathrm{au}$. The first accurate variational calculations of the Born-Oppenheimer (BO) potential of $\mathrm{HeH}^{+}$reported by Wolniewicz [1], Kołos and Peek [2], Kołos [3], were shortly afterwards refined by Bishop and Cheung [4]. In these calculations authors represented the electronic wave function in terms of exponential functions times various polynomials in interparticle distances with general nonlinear parameters (Kołos-Wolniewicz functions) or with some parameters fixed (James-Coolidge functions). Accuracy achieved in calculations by Bishop and Cheung was about $4 \cdot 10^{-6}$ au. More accurate result $\sim 10^{-8} \mathrm{au}$, at the distance $r=1.46$ au, have been obtained by Cencek et al. [5] using explicitly correlated Gaussian functions. Even if the whole BO potential is known with their accuracy ( $\sim 0.02 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), it will be insufficient in comparison with the most accurate ( $\sim 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) measurements of rovibrational transition frequencies [6]. More recently Adamowicz et al. [7-9] performed direct nonadiabatic calculations for $\mathrm{HeH}^{+}$including relativistic corrections, however, only for purely vibrational states and without estimation of accuracy.

In this work we use asymptotically correct generalized Heitler-London functions, which are the product of an exponential atomic He function times an arbitrary polynomial in interparticle distances. Thanks to analytic formulas for the resulting two-center two-electron integrals [10], we are able to perform calculations with a large number of $\sim 20000$ basis functions. The resulting accuracy of about $10^{-12}$ au or better (for large distances) is at least 4 orders of magnitude improvement with respect to previous values. The accuracy of BO potential is not directly transformed into accuracy of rovibrational energy levels. It is because Born-Oppenheimer energies should be supplemented by adiabatic, nonadiabatic, relativistic and QED corrections. As it has been recently demonstrated for $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and isotopomers [11], the perturbative treatment in the electron-nuclei mass ratio up to $O(m / M)^{2}$ and the fine structure constant $\alpha$ up to $O(\alpha)^{3}$ can provide rovibrational transitions with accuracy reaching $0.0001 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Analogous calculations can be performed for $\mathrm{HeH}^{+}$, and results obtained here are the first step for spectroscopically accurate theoretical predictions.

## II. ECA BASIS SET

We represent the ground state nonrelativistic electronic wave function in terms of generalized Heitler-London functions, which are the product of the atomic He exponential function with an arbitrary polynomial in all interparticle distances,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=\sum_{\{n\}} c_{\{n\}}\left(1+P_{12}\right) e^{-\alpha\left(r_{1 A}+r_{2 A}\right)} r_{12}^{n_{1}} r_{1 A}^{n_{2}} r_{1 B}^{n_{3}} r_{2 A}^{n_{4}} r_{2 B}^{n_{5}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{5} n_{i} \leq \Omega \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some integer $\Omega$, and call them the explicitly correlated asymptotic (ECA) basis. In the above, $c_{\{n\}}$ are linear coefficients, $\{n\}$ represents a set of 5 numbers $\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{2}, n_{4}, n_{5}\right\}, P_{12}$ is the operator which replaces $\vec{r}_{1}$ with $\vec{r}_{2}$, indices $(A, B)$ denote nuclei, and $(1,2)$ denote electrons. Matrix elements of the nonrelativistic Hamiltonian can be expressed in terms of integrals of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}, n_{5} ; r, \beta\right)=\int \frac{d^{3} r_{1}}{4 \pi} \int \frac{d^{3} r_{2}}{4 \pi} \frac{r}{r_{12}^{1-n_{1}}} \frac{e^{-\beta r_{1 A}}}{r_{1 A}^{1-n_{2}}} \frac{1}{r_{1 B}^{1-n_{3}}} \frac{e^{-\beta r_{2 A}}}{r_{2 A}^{1-n_{4}}} \frac{1}{r_{2 B}^{1-n_{5}}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with nonnegative integers $n_{i}$. These integrals depend on the nonlinear parameter $\beta$ and on the distance $r \equiv r_{A B}$ between nuclei. They are calculated as follows. When all $n_{i}=0$, the so called master integral, which can be derived from a general expression in Ref. [10], is

$$
\begin{align*}
f(r, \beta) & =\int \frac{d^{3} r_{1}}{4 \pi} \int \frac{d^{3} r_{2}}{4 \pi} \frac{e^{-\beta r_{1 A}}}{r_{1 A}} \frac{1}{r_{1 B}} \frac{e^{-\beta r_{2 A}}}{r_{2 A}} \frac{1}{r_{2 B}} \frac{r}{r_{12}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\beta^{2}} \int_{0}^{\beta r} d x F(x)=-\frac{1}{\beta^{2}} \int_{\beta r}^{\infty} d x F(x) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\frac{1}{x}\left[\frac{e^{2 x}}{2} \operatorname{Ei}(-2 x)-\frac{e^{-2 x}}{2} \operatorname{Ei}(2 x)-\operatorname{Ei}(-x)+e^{-2 x} \operatorname{Ei}(x)\right], \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where Ei is the exponential integral function. The one-dimensional integral in Eq. (4) is calculated numerically using the adapted Gaussian integration. With 120 integration points one achieves 64 significant digits for all the distances. All $f$-integrals with higher powers of electron distances can be obtained from recursion relations, which were derived in Ref. [10]. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}, n_{5} ; r, \beta\right)=f\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}, n_{5}, 1, \beta r\right) r^{2+n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}+n_{4}+n_{5}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is sufficient to know $f$-integrals at $r=1$ only, values at arbitrary $r$ are obtained by rescalling $\beta$ and $f$ according to above formula. As an example and demonstration of the computational method, we present below formulas at $r=1$ for all $f$-integrals with $\sum_{i} n_{i} \leq 2$

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(0,0,0,0,0)=f \\
& f(0,0,0,0,1)=\frac{1}{2 \beta^{3}}+\frac{e^{-2 \beta}}{2 \beta^{3}}-\frac{e^{-\beta}}{\beta^{3}}+\frac{[\operatorname{Ei}(-2 \beta)-\operatorname{Ei}(-\beta)]}{\beta^{2}} \\
& f(0,0,0,1,0)=-\frac{F}{2 \beta^{3}}+\frac{f}{\beta} \\
& f(1,0,0,0,0)=\frac{1}{\beta^{4}}+\frac{e^{-2 \beta}}{\beta^{4}}-\frac{2 e^{-\beta}}{\beta^{4}} \\
& f(0,0,0,0,2)=\frac{1}{2 \beta^{3}}-\frac{e^{-\beta}}{\beta^{3}}-\frac{\operatorname{Ei}(-\beta)}{\beta^{2}}+\frac{f}{\beta^{2}} \\
& f(0,0,0,1,1)=\frac{3}{4 \beta^{4}}+\frac{5 e^{-2 \beta}}{4 \beta^{4}}-\frac{2 e^{-\beta}}{\beta^{4}}+\frac{2[\operatorname{Ei}(-2 \beta)-\operatorname{Ei}(-\beta)]}{\beta^{3}} \\
& f(0,0,0,2,0)=-\frac{e^{-\beta}}{2 \beta^{3}}+\frac{e^{2 \beta} \operatorname{Ei}(-2 \beta)}{2 \beta^{3}}-\frac{(1+\beta) \operatorname{Ei}(-\beta)}{2 \beta^{3}}-\frac{(5+2 \beta) F}{4 \beta^{4}}+\frac{2 f}{\beta^{2}} \\
& f(0,0,1,0,1)=\frac{1}{2 \beta^{3}} \\
& f(0,0,1,1,0)=\frac{3}{4 \beta^{4}}+\frac{e^{-2 \beta}}{4 \beta^{4}}-\frac{e^{-\beta}}{\beta^{4}} \\
& f(0,1,0,1,0)=\frac{e^{-\beta}}{2 \beta^{3}}+\frac{e^{2 \beta} \operatorname{Ei}(-2 \beta)}{2 \beta^{3}}+\frac{(1-\beta) \operatorname{Ei}(-\beta)}{2 \beta^{3}}-\frac{(5+2 \beta) F}{4 \beta^{4}}+\frac{f}{\beta^{2}} \\
& f(1,0,0,0,1)= \\
& \frac{1}{\beta^{4}}-\frac{e^{-\beta}}{\beta^{4}} \\
& f(1,0,0,1,0)=\frac{2}{\beta^{5}}+\frac{(2+\beta) e^{-2 \beta}}{\beta^{5}}-\frac{(4+\beta) e^{-\beta}}{\beta^{5}} \\
& f(2,0,0,0,0)= \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f=f(1, \beta)$ and $F=F(\beta)$. Other $f$-integrals from the same shell can be obtained by using the symmetry $f\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}, n_{5}\right)=f\left(n_{1}, n_{4}, n_{5}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right)$. Integrals with higher powers $n_{i}$ are of analogous form, they are all linear combinations of $f, F$, Ei, Exp, and identity functions with coefficients being simple polynomials in $1 / \beta$. Using a computer symbolic program and prescription from Ref. [13], we have generated a table of integrals with $\sum_{i} n_{i} \leq 37$, which corresponds to a maximum value of $\Omega=16$.

## III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The matrix elements of the nonrelativistic Hamiltonian between ECA functions are obtained as described by Kołos and Roothan in [12]. The resulting expression is a linear combination of various ECA integrals which are calculated by using analytic formulas as presented in the previous section. Their evaluation is fast and accurate, thus suitable for calculations involving large number of basis functions.

Eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian matrix are obtained by inverse iteration method for various lengths of basis sets $(\Omega=7-16)$. These eigenvalues, obtained at 132 internuclear distances ranging from 0.1 au to 60 au , form the BO potential. ECA functions are especially suitable for large distances, as they include functions for the helium atom alone. As a result, the atomic He energy is obtained with an accuracy of about $10^{-15}$ for all the distances, in fact much better than that for $\mathrm{HeH}^{+}$. In order to improve numerical accuracy for $\mathrm{HeH}^{+}$, we used a triple basis set ( $\Omega, \Omega-2, \Omega-4$ ) each one with its own optimized nonlinear parameter $\alpha$. Numerical calculations are performed for $r \leq 12$ au using the quadruple precision, whereas for $r>12$ au using the octuple precision arithmetics. In order to check numerics, we repeated calculations around the equilibrium distance $r_{\mathrm{e}}=1.463283$ au by using James-Coolidge basis set, for which analytic formulas have been developed in Ref. [13]. This basis has a slower convergence but is numerically more stable, so we could use even larger number of 27334 basis functions. Obtained results have similar accuracy and are in perfect agreement with that obtained with ECA functions. The most accurate variational result reported at the distance $r=1.46$ au is compared in Table I to all the previous results obtained so far in the literature.

TABLE I: Variational Born-Oppenheimer potential for the HeH+ molecule at $r=1.46$ au

| Authors | energy[au] |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1965 L. Wolniewicz [1] | -2.9786667 |
| 1994 W. Kołos and L. Peek [2] | -2.97868906 |
| 1979 D.M. Bishop and L.M. Cheung [4] | -2.97870262 |
| 2006 W. Cencek, J. Komasa and J. Rychlewski [5] | -2.978706591 |
| 2012 this work | -2.978706600341 |

In performing extrapolation to a complete basis set $(\Omega \rightarrow \infty)$, similarly to the previous $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ case [13], we observe the exponential $e^{-\beta \Omega}$ convergence, in other words the log of differences
in energies for subsequent values of $\Omega$ fits well to a linear function. This makes extrapolation to infinity quite simple. Extrapolated results for the whole BO potential curve in the range $0.1-60$ au are presented in Table II.

TABLE II: Numerical values for the BO potential at different internuclear distance $r$, shifted by the He ground state energy $E=-2.903724377034120$ au. Results are obtained by extrapolation to the complete set of basis functions, $r_{\mathrm{e}}=1.463283 \mathrm{au}$ is the equilibrium distance.

| $r / \mathrm{au}$ | E | $r / \mathrm{au}$ | $E$ | $r / \mathrm{au}$ | E | $r / \mathrm{au}$ | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.10 | 15.765275621061 (3) | 1.60 | $-0.072566613147(4)$ | 3.25 | -0.010 $50599334515(2)$ | 5.80 | -0.000684274833 49(5) |
| 0.20 | $6.0505857775879(4)$ | 1.65 | $-0.070782049442(4)$ | 3.30 | -0.009 $80529191624(2)$ | 5.90 | $-0.00063495982145(7)$ |
| 0.30 | $3.0351040043129(1)$ | 1.70 | -0.068681986 181(4) | 3.35 | -0.009 $15388696624(2)$ | 6.00 | -0.0005901848250 (1) |
| 0.40 | 1.6767983404776 (1) | 1.75 | -0.066 346472 331(4) | 3.40 | -0.008548577089 50(2) | 6.20 | -0.000 $5122781835(1)$ |
| 0.50 | $0.9612242449748(1)$ | 80 | -0.063841 $750276(3)$ | 3.45 | -0.007986 $30494094(2)$ | 6.40 | $-0.0004472165356(6)$ |
| 0.60 | $0.5514259232109(2)$ | 1.85 | -0.061 222573648 (3) | 3.50 | -0.00746416162698(2) | 6.60 | -0.0003924565613 (6) |
| 0.70 | $0.3051378074536(3)$ | 1.90 | -0.058534109 374(3) | 3.55 | -0.006 $97938883337(2)$ | 6.80 | $-0.0003460392485(6)$ |
| 0.80 | $0.1530613400494(5)$ | 1.95 | -0.055 813503899 (3) | 3.60 | -0.006 529379042 23(2) | 7.00 | -0.000 3064392939 (6) |
| 0.90 | $0.0580773622033(8)$ | 2.00 | $-0.053091177182(2)$ | 3.65 | -0.006 $11167415771(2)$ | 7.20 | -0.000 $2724566819(6)$ |
| 0.95 | 0.0249644484740 (9) | 2.0 | -0.050 $391895330(2)$ | 3.70 | -0.005 $72396282547(2)$ | 7.40 | -0.000 24313796003 (5) |
| 1.00 | -0.001080054 475(1) | 2.10 | $-0.047735662718(2)$ | 3.75 | -0.005364076 $70123(2)$ | 7.60 | -0.000 217718542 45(4) |
| 1.05 | -0.021440483674(2) | 2.15 | $-0.045138466546(2)$ | 3.80 | -0.005 $02998589214(2)$ | 7.80 | -0.000 $19558000355(3)$ |
| 1.10 | -0.037213 $297730(2)$ | 2.20 | -0.042 $612900482(1)$ | 3.85 | -0.00471979376831(2) | 8.00 | -0.000 $17621814692(3)$ |
| 1.15 | -0.049 273330916 (2) | 2.25 | -0.040 168689 007(1) | 3.90 | -0.004 $43173131403(2)$ | 8.50 | -0.000 13741695904 (5) |
| 1.20 | -0.058323 089 351(2) | 2.30 | -0.0378131300178(9) | 3.95 | -0.00416415116585(2) | 9.00 | -0.000 $1087994297(1)$ |
| 1.25 | -0.064929 $882196(3)$ | 2.35 | -0.035 5514699706 (7) | 4.00 | -0.00391552146065(2) | 9.50 | $-0.0000872963414(7)$ |
| 1.30 | -0.069 $554101696(3)$ | 2.40 | -0.033 $3872231696(6)$ | 4.10 | -0.003 $46952601047(2)$ | 10.0 | -0.000 070874764 (5) |
| 1.35 | -0.072 570979 391(3) | 2.45 | -0.0313224446629(5) | 4.20 | -0.003 $08356365879(2)$ | 10.5 | -0.000 $058152803(6)$ |
| 1.38 | -0.073 739700 599(4) | 2.50 | -0.029 $3579644214(4)$ | 4.30 | -0.002 $74890776176(2)$ | 11.0 | -0.000 $048170045(4)$ |
| 1.40 | -0.074287476 582(4) | 2.55 | -0.027 $4935890507(3)$ | 4.40 | -0.002 $45810255614(2)$ | 11.5 | -0.000 $0402459895(9)$ |
| 1.41 | -0.074 497742 079(2) | 2.60 | -0.025 7282761076 (2) | 4.5 | -0.002 $20479386758(2)$ | 12.0 | -0.000 $0338901315(2)$ |
| 1.42 | -0.074667994434(2) | 2.65 | -0.024 $0602851483(2)$ | 4.60 | -0.00198357622838(2) | 13.0 | -0.000 $02453952511(1)$ |
| 1.43 | -0.074 799949340 (2) | 2.70 | -0.022 4873088640 (1) | 4.70 | -0.00178985693404(3) | 14.0 | -0.000 01820696042 (1) |
| 1.44 | -0.074895 $257253(1)$ | 2.75 | -0.021 $0065870435(1)$ | 4.80 | -0.00161973663404(3) | 15.0 | -0.000 $01379385865(1)$ |
| 1.45 | -0.074 $955506003(1)$ | 2.80 | -0.019615005609 25(9) | 4.90 | -0.001469 90547475 (3) | 16.0 | -0.000 01064172404 (1) |
| 1.46 | -0.074 982223 308(1) | 2.85 | -0.018 $30918258272(7)$ | 5.00 | -0.001337553 48543 (4) | 17.0 | -0.000 $00834146147(1)$ |
| $r_{\text {e }}$ | -0.074983933737(1) | 2.90 | -0.017 $08554252564(6)$ | 5.10 | -0.001220 $29375156(4)$ | 18.0 | -0.000 00663092031 (1) |
| 1.47 | -0.074 $976879155(1)$ | 2.95 | -0.015 94038076426 (5) | 5.20 | -0.001116 $09689545(4)$ | 19.0 | -0.000 $00533749757(1)$ |
| 1.48 | -0.074940888099(1) | 3.00 | -0.014869 $91851472(4)$ | 5.30 | -0.001023 $23543423(4)$ | 20.0 | -0.000 00434479744 (1) |
| 1.49 | -0.074875611446(1) | 3.05 | -0.013870 $34988266(3)$ | 5.40 | -0.000 94023668533 (4) | 30.0 | -0.000 $00085567962(1)$ |
| 1.50 | -0.074782 359346 (1) | 3.10 | -0.012 93788159548 (3) | 5.50 | -0.000 865843012 73(5) | 40.0 | -0.000 00027047679 (1) |
| 1.52 | -0.074516925 580(3) | 3.15 | -0.012 $06876623641(3)$ | 5.60 | -0.000 $79897833242(5)$ | 50.0 | -0.000 $00011073851(1)$ |
| 1.55 | -0.073 938987047(4) | 3.20 | -0.011259 $32967692(2)$ | 5.70 | $-0.0007387199404(4)$ | 60.0 | -0.000 $00005339146(1)$ |

## IV. SUMMARY

We have demonstrated applications of analytic formulas for two-center two-electron exponential integrals in high precision calculations of Born-Oppenheimer potential for the ground elec-
tronic state of $\mathrm{HeH}^{+}$, similarly to previous calculations for $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ [13]. The use of ECA basis with as many as 20000 functions provided energies with precision of about $10^{-12}-10^{-14}$ au for internuclear distances up to 60 au.

The extension of this approach to calculations of excited states of diatomic molecules such as $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HeH}^{+}$is not difficult. As long as, the trial function includes at most two different nonlinear parameters (in the exponent), the analytic formulas for corresponding integrals are not exceedingly large. Such two parameter exponential functions can represent well an arbitrary electronic state of a diatomic molecule. In fact, we aim to develop a general code for calculation of BO potential of the diatomic molecule, using quad and the arbitrary precision arithmetics. The extension to adiabatic, nonadiabatic and relativistic corrections is more problematic. For their evaluation one needs integrals with inverse powers of interparticle distances, for which formulas can be quite complicated. We think that their derivation for James-Coolidge basis is within the reach, therefore for small internuclear distances, where this basis works quite well, all relevant corrections, including yet unknown $\alpha^{4}$ QED correction can be calculated to a high precision, thus improving theoretical predictions for $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and other two-electron diatomic molecules to about $10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
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